# EMMAN God-Man

A Treatise wherein the Detrine of the first Nicene and Chalcedon Councils, concerning the two Natures in Christ, is afferted against the lately vented Socinian Doctrine.

A Work very necessary at this time, to prevent the danger of that spreading Heresie.

#### By John Tombes, B. D. 200

Son is given, and the Government shall be bis shoulder: And his Name shall be Wonderfiel, Counsellour, the Mighty Go Everlasting Father, the Prince of Feace.

#### LONDON,

rinted for Francis Smith at the E and Castle near the Royal Exchange Cornbill, and at the same sign the Shop without Temple-Bar-

have the state of the court of the A William Comment of the business And the state of the state of the state of S 13 the syd time to the stom of the transfer of in the cold ed to the the contract of a rich To Contract The Wall of the Control of the last the starting that have Mark poly to Line William



#### TO THE

## READER.

Whereas this Treatife begins with mention of Christs words, Mat. 16.10,33. which carry a shew of impertinency, I think is fit to advertise thee that indeed this is but a shred of a Treatise concerning the Kingdom of God, and licensed under the Title of Theocracy, and because of a writing against the Divine Nature of Christ not long afore vented (which I was not aware of when I composed it) I yielded to the motion of publishing this by it self, it A 2 being

#### To the Reader.

teing suggested to me, that so Printed it would be useful and seasonable, which having tola thee of, I crave thy Prayers for him who is

Thine in the Service of our Lord (hrist.

Јони Томви s.

### READER.

The contract of the contract o

## THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

#### THE

## CONTENTS.

Sect. 1. The God whose Kingdom is to be sought is the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.

Sect. 2. Jesus Christ is the Son of God, in the sense professed in the Nicene Creed.

Sect. 3. Christ being God in the sense of the Nicene Creed, is proved from John 1. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 15, 18.

of Christs God-bead, from John 1. 1, &c.

are fet down.

te

ers

ne

Sca. 5. The sense of John 1. 1,2,3,4, 5,9,10,14,15,18. given by the Adver-

Exposition of John 1. 1, &c. are shewed to

beinsufficient.

Sect. 7. Christ's Generation before the world was is proved, from John 8, 58.

A 3 Sect. 8.

#### The Contents.

Sect. 8. Christs being before the world was, is proved from John 17.5.

Sect. 9. Col. 1. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16,17.

is urged to prove the God-bend of Christ.

Sect. 10. The proof of Christs God-head, from Col. 1, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17. is vindicated from Exceptions.

Sect. 11. Heb. 1. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13. are wrated to prove the af-

fertion of Christs God-head.

Sect. 12. The Argument from Heb. 1. 2. 3. 4. 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13. is vindicated from Exceptions.

Sect. 13. Heb. 7. 3. is arged to prove

the Eternal Son-ship of Christ.

dom of the Sou of Man, fo termed accord-

ing to his excellency above all men.

Sect. 15. Christs Consubstantiality with the Father, according to his Deity, with m, according to his Humanity, as the Chalcedon Councel determined, is afferted and proved from John 1.14. Acts 2. 30. Rom. 1.3,4. and 9.5.

Sect. 16. The Exception against the Ar-

9.5. is fet down.

Sect. 17 This Exception against the Argument is refuted. Sect. 18.

#### The Contents.

Section 18. The consubstantiality of Christ with the Father and us, is proved from 1 Tim. 3. 15.

Sect. 19. The Exceptions against this

proof.

Sect. 20. Thefe Exceptions are refelled.

Sect. 21. The same consubstantiality of Christ is consirmed, from 1 Pet. 3. 18, 19, 20. Gal. 4. 4. Rom. 8. 3. 1 John 4. 2. Heb. 2. 14. and 10. 5. John 16. 28.

Sect. 22. Christs consubstantiality with the Father and us, is proved from Philip. 2.

4, 6, 7, 8.

ė

6

4

Sect. 23. The Exception against this

Argument is recited.

Sect. 24. The Text is explained in or-

der to the refelling of the Exception.

Sect. 25. Some Objections against the proof, from Philip. 2. 5, 6, 7, 8. are answered, particularly Objections against expounding the form of God, Philip. 2. 6. Of the state of Empire.

14

Sett.

#### The Confegra Section 28. The confide franciality of Cliniff hish ine Father and he is proved from . Cr. 8. 25. Sed 19. The Executions egainst this Sec. 20. Thep Ex oping wenter Sect. 21. The pene coolediantiality of the free confirmed from 1 Per. 2. 18, 29. 10. 10. 414. Mann 88. 3. I John 4. 2. Heb 2 14. and to g John 16128.

Sect. 22. Christs confuelthingiality with the Eather and us, is proved from Padin. 2. S. to 73 8. The Exception againfishing

harris warman k

38 2 24. The leve is explained incr-7 Kento the refelling of the Enceptions

the Service Concerns against the Derest , reas libition 2. 50 6; 73 8; are and i seeed , particularly Objections against ex-both in the form of God , Thilip. 2.6.0f

S

7

## 

#### SECT. I.

The God whose Kingdom is to be sought is the Father of our Lord Fesus Christ.

2 Min. 6. 10. Thy Kingdom come, and ver. 33. to feek firft the Kingdome of God; it is heceffary we know what is meant by the cerms God the Father, the Son. | For explaining whereof we are to confider that the word Ocos translated God answering to Bill IN (25 1 thew in my Oath-book ledt. 1. fect: 3.1 in its common notion, notes any Namen, divine power, which is worthipped, whether real or nominal . Agreably to which St. Paul tells us, i Cor. 8. 4, 5, 6. As concerning therefore the eating of thefe things that are offered to Idols, we know that an Idol (though worshipped as God by deluded Gentiles ) is nothing in the world (hath no power to do good or hurt)

and that there is none other God but one For though there be that are called Gods whether in Heaven or mpon earth (as there be Gods many and Lords many) but to us there is but one God the Father, of whom are all things, and we in or for him, and one Lord Jesus Christ, by whom are all things, and we by him: where it is observable that the term [one God] is attributed to the Father, to wit of Christ, who as he is filed Epbef. 1. 2. our Father, fo v.3. the God, and Father of our Lord Jefus Chrift; who is therefore frequently termed in the writings of the Evangelists, especially St, John by our Lord Christ the Father and his Father, and diffinction is made between one God and one Lord, as in this place, fo alfo I Cor. 12.5, 6. Ephef. 4. 5, 6. (it is I Tim. 2:5. one God, and one Mediatour between God and men) and accordingly the Apostolical Salutations, benedictions, prayers, and valedictions run thus, Rom. 1. 7. 1 Cor. 1.3. 2 Cor. 1.2. Ephef. 1. 2. Philip. 1. 2. Col. 1.3. 1 Thef. 1. 1. 2. Thef. 1. 2. Grace to your and peace from God our Father, and the Lord Jess Christ with addition of mercy, 1 Tim, 1, 2, 2 Tim, 1, 2. Tit, 1, 4. From God

1

(3)

8

r

14

198

nd

all

r-

d

as

.

16

ŀ

۳

.

C

is

d

d

0

God the Father. 2 Cor. 1. 3. Bleffed be God even the Father of our Lord Jefus Chrift. 2 Cor. 13. 14. The Grace of our Lord Felus Christ, and the love of God, Ephel. 1. 3. Bleffed be the God and Father of our Lord Felus Christ. Ephel. 3. 14. For this cause I bow my knees unto the Father of our Lord Fefus Christ, Col. 1-35 We give thanks to God and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, praying alwaies for you. I Thef, I. 2, 3. We give thanks to God almaies for you all making mention of you in our prayers, remembring without ceasing your work of faith; and labour of love, and patience of hope, in our Lord Jesus Christ, in the sight of God and own Father. James I. I. James a fervant of God, and of the Lord Jefus Christ. 2 Pet. 1. 2. Grace and peace be multiplied unte you, through the knowledge of God, and of Jefu our Lord, 2 John 3. Grace be with you, mercy and peace from God the Father, and from the Lord Jefus Christ the Son of the Father in truth and love. And accordingly where the word [ God ] or [ Father ] is put simply it is distinctly applyed to the Father of our Lord Christ, Rom. 1, 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, and 3. 25, 26 and

(4)

and 1 1, 2 8. 10, 11, 17. and 5. 4 t 11, 23. and 7. 4. 25. and 8. 3. 17. 7. 34, 39. and 10. 9. and 14. 17. 18, and 15.5, 6, 7, 8, 9.16. 17, 19, 30, C and 16.20. 26, 27, 1 Cor. 1. 1,2,9. 24, 1 30. and 3. 23. with many more both in the 8 Epiffles and other writings of the New !! Teftament in which God is distinguished a flom the Lord Christ, and is therefore meant of the person of the Father, conceining whom the Apostle dethe expresly fay, I Cov. TI. 3. I would have you is know, chatche head of every man is Christ; I and the head of the woman is the man, and 1 the head of Christ is God. In like manner I Arch-Bishop Office in his Distriba about a the ancient Apostolical Creed of the Ro- 2 man Church, and other forms of faith 3 wont to be propounded in Catechifm and m Bapti (m both b) the western and eastern o Christians tells us, pag. 13. out of Rufinus, that almost all the eastern Churches do thus deliver their faith: I believe in one God I the Father Almighty, and in the following speech, whereas we fay, and in Jefus 2 Christ bis only Son our Lord, they deliver it thus; and in one Lord our Lord Je- 2 far Christ his only Son, which he shews in the

the Chorter and larger Creeds Hierofolis mitan, Alexandrian, that of Enfebim Cefarienfis recited at the first Nicene Coupcel, and with fome addition affented to as their Creed Dr. Pear fon Exposition of the e 8th, Article, we have already femnthat. white Father is originally that one God

d which is denied by mone.

М

C

Hereby we may underftand who is meane by [the Father] to wit, the Father of Christ, and therefore the Kingdom istermed by Christ the Kingdom of bit Father, Matth. 36. 29. because it is appointed or delivered to Christ by the er Father, Lake 22. 29. Math. 11. 27. and 28. 18. John 3. 35. and 5. 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27. and 13. 3. Att 2. 6 33. 36. 2 Pet. 1. 17. and in all his administrations Christ expresseth his aim not to be his own, but his Fathers glory, 5, 70bn 8. 50. 54. and 14. 13. Sometimes es it is termed the Kingdom of their Father, Mat. 13.43. because God appoints it to the Saints, Lake 22. 29. and 12. 32. and therefore Christ faith, Mat. 20. 23. To sit on my right hand, and on my left is - net mine to give, but it shall be given to in them for mbom it is prepared of my Father,

Mat. 25.34. Then shall the King say to them on his right hand, come ye bleffed of my father, inherit the Kingdom prepared for you, from the foundation of the world. Acts 1.7. It is not for you to know the times or the feafons which the Father bath put in his own power : For which reason it is faid. I Cor. 8. 6. Of him are all things, and believers es autor for or to bim, in the fenfe in which it is faid, Rom. 11. 36. For of bim, and through bim, and to bim are all things; to him be glory for ever, Amen : And concerning Christ it is aid, Phil. 2. 8, 9, 10, 11. Becaufe be bumbled himself and became obedient unto death; even the death of the Cross, therefore God alfo bath big bly exalted bim, and given him a name, which is above every name, that at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in Heaven and things in Earth, and things under the Earth, and that every tongue should confess that Jesus is the Lord, (that is) Gods King, King of Kings, and Lord of Lords, at his appearance and in his Kingdom, and this shall be) to the glory of God the Pather: And more fully St. Paul expreffeth it, 1 Cor. 15. 24, 25, 26, 27, 28. Then of

d

d.

25

6

ic

5,

n

5.

80

ft

6

ut

2,

16

n

6

5

e

Then cometh the end when be shall have delivered up the Kingdom to God even the Father, when he shall have put down all rule and all authority and power: For be must reign till be bath put all enemies mnder bis feet : The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death : For be bath put all things under his feet; but when he faith, All things are put under him, it is manifest that he is excepted which did put all things under him, that God may be all in all; Whence you may observe that the term [God] is distinctly put for the person of the Father, in contradiffinction to the Son, and that it is the Father who appoints the Kingdom to the Son, that he puts his enemies under his feet, that the Son shall deliver up the Kingdom to the Father, that the iffue or end is, that God to wit the Father, may be all in all, that is, in all the management of this Kingdom from the begining to the end the Father may be glorified by the Son, and by all others to whom the Kingdom is given.

SICT. 2.

## S. E. G. TA . S. college of the

Jesus Christ is the Son of God in the Sense professed in the Nicene Creed.

His leads us to enquire concerning I the terms [Son of God, of Man, Chrift, the Lord Jefus Chrift] which are the titles by which he is expressed, whose the Kingdom is faid to be, and therefore we cannot rightly conceive of this Kingdom without understanding these terms : That the title the Son of the living God, given to Jesus Christ the Son of Manis 2 fuodamental Article of the Christian Faith, is manifest from Christs approbation of Peters answer to Christs question to his Disciples Matth. 16. 13. Whom do men Jay that I the Son of man am? To which St. Peter answered, verse 16. Thou are the Christ the Son of the living God, whereto it is said Jesus answered and said unto him, Bleffed art thou Simon Bar-Jona, for flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee , but my Father which

15.

fa

Si

Ph.

li

J

7

ti

4

is in Heaven : And again, when Jelis faid to the twelve, will ye alfo go away? Simon Peter answered him, Lord, to whome shall we go ? thou bast the words of evernal life, and we believe and are sure that shots art that Christ the Son of the living God . John 6.68, 69. And when the Eanuch laid to Philip , Acts 8. 36. See bere is water, what doth hinder me to be Baba tized > Philip faid, If thou believeft with all thins beers thou maist, and be unswered and faid, I believe that Jefus Christia the Son of God, verse 37. St. Paul Adis 9.20. preached Christ in the Synagogues. at Damascus, that he is the Son of God. and John I. 49. Nathanael Said to Christ Rabbi, thou are the Son of God, thou are the King of Ifracl. I John 2. 22. Heis Antichrift that denieth the Father and the Son, verle 23. Webloever denieth the Son, the same bath not the Father. I John 4. 15. Wholoever shall confess that Jefus rt is the Son of God, God dwelleth in bim, and bein God. I John 5. 5. Who is he d that overcometh the world but he that believeth that Tesus is the Son of God? verle 11, 12. And this is the record that 6 Godhath given to us eternal life, and this is life

00

9

C

e

1

7,

E

is

'n

h

.

life is in his Son: He that bath the Son bath life, be that bath not the Son bath not life.

Nevertheles there is great difference about this appellation [the Son of God] whether it import Divine Nature diffine from Humane, or Humane Nature, yer by Divine operation, not by the ordinary way of natural generation as other men are begotten, but by the supernatural operation of the Holy Ghoft according to that of the Angel to Mary , Luke 1. 35. The Holy Ghoft (hall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest hall overshadow thee; Therefore alfothat holy thing which hall be born of thee , shall be called the Son of God. Whence the Samosatenians and Photinians of old, so the Socinians in this last age deny that Jetus Christ had Being afore his conception by the Holy Ghoft in the Virgins womb, but the Arians granting him to have a Divine Nature, fay, that he was created by God the first creature out of nothing, and then that by him God the Father made all things elfe : In oppofition to whom the Creed of the first Nicene Councel, as it is in Enfebins bis Epiftle to the Church of Cafarea fet down by Arch-Bifhopはリスッツ

n

\*

3

4

1

Ç

ı

Bilhop Uher in his Diatriba de Symbolis pag. 16. out of At banasius operum tom. 2. pag. 48.edit. Commelinian Socrates lib. 1 Hist. c. s. Theodoret lib. 1.c. 12. and Gelafins Cyricenus in Att. Concil. Nic. part 3, c. 35.1s thus : We believe alfo in one Lord Jesus Christ born the only begotten of the Father, that is of the Substance of the Father, God of God, light of light, very God of very God, begotten not made, of the Same Substance with the Father, by whom all things were made, both the things in heaven, and the things in the earth: In which there are these propositions included, I. That Fefre Christ was before any creature was made. 2. That he was begotten of the substance of the Father, not made of nothing, as the Arians held. 3. That he was very God of very God, of the same substance with the Father. 4. That by him all things were made, whether in Heaven or in Earth, which propositions are proved by these Texts of Scripture.

on an

b

W

i

27

a

in

71

f

th

L

ti

th

li

11

d

N

6

b

# of Cherin his Date had des guide it out of the control of the cont

Ohrist's being the Son of God in the fense of the Nicene Creed is proved from John 1. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 18.

He first Text of Holy Scripture which I shall produce to prove them is, John 1. 1, 2, 3,4,5,9,10, 14, 15, 18. where it is thus faid, In the begining was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God, the same was in the begining with God: Allthings were made by him, and without him was not made amy thing that was made, in him was life and the life was the light of men: And the light shineth in darkness, and the darkness comprehended it not: verse o. Hewas the true light which enlightneth every man that cometh into the world, verf. 10. He was in the world, and the world was made by him, and the world knew bim not, verse 14. And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, and we beheld his glory, the glory as of the only

only begotten of the Earher, full of gran and truth. verle is John bare witness of bim, and cried, faying, This is he of whom I pake , He that cometh after me is preferred before me, for be was before me, verfe 18. No man bath feen God at any times the only begotten San which is in the bosom of the Father, he hath declared bim. It is agreed on, that by the word is meant fefm Chrift, as appears from ver fe 17, 29. and other paffages in the Text grand therefore thence I arguer He who was in the beginning of the Creat tion with God, was God, by whom all things were made, whose life was the light of men, the true light inlightning every man that cometh into the world, by whom the world was made, who was made fleft, was the only begotten of the Father, in the bosom of the Father, before John the Baptist was, before any creature was made, begotten of the substance of the Father, not made of nathing, very God of very God, of the fame substance with the Father , by whom all things were made : But fuch was Jefer Christ , therefore hedwas , before any creature was made, begotten of the Substance of the Father, not made of nothing,

thing very God of very God, of the fam fubstance with the Father, by whom a things were made: The minor is almost the express words of the Text, the major is evident from the equivolence of terms, is being all one to be God in the beginning by whom all things were made, the world was made; the only begotten of the Father in his bosom before John Baptist as to be before any creature was made, begotten of the substance of the father, not made of nothing, very God of very God, of the same substance with the Father, by whom all things were made.

#### Sicr. 4

The exceptions against the proof of Christs God-head from John 1. 1. &c. are set down.

Evertheless the major proposition of the argument is denied, and for a reason of the denial it is said.

I. That the scope of the Apostle is to set forth by whom the Gospel began, as appeareth by the very appellation of 1 the

Word

1

Word ] here given to Christ in regard of his Prophetical office in publishing the

the Gospel.

m

b

her

60

0 the

om

ot

a-

to

25

be

d

2. That by [in the beginning] is not meant the creation of Heaven and earth at first, but of the preaching the Gospel Nas and the new creation, as is meant Mark 1. 1. Luke 1. 2. John 6. 64. and 8. 25. and 15. 27. and 16. 4. Atts 11. 15. Heb. 2. 3. 1 John 1. 1. and 2: 13, 14, 24. 2 John 5. 6. which note a special term of beginning, which was the time of Christs preaching, in respect of which he is said to be ecexquevos beginning, Luke 3. 23. to wit to preach the Golpel when he was about thirty years of age, not as it is translated, began to be about thirty years of of age.

3. That he was with God in Heaven about that time, being taken up into ther third Heaven, as Paul was , 2 Cor. 12. 2. and fo was in the bosom of God, as is gathered from John 3. 13, 31, 32. and John

6.62.

4. That he was a God as Mofes is faid to be Exed. 7. 1. because of the power he had to work miracles, and therefore Iohn I. I. the Word is faid to be Deds without the

the article of and should be rendred [

God | not God on the God. ]

5. That by [all things made by him] is not meant the Heaven and Earth at the full creation, but the things or persons bealonging to the new creation by Iefus Christ expressed in these places, 2 Cor. 50 17, 18, 19. Ephos. 2. 10. Ephos. 3. 9.

made by him the meaning is, the Church was reformed by him, or life evernal was revealed by him, called Hebrer 5. the

world to come.

by his preaching; or that in him was life upon his Resurrection, and that this life mas the light of men by saving them, and

raising them up from the dead.

8. That it should not be read Iohn 1.

14. he was made flesh; but the Word was flesh, that is, a man of humane weakness after he was a man, and so not meant of his humane nature at his incarnation; but his after condition in his life.

Heb. 11. 17. by reason of his being A-braham's Heir, and extraordinary birth; not because he was the only begotten of

2]

he

C

14

5

as

H

15

20

10

뉇

이

8

s

d

the fubstance of Abraham: So Iefu Chris is termed the only begotten of the Father not from his peculiar generation of the fub-Stance of the Father before the Heaven and Earth were made, but for other peculiarities, T. Because he was by peculiar operation of the Holy Ghoft generated in the Virgins womb, which is the reason of this title of the Son of God given to Christ by the Angel himfelf, Lukes : 35. 2. Because of his special fanctification and misfion, which is the reason given by Christ himself, John 10, 36, 3. Because of his refurrection from the dead, which is the realog given by Sr. Paul Atts 3. 33. and therefore seemed the first begotten from or of the dead, Col. 1, 18. Rev. I. S. 4. Becaule of his fingular exaltation and office, which is agreeable to what is faid, Pfal. 89. 27. and whereby he is termed the first bern among many brethren, Rom. 8. 29. and by reason of his calling to the office of chief-Priest-hood that is applied to him, Heb. 5. 5. which is written, Pfal. 2. 7. Then art my Son, this day have I begotten thee.

not in him, but in power iqueosees

(618)

the word lied concerning the faint speed in the other three Evangelists, Matth. 3. 16 other three Evangelists, Matth. 3. 16 other peculiar three peculiars, but to other peculiars.

1

1

.

0

4.0

ities, r. Becanie he was by peculiar odrarion of the thety Choft generated in

the Virgins would, which is the realing of the people of the property of the people of

Herefies, c. 11 and others near the A polities time, fay, that the scope of the E vangelist John in writing this Gospel was to oppose the Herefies of the Guoffick.), E-vion, Cervathus, Marcion, Valentinus, and inch others, as whether from Platonick Philosophy, or other fancies, cortupted the doctrine of the God-head, made Christ affects his divinity in the beginning, and thence got the name of the Divine, and his Guipel to be that part of Scripture which doth most plainly deliver Theology of speech of God, and thereby as also in relating many Acts and Sermons of Christ omitted

((19)

omitted by other Evangelists which makes

a supplement to their Histories.

cd

100

88

211

d

5

.

l

AE

a

E

no e

cd iA

nd

is h

å

H

A

d

That the feries of the Apoftles words. the expressions compared with Gen. 1. 1, 2, 3, 4,5 of in the beginning was the word with God, all things were made by bim, the life was the light of men, the light shineth in darkness, and the darkmess comprehended it not ] are so correspondent to each other, that none but will fay, char at least St. John did allude to Meles his description of the first creation, and that he did use Mofes his expressions, and therefore meant the farme thing, bath been conceived not only by Christians, but allo by Aurelius the Platonist, as Eufehim in his book of Evangelical preparation, Lib. diac. 19 relates; por is it to be flightly passed over, which John Cameron observes in his inferers to questions on the Epistle so the Hebrews, ch, T. v. 2. concerning Gods manner of delivering mysteries in the Old Testament, that it was to be bur dusky was in the twi-light, until the Meffar his time, who should fully discover the shings of God as the Sun, when it is rifen , doch things before obscured, and that the Apost's knew Christs creating the 160

1

1

2

1

world in the beginning even from Gen. For wherefore , faithine , I befeech you (hould Moles (which no where elfe is de in the whole Scripture) fo often bring God freaking let this and that be made and after it was made? For certain God then used no speech as when he spake the Prophets. It is but a cold answer, the Moles did fo write, that it might be fign fied after a bumane manner, that God mas all things by bis beck. For who doubes that, or doth not indeed know, that to ma the frame of the world God used no too or engines ? Besides, if that were the in tent, why is not also else-where God brough in uling like speech, when he did for great work or miracle? I do no whit doul but John had an eje on that place, who be termed Christ the Word, as if he be faid, that Christ was pointed out by the expressions; He faid, and it was made although obscurely indeed, as befitted those times, even as also obscurely mention made of the Holy Spirit, in the fame chap ter, when Moses Said, and the Spirit of God moved upon the waters. For indeed first view he seems to speak of some wind and so the Chaldee Paraphrast expounded tha

(21)

7

ebat place. But because no reason can be given whence that wind should arise, or fit canfe why it should move upon the waters, men might be inclined to conjecture that Moles in that place had respect to another thing. In the Same manner plainly, fish no reason of so deligent and beedful an observation fit enough can be given, that God spake of each thing severally, Let it be made and it was made, there must be some mystery conched under those expressions: When therefore in the New Testament we are taught, that all things were made by Christ, Let the Jews either open and explain to us that Mystery, or let them not show themselves fiff in this matter. I confess indeed, that without the light of the Gospel, we should be besitant here with the Jews; but fith Christ is called the Word, and faid to be be by whom God made all things, no man bath any more cause of doubting left, but that Moles would intimate it by that diligent observation which the words of the Pfalmist Pfal. 33.6.9. By the word of the Lord were the Heavens made, and all the hoft of them by the breath of his mouth: He spake and it was done, besides those words Pfal.

Pfal. 136. 5. to him that by wifdom (which hoyos fignifies as well as speech) made the Heavens, Pfal. 148. 5. become manded and they were created, did fo fat intimace that the Chaldee Paraphrat, Ifa. 45. 12. reads; I in my word have made the earth, and created man upon it and Ifa. 48. 13. By my word I have found ed the earth, and Philothe Jew besides o-ther sayings in his book of allegories shew ing his inklings of his knowledge of this myffery, though dark faith, the mord or the world: These with many other allegations, which might be produced out of Jewish Writers, and others do evince, that the Evangelist means the fame creation, when he faith all things were made by him, and the fame beginning when he faith, In the beginning was the word as Mofer meant, when he faid, In the beginming God created the Heaven and the Barth. Geh. 1. 1.

The lense of the words in which the force of the argument consists is according to the plain and obvious use of the words and phrases; the other for the most part without example, and so streined, as that

(23)

rather to wrest, than so interpret them; as will appear by comparing the ellegations and senses on both sides given in the particulars as they are in order examined in the next Section.

24

21

A

de

W

da

0-

113

or

di

N

it to the state of the state of

The Adverfaries acknowledge, that the intent of the Evangelish in that mhich is faid of Chrift, was to fer out Christ in what he was fingular, orand was excellent in him : But to expound his words as chey do, is to make, the Evangeliff deliver things common to him with others, as to fay in the beginning mas the Word that is, preaching the Golpel on in the beginning of the preaching of the Gospel the Word Christ was nicher is had a being, that he was with God; that is, was known of God , is to fay no more than might be faid of John Baptift; to fayhe was with God to learn Myfferies is the same which St. Paul faith of himself, when he was rape into Paradile, on is true of Mofes when he conferred with God in the Mount; to fay all things were made by bim, that is, by his preaching the Gentiles were become new creatures , is be more than might be daid of Peters Paul, 200 Was

(24)

and other ApoRles; to fay he was a God in office, that he had Divine Power to work Miracles, is to fay no more of him than might be faid of Mofes, of the Apa files, not do they or can they give any good reason, which may agree with the Majesty and Wildom of so divine a writing and Writer as this of Fohn, to deliver things to obvious in to obscure Phrases, as are not congruous to a divine Historian, when the same might be, and were by o ther Evangelists delivered in plainer expreffions; nor why he should prefix those facred Aphorisms before his History, if they contained no Mystery, but things eahis perceivable by fenfe; nor is fuch an end, as is imagined by fome, to fhew that John Baptist was not the Messias, any thing probable to have been propounded by John the Evangelist, who wrote long after John Baptift was dead; nor could beg or was by any conceived to be the Christ: Such things as these can hardly be imputed to the Evangelist without some note of dotage.

ginning was the Word that is, in the beginning of the preaching of the Gospel
was

was the Word, that is, Christ was preaching of the Gospel, or the preaching of the Gospel was, is more like an inept tautology of a trifler, than the holy,

wife faying of a Divine Writer.

-

LC

17

d

.

e

10

25

6. The fense given by the Adversaries is in fundry things not true; for in the bechrist was not with God in Heaven, as they expound, verfe 1. 2. his being with God, but was come from Heaven, and converted familiarly with men; nor is it true, that in the beginning of the Preaching of the Gospel ( be the beginning at John's beginning to preach; or Christs, or his Apostles, or the continuing of it in the daies of his fleth) all things belonging to the new Creation, the new Covenant, the reconciling the world, the preaching and propagating of the Gospel were done by him. For the many Miracles of Christ were not done in the beginning of the Preaching of the Gospel, nor was his dying for us, nor the fending his Apostles, and their impowering by the coming of the Holy Ghoft to them till after his Refurrection and Ascention: Yea those men who expound the words , John 1. 3. All bings

things were done by bins of divine and marvelous works, yet many divine marvelous works, as, the opening of the Heavens, the descent of the Spirit in the form of a Dove, the transfiguration and glory in the Mount, the rending the veil of the Temple, the Earthquake, cleaving the Rocks, opening the Graves, darkening of the Sun ac his death, his Resurrection from the dead , they deny to have been done by Christ or the concurrence of his power or operations nor is it tribe bin cheir tente , that without him was nothing done that was done; For in the bulinels of the preaching of the Golpel afore Christs man nifestation to Ifrael, John did preach and Baptize, and in the working of Miracles there were some that did cast out devils in Christs name, who followed not Christs Luk. 2.49. Nor is it true, that in their fense the world was made by Christ, that is the Gentiles reconciled by Christs Preaching or the world reformed; for that was done by the Apostles after his Ascention: And if it be faid, sthat after Christa Afcention all things mere done by him , that the world was made by bim, that was not in the beginning, in which they fay, be was the bane s

of

n

C

S

b

the word, that is, did preach the Golpel, was a God, that is, did work Miracles, was with God, that is, was taken up into heaven, and therefore the make ing of all things , John 1.3. cannor be understood according to their own exposition of in the beginning of Christs care in ordering and moderating all things be longing to his Church after his afcention ? nos had any more been faid of him asthen done than may be faid now, which is contrary to the adversaries grant , that fome more than ordinary remarkable things is related of Christ by Sr. John in the first verfes of Golpel: Whence may be juftly inferred that the Exposition given by them neither is confiftent with the truth of things, nor the Evangelists words, nor their own olludess who fayings. the fame was in the became

#### do Son cornection Line . Led

The reasons of the Adversaries Expofition of John 1.1, &c. are shewed to be insufficient,

But besides other absurdities, which are in the Exposition of the Adversa-

ries, the reasons they give are mistakes and infufficient for what they produce them; For in the first there is a great mistake, as if the Evangelist used the term Word to intimate by whom the Preaching of the Golpel began; For Christ is not termed o Noyos, which we trapflate [ the Word] because he was Gods Messenger to declare Gods mind to us in the Golpel; the term [Word] is not fit to expresse a deputy, but the term [Ambassador, Legate] but because it signifies Reason, and Wildom, and therefore firly expresseth Christ, who was the Wisdom of God, by whom he made all things at the beginning of the Creation , Pfal. 136. 5. Prov. 3. 19. with whom be was when he prepared he Heavens, Prov. 8, 27, to which the Evangelist alludes, when he faith, John 1. 2. the same was in the beginning with God, and the Authorr of the Book of Wildom, ch. 9. 2. alluding to that, Gen. 1. 26. Let us make man in our Image, faith, who baft framed man in thy Wifdom, or, because all things are said to be made by the Word of God, as in the places before alledged, Pfal. 33.6.9. Pfal. 148. 5. alluding wishout doubt to the exprelries fions,

fions, Gen. 1. 3, 6, 9, 11, 14, 20, 24; 26, 28. and accordingly the holy Writers in the New Testament, expresse the first Creation, as done by the Word of God. St. Parl 2 Cor. 4. 6. God who commanded the light to Shine one of darkness. Heb. 11, 3. Throngh faith we understand that the worlds were framed by the word of God. & Pet. 3. 5. Por this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the Word of God, TE OLE Noye the beavens were of old, and the Earth flanding out of the water, and in the water, or as it may be read, confifting of water, and by water; for which reason the Chaldee Paraphraft in abundance of places, especially where Gods, creating of Heaven and Earth is fignified, wfeth this expression, I have done it by my Word, as Ifa. 45. 22. and 48. 13. Hof. T. 7. whence it is apparent that St. John uled the term o hoyos, the Word as a citle known to the Jews, answering to the Chaldee, Thio; and that, as Heinfim Arift. Jac. exercit. in non. c. 3. observes, it undoubtedly comes from the East, not from the Greeks, and that by reason of his relating Gods acts, especially the Creation, as done by the

2

Word, it is not given by the Evangelin to Chrift, to fignific his preaching of the Gofpel, but his creation of the World at first, and confequently to be understood of his Divine Nature, in which he created all things in the beginning of the world nor dorbithe speech, I John I. I, 2, that what was from the beginning which he and weber Apostles bud feen with their eyes, and their hands bandled of the word of Life, prove, that the term [Word of Life] imports only Christs humane nature, or what he is fo termed from preaching the Gospel : For it is not faid, that they handled or faw the Word of life, but veley beard, fam, shandled ; meet TSA by The Zone concerning the Word of life, that is as verle 2. And the life was manifefted and we have feen, and witness, and Thew unto you that coernal life which was with the Father, and was munifested anto as , that is, as is expressed, T Tim. 3. 16. Gad war manifested in the flesh; 10 that what they heard, fave, handled, concerning the Word of Life, or Divine Nature was manifelted in the flesh by his words of command to unclean Spirits to depart, to the Winds and Seas to be ftill, by

Ċ

1

1

I

C

Ž

2

S

B

0

C

Ś

0

y

by his Miracles which they law, felt, rafted, whereby he manifested his glory, Joh. 1. 14. and 2. 11. and 11. 40. whence Christ argues, John To. 37, 38. If I do not the works of my Pather believe me not, But if I do, though je believe not me, believe the works, that ye may know and believe that the Father to in me, and I in him. John 14. to, 17. The words that I Speak unto jou I Sheak not of my felf , but the Father that dwelleth in me, be dorb the works : Believe me that I am in the Fkther and the Father in me ; or elfe believe me for the very works fake; nor is Christ deferibed, Rev. 19. 13. Where he is called like Word of God Pas a Propher to Thew that the title [Word of God] imported his preaching, but as a Warriour to thew his power; nor as the Effay on Rev. 19. 13. Becaufe be came immediately from the Divine Majesty in Heaven to publish the Gospel to the world, and had full power to do what foever miracles be

2. It is true that [in the beginning] is wont to be reftrained to the matter in hand, nor is it denied but that in many of the places alledged in the second excep-

(32)

tion [in the beginning] is meant of the preaching of Chirft, nor is it material in this point, whether [beginning] Luke 3.23. be referred to Christs age, or the preaching of the Gospel, though the latter be leffe probable, because then when Christ was Baptized of John he had not begun to preach the Gospel till after his temptation in the Wilderness. But the thing to be proved is, that the preaching of the Gospel is the matter in hand, Job, 1. 1, 2. The word [ the beginning ] is uled John 8. 44. 1 John 3. 81 Mat. 19. 4, 8. and 24. 21. Mark. 10. 6, and 13. 19. Heb. 1, 10. 2 Pet. 3-41 for the beginning of the Creation, and the very expreffions, John 1. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10. and other evidences before alledged thew it, answers to miunia Gen. I. I. Gr. a agun, as John I. I. and in both places are delivered with the like agreableness to Gods Majefty in creating, that even as Longinus the Philosopher magnified Mofel his description of the Creation, fo Francifcus Junion that eminent Divine was taken with the reading of John 1. 1. 60 and from his inclinations to Atheifm was by it brought to the knowledge of Christ,

as he relates in the narration of his own life. As for the fense the Adverfaries give , In the beginning was the Word , that is, in the beat ginning of the Gofpel was the Word , that is, the man Christ Tefus called the Word, in that be was the immediate Interpreter of God; by whom he revealed his counsel touching our Salvation, as we are went to disclose our fecrets by our words, which reason, it is faid, may not objeurely be collected from the 18. v. of the same chapter, and the Word was with God, being taken up into Heaven, that fo be might talk with God, and be indeed his Word, or the immediate Interpreter of bis Will, and receive the most certain and absolute knowledge of the Kingdom of Heaven which he was to propound to men, it hath no colour from the Text; For neither is le faid, in the beginning of the Gospels nor the appellation of the Word is given to Christ in regard of bis Prophetical Office, nor is it faid in the beginning the Word was preaching, but fimply mas, noting his existence, not his acting; nor will the order of the Apostles Propositious confil with the sense they give, for then St. John should have said thus: In the bear ginning the Word was with God, and after he had been with God, he came down from Heaven:

((34)

Heaven and was the Word; that is, preached the Gospel, and then he was a God, as being endued with divine Power and Empire, to cast out Devils, and to do great works, as Moseadid; whereas the first thing he sets down in the being of Christin the beginning, and then his being with God, and his being God, and the making of all things by him, which can be applied in this order to no beginning, but that of the Greation, and therefore [in the beginning] must be understood of the first Greation of all things, and not of the publishing the Gospel, as they would have

So This consideration also overthrows their sense of the words [man with God] of his being taken up into Heaven, that so be might talk with God, and be indeed his Word. For ifit were meant of his taking up into Heaven in a humane body (as 2 Cor. 12.2- is said of Paul.) then it should have been put first, whereas it is the second and fourth Proposition, John L. I., 2. and being repeated, v. 2. and then it sollowing, verse 3. all things were made by him, and without him not one thing was made that was made, it plainly shews that the meaning is, that he was with God in the work of Creation of the Heaven and Earth,

ts

2000

6

F

6

C

rl

d

Ø,

To as that all things were made by him as the Son of God, or God with the Father : As for the words, verse 18. of his being in the bosom of his Father, they do not thew his receiving instructions from God, as a Mellenger, taken up into Heaven, that he might be fent down again to deliver his Message perfeetly and amply, but the love, and intimacy and nearnes to his Father, in that he was his only begotten Son, and therefore was perfectly acquainted with him, and his mind: And it feems to me that the Evangelift alluded, John 1, 1, 2, 18, to the pallages which are Prov. 8, 29, 30. When he gave to the Sea bis decree, shat the waters should not paffe bis commandement, when be appointed the foundations of the Earth, then I was by him at one brought up with him , (Hebr. 1708 d Foster Child, Aguila, Tidursuevu nursed as a Child in the bolom ) and I was daily his delight, rejoyeing alwaies before bim which is the opinion of Groties annot. ad 30. T.T. Prov. 8. 27. and many others, and thews, that the being in the bofom was not as a Servant to be imployed, but as a Son in whom he delighted, as is faid, Marth. 3. 17. and 17.5. As for that which hath been itimagined concerning the meaning of the D 2 words

words The Word was with God , John 1. 1, 3, as if it were thus , He was known to God, though unknown to men till be was manifested by John, as it is partly falle, fith he was known to Mary his Mother, Zecharias, Elizabeth, Simeon, Anna, Joseph, to the Wife men of the East, as the Son of God, King of the Jews, a born of Salvation out of the bonfe of David, the Lord, the rifine from on bigh , the light of the Gentiles , the glory of the people Ifract, the Lords Chrift, as may be perceived by reading Luke 1, and 2. ch. Mat. 1. and 2, fo is it frivolcus, as being without any example of fuch use of the expressions, and convery to the use of the phrase, which still notes presence of being, or fubfiffance, or cohabitation, as Mat. 13. 15,36. Mark 6. 3. and 9. 19. Luke 9. 41.1 Cor. 16. 6. &c. and fignifies 3ch. 1. 1, 2. his being with God in the beginning of the Creation , as παρασοί with thee , Joh. 17.5. Hunv παρ αυία, Prov. 8. 30. which the Chaldee Paraphraft reads, at bis fide, and Exod, 70.19. Let not the Lord feak with at by the Word which is before the Lord, and the Wifdom of Solomon as it is fliled , ch. 9. 9. faith thus : And wifdom was with thee which knoweth thy works, and was prefent when

when then madest the world, where the translators in the margin not unsitly place Prov. 8. 22. Joh. 1. 1, 2, 3, 10. as parallel Texts to shew the presence and co-operation of the Word in the making of the World; which she with Jews had some inkling of the Worlds subsistence at the Creation, though the writing be Apocryphal, nor doth his being with the Father John 1. 1, 2, signific objective pre-

fence, but effential. but at bodit . tess

As for the device of Christs being caken up into heaven in his humane body, as it is a late invention, fo it is improbable, fith no dercain time or other circumstance is expressed in the Evangelists, in which it should be which in fo remarkable a thing ic's nor likely would have been omitted any more than in Paul's narration of himself , 2 Car. 12. 3. if fuch an afcention had been; nor is the reafon given that he should be taken up to Head ven that he might talk with God, and receive the most certain and absolute knowledge of the Kingdom of Heaven, which be mas to propose tomen, probable, fith another reason is given of his knowledge, John 3. 34 For he whom God bath fent, speaketh the words of God s For God giveth not the Spirit by menfore to hims nor is he any where faid to go from the BOA

Earth, and to tetum thicker; and when Christ speaks of his going to Heaven, he faith, Luke 24. 26. Ought not Christ to Juffer thefe things, and to enter into bis glory? Which intimates his going to Heaven, to have followed his fufferings, and Heb. 9. 12. the Authour of that Epiftle faith, By bis own blood be entred in once into the holy place, Epanas whether it be read once, or for once, or at once, it notes but one time entring into heawen with his blood, as his offering was but once, Heb. 10. 10. and not often as the High-Priest Advanical did. As for what is alledged, [that John 6.38, 46, 51, 62. Chrift effirmet behat he came down from Heaven, and had feen God, and that he was the living bread which came down from Heaven; whereof whofeever did eat should live forever; fothe bread which he would give mas bis fleft, which he would give for the life of she world . And afterwards asketh the Jews, what if they hould feethe Son of man afcende ing up where he was before? namely, before be began to preach the Cofpet, as he kimfelf meimateth, John 8. 42 Where be faith if God were your Father ; you would love me, for I went out from Gad, and came o for penber damed of my felf , but he feut me d And John 16. 28. Where he faith, I came out from the Father, and came into the world: Again (or rather on the contrary) I leave she world, and go to the Father: which going forth from the Father, every one may easily perceive, by the opposition of the following clause, is meant of a local procession of Christ from God, and that before the difcharge of his Embaffy : for to come, or to come into the world signifieth to treat with men in the name of God, and to performs publick Office among men, See lohn 1.15. 27, 30. and lohn 1. 5, 20. Mar. 11.3, 18, 19. 10h. 17. 18. compared with chap. 16. at. and chap. 18. 37.] it evinceth not a badily alcent into Heaven of Christ to learn of God afore his publishing the Gospel, For it is not faid whither he afcended before, but was before, which notes prefence there, bur not local motion; nor is it faid in his humane body; though it be faid, the Son of man was there before ; yet this may be underftood by the figure of communication of properties vety frequent in speeches of Christ, according to his Divine Nature; nor is he faid to be there before his preaching the Golpel, reftraining the cime to ir; it may be meant of his being in Heaven afore the World was, as it

COL

W 01

46

the

1

fro

to

the

in

fre

di

in

do

rc

1p

3

0

g

W

th

0

f

2

Tris faid, John 17. 5. nor is it faid, Chriff flet came from heaven, or that be came from Heaven by local motion, or faw God by his eyes offieth; his coming and feeing God, may be understood of his Divine Nature in respect of which he was of Heavenly Original, though his being bread be meant of his flesh and humane nature in which he fuffered, and his coming out from God, and coming John 8. 42. is expounded of his receiving commission from God, as the words shew, neither came lof my felf, thatis, I have not taken upon me this Office, I now administer of mine own motion only, but he fent me; nor is coming out from the Father necessarily understood, of coming our from the Father, and coming into the world by local procession; but the coming out from the Father may be meant of receiving commission from his Father, or his original being, and his coming into the world either of his humane birth , or as the allegation expresset it, bu thesting with men in the Name of God, and performing a publick office among men, unto one of which, the Texts produced lead us, and not to the fense of local procession in his humane body, nor doth the opposition prove it a for the fenfe may be right thus : I came out from the the Father by generation, and taking the world by humane birth , or as it is, John 124 46. A light into the world by my preaching the Gospel, and again, or on the contrary I leave the world by death; or removing from the Earth, and go by my bodily afcent tothe Father : As for lohn 3. 13. neither is the coming down from Heaven, nor his being in Heaven necessarily understood of removal from Earth to Heaven, and back again by bodily motion, but may be meant of his being in Heavenin his Divine nature, and coming down from Heaven by being made flesh, or receiving his commission from God, in respect of one or both of which he is faid, verfe 31. 10 come from Heaven, from abave, in opposition to being of the Earth by humane generation, or authority. And verfe 32. he is said to have feen and heard by his intimacy with his Father, and the communication of the Spirit. zerfe 34. not by his bodily eyes or ears upon a supposed personal humane prefence and conference with God in Heaven. One

4. The Apellation of God given to the Word, Joh. 1. 1. is not from his Office as altogether Drvine, as being above Prophets, whose Office, if compared with Christs, was bumane;

(42)

mimane; For Mofes was a Propher of whom for God faid , Numb. 12. 8. With him will 1 h speak month to mouth, even apparently, and p not in dark speeches, and the similitude of the Lord foall be behold: and St. Paul was w rape into the third Heaven, and heard word in unfpeak able ; 2 Cor. 12. 4. and yet neither o of them termed God; yea, St. Paul abhor. ( red ic with indignation, Alls 14. 11, 15 a fuch persons may be Seior Divine men, no o See Gods : nor is the Word termed God to as endued with Divine Power: For then Ma th fes might be fo termed, for he was a Prophe !! endued with Divine Power and Empire, fo a it to controul Pharaoh and to work Miracles w But Mofes is not termed God, though Go m faid to him, I have made thee a God to Phase faid to him, I have made thee a God to Phase raoh, Exed. 7.1. and thou shalt be to Aaron in for or instead of God, that is, a is a Prince or Malter to command or direct him in Exod. 4. 16. But the Word, it's faid, lob ha L. P. was God absolutely, noting what he G was in himfelf, not relatively what he was or 24 another, thewing what he was in nature and power, not what he was defigned for , or W what his imployment or work should be, of ne what he was in Office, no white expression for from whom, to whom, for what he was we fent,

fent, or what he did ) but what he was a And his being God is faid to be in the broising not in the progress of his preaching in which he did Miracles , not after his Refurrection when all Power was given bim in Heaven and in Earth, Mat. 28, 18. nor after his afcention, when be was exalted by the right band of God, Atts 2. 33. God made bim both Lord and Christ , verfe 36. But in the beginning of the Creation, when he made allthings, and therefore was God she Greatour, as the Authour to the Hebrews ch. 3. 4. afferts, He that built, or framed all things is God: where it may be observed, that Ocos God is put without the article o, and yet by God is there meant not a substituted God by Office, bue God the Creatour, and Ishu 1.6, 12, 13, 18. and in a great number of other places in is likewife used; and therefore notwithstand-ing this exception the Word is to be believed to he have been God Creatour, viry God of very o as v. 3. is afferted. orta all son at a und

Word is not meant of the Plat 148.5. in fore Sect. 13 and that the last way in fore Sect. 13 and that the

words eyevero and yeyave man.

nt, eavy

ufed lobe 1.3 , To translated by us made are used of the first Creation is manifest from the use, Heb. 4. 3. and 11. 3. 1 Cor. 15. 6, 45. 25 Gen. 2.7. and Gen. 1.3, 6, 9, 11. 14, 15, 20, 24. and 2. 4. and therefore the creation of all things of the world at first, 6 fiely expressed by chose words, John 1.3, 10 b and fo the universal Creation at first ascribe u to the Word: Nor is it any deminution and Chrift, that it is faid , all things were mal v by him , and without him was nothing man by him, and without him was nothing many which was made, and the world was made, by him. For the expressions by him, was without bim do not note alwaies an infrumen b even Rom. 11. 36. It is faid, all things ar of him, and by him, and for him, who the Lord stown bom glary belongs for ever the but thews the order and co-operation of the It Father and the Word in the Creation. Ap for the paffage, a Cor. 5. 17 it is grante co to be means of the new flate of things be Christs reconciling the world to God , ver a 18. but it is not like the words, loba 1. 1 b 10. whereall things are faid to be made no not made new by him , and old things paft . k way, and all ibings are faid to be made by him i in the bosinning; Whereas the making a co things new by reconciling the World to God in

bell

2

was by Christs being made sin for them, verigr. by his death, and therefore nor in the
beginning of his preaching the Gospel, and
therefore cannot be meant of the same creation,
Ephes. 2. 10. The Ephesians are said to be
created in Christ lesus unto good works, not
by Christ Jesus, but to be Gods Work. The
words Ephes. 3.9. may be more rightly understood of the first Creation, in which Christ
was co-worker, which the words from the beginning of the world seem to intimate; however they are not like sohn 1.3. in expressions,
and therefore evince not, that they are to be
both understood of the same thing.

6. The term usomos can fignifie no other than either the frame of Heaven and Earth, or the Inhabitants in it, as lohn 17. 5, 17. John 1. 9, 29. and 3. 16. and many more places, and eyevelo can have no other fignifie cation, than was made by him, at the first creation. For the World was made by him ы afore be was in it, and it knew him not, it 2 being fur as an aggravation of their perverleness, that the world that was made by him 10 knew him not , which can be verified of no in time, but the fuft creation; which is confirmed by the two next verses : For verse 9. Coming into the World is meant, of appearing a-WE

mong men, whether by birth or other manife flation, and therefore the world muft fignifie verfe 9. the Earth or Men, and fo, ver 10. which is apparent in that, when it faid , the world know him not , it muft expounded, men knew him not, and the words following, he came unto his ewn, as bis own received him not , verfe II. muft b understood of men, whether his own b meant of men fimply, or men that he had for cial relation to as Country men, or Kin men. And for the word eyevelo which w translate [was made] as here put, it new fignifies any other thing than was, or w made, or was begotten, not revealing presch ing, or renewing. Nor does the Word [xo Mos the World I fignifie, being pur withou any furtheraddition or expression, heaven, immortality, or eternal life. The place Rom. 4. 11 3. That Abraham (hould be be of the World, if meant of his own natural pe the promifed Land; if of Christ, of the Empire of the world, as Pfall 2.8. is fort told; if of his feed by Faith, to be co-heir be likely from the connexion with the I rth. and 14th. verse ) of the world of Believers Jews C

.

2

n

C

à

0

W

11

tł

n E

5

and Gentiles of whom he is Father, fill it is meant of mens persons, not their meer flate and condition , Heb. 10. 5. the coming into the world, is coming among men, and that to offer himself in Sacrifice , and the preparing of his body is not making it immortal, but fitting it for death , as verfe 10, 12. do plainly hew ; Neither of the places, Heb. 2. 5. or 6. 5. have the word translated World John I. To. in the one the word is ouspiled oppoled to eguno defart, in the other aids? and notes the course of time, place, or generation future ; neither the flate it felf of eternal life, which is diffinct from the world to come , Lake 18. 30. and cannot be meant of the world made by Christ, John 1. 10. which is not future, but was existent when Chrift was in it. For the fame reasons , by the world made by Christ, cannot be meant ce the Church reformed by him; For if by the world be elfewhere meant the Church of the Elect, or world of Believers reconciled to God (as is conceived to be meant, John & 51. 2 Cor. 5. 19, 60.) yet here it cannot ort neit be meant, because it is said, the world not knew him not, received him not, even that an world which was made by bim; but the Church of the Elect, or, Believers reconciled

0

pe

siled to God, knew him, and received him Nor doth any where the word [ was made put as here, fignifie was renewed, enlightned reformed; nor if it were fo used, could it be here, fich the world knew him not, nor me ceived him, which is faid to be made by him And to fay that the meaning is, the world wa made by him, that is, the world was fo fa as concerned his action, as much as in him lay, enlightned, renewed, reformed, though not in the event, fo as to note Christs flud and endeavour , not the effect, is without all example; fith the word eyevelo doth nou being, and effect of the thing, not intention or action of the agent, and fo the Church of Elect would be no more made by him than o thers, and it would be clean contrary to the Apostles incention to shew the event of his being in the world, that notwithstanding the world was made by him, yet they were fi averle from him, as not to know him, and fo perverle as not to receive him; underftanding Synecdochically, the greater part (as 2 Per-2.5. and elsewhere the world is put for the multitude, or greatest part) diffinet from them that received him , verfe 1 2, 13.

was in him in the beginning, not restored to

le

ed

be

72

24

fa

oin

igi dy

OU

Ott

ion

1 0

10

chi

be

the

: 60

16

ing

.5.

cie

hat

to

him at his Refurrection, and it is faid was in him , not as John 11. 25. and 14. 5. he was the life causally and relatively to others, but in him, that is in himself, and fo notes what he had in respect of his Effence, and natural Being, not by his Office, or communicated Power in that respect. And when it is faid, the life was the light of men, it is not faid, the life shall be the light of men, but was fo in the beginning; Nor is it faid, it was the light of men as dead or fallen, but of men fimply as men, and fo cannot be understood of the light infused by regeneration, or restored by railing from the dead, but communicated by Creation, and notes the natural light of reason and understanding wherewith Christ inlightens every man which cometh into the World, verle 9. of which more may be feen in my Book of The true old Light exalted, Serm. 1.on Job. 1.9. Nor is it to the contrary , that ver. 5. it is faid, and the light hineth in the darkness, and the dark ness comprehended it not: For taking the shining by enallage oftense (as it must be, whether it be meant of shining by creation or Preaching) for the time past, and the sense be, and the light shined in the darkness; it may be meant of the beginning

of the Creation allusively to Gen. 1. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and in the sense of the Apostle, 2 Cor. 4. 6. God spake, to wit, by the Word, that the light should shine out of darkness, and the darkness did not comprehend it; orif the sense be , Christ the true light when he came into the world, fhined among dark men, and they did not comprehend him, or his Doctrine which he taught; it proves not that verfe 4. is not meant of Christs life by nature, and his life being the light of men, by creation of them with understanding in the beginning. For as ver. 9, 10, 11. the flupidity and perverfnels of men is shewed, that notwithstanding Christ made the world, and enlightens all men, yet when he was in the world, and preached to them, they knew not, por received him; fo in like manner, v. 4. 5. to the same purpose with good congruity of sense and reason the Evangelist, to shew the great alienation of men from their Creatour, faith; that though in the Word was life in the beginning, and his life was the cause of mens natural light in the creation of Adam, and Eve the Mother of all living , yet when he the true light shined by his preaching a-mong men, who were by sin and ignorance in darkness, and the fadow of death, the dark

dark Spirits of men did not comprehend, understand, and receive him and his Doctrine.

tt

1

if

e

k

ı

ot

y

e

1

t

d

C

8. That Flesh is as much as a man simply as man is obvious out of many passages in holy Scripture, and particularly, John 17. 2, &c. and that it notes Christs humare nature or humane body as fuch is manifest from Jobn 6. 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 6c. and that eyevelo is to be rendred was made, as John 1. 3, 10. and the fenfe, as in Rom. 1. 3. Gal. 4. 4. Heb. 2. 14. and fuch places; is, that he was incarnate or made a man, and that this was a voluntary act in taking a humane nature, not a part of his fufferings is manifest from what is added, he was made flest, and dwelt among us, which notes an act of his will or choice, and imports his affumption of a humane body, that it might be an everlafting habitation for his Divine Majesty, and therein converse with man; And that he was made flesh, not under the notion of weakness but humane nature, is evident from the words following, and we beheld his. glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father, which thews that in his fleth, which be was made, his glory, that is, his Divine Majefly was beheld in the great workshe did in his humane body, and that

E 2

be dwelt in or among us, full of grace of truth; which hew [that he was made flesh] notes not his weakness but humane Nature having Power and Excellency. Adde hereto that the Being of the Word was expressed before, John 1. 2, 3, 4, 9, 10. by wiv he was, therefore Eyevelo, verfe 14. must be meant of his being made a man besides his being the Word. And to fay the Word, who was a man, was a man; had been to trifle, to fay nothing but what might be faid of every man; yea, and that which was difcernable by fenfe, and fo needless to be testified by John, who intended to express Divine Mysteries concern. ing Christ in things that were singular and excellent, and could be known but by revelation from God: and this reason overthrows this fense, the Word that is the Interpreter of Gods Will, was flesh, that is, a man of infirmities; for then no more had been faid of him, than might have been faid of John Baptiff , and other prophets ; The fense then muft be this and no other, The Word which was in the beginning, was with God, was God, by whom all things were made, and without whom nothing was made that was made, in whom was life, and the life was the light of men, enlightning every man that cometh

made, was in the fulness of time made a man in a humane body having his Divine Majesty dwelling in him full of Grace and Truth, so that we beheld his Glory in his Miracles, his Grace and Truth in his Holy and Wife Do-Arine such as manifested him to be the only

begotten of the Father.

9. The terms, John 1. 15. the only begotten of the Father, verle 18. the only begoin ten which is in the bosom of the Father, must be understood of Generation, before the World was made, of the substance of the Father. For the term notes Generation, and fo subfiftence from his Substance, not Creation out of nothing, or created matter as Adam, nor can be be faid to be the only begotten Son of the Father, from his peculiar forming as man, expressed Luke 1.35. for Adam who was formed without the help of man , and called the Son of God , Luke 3. 38. was fo, as much the only begotten Son of God, as the Word, or Jesus Christ. Nor. is he said to be the only begotten of the Father by reason of his peculiar love : for the peculiar love is from his peculiar Sonship, nor that the form or cause of it : nor is he said to be the Son of God by regeneration, as they that E 3 believe (54)

believe in Christ are the Sons of God, John to 13. for fo many are Sons of God; nor from his peculiar miffion, refurrection or exaltation. For though these proved him the only begotten of the Father as evidences thereof, yet not as causes of his Son-ship. But he is intituled the only begotten Son of theFather from his proper generation and Sonship, whence he is filed bis Son, Rom. 8. 3. bis own proper Son , verse 32. not adopted but natural, otherwise Adam might be from his original as well stiled his own proper Son.
That Christ Jesus is in respect of his natural generation, before the world was, the only begotten Son of God may be evinced, t. From Mar. 16. 13, 16, 17. Christ asking, whom do men fay that I the Son of man am, it being answered , verse 14. Some say John the Baptift , others Elias, others Jeremias , or one of the Prophets; our Lord Chrift further preffeth them to tell him, whom they faid bim to be, verse 15. plainly intimating, that these opinions of him were short of what they were to effeem him , whereupon Simon Peter answered and said, verse 16. Thou art the Christ the Son of the living God, to whom Christ replies, verse 17. Blessed art bea Simon Bar-Jona, for flesh and blood bath 200

not revealed it to thee, but my Father which Is in the Heavens. Which plainly them, 1. that the confessing him to be the Son of God. was more than to be the Son of Man, John Baptist, Elias, Jeremiah or one of the Prophers. 2. That this being the Son of God, was fuch a thing as was not to be revealed by flesh and blood, but by his Father in Heaven, therefore it was not his being Gods Son, by the supernatural conception of the bleffed Virgin, for that the could tell, both by her own knowledge of her Virginity, and the Angels revelation; nor by special mission, for that had been, but as one of the Prophers, as Mofer, and had been discernable by flesh and blood upon the fight of his great works, to which he often appealed, as demonstrating him to be feat of his Father as the Meffish John 14. 10, 11. nor as Mediatour only; for then there had been no more acknowledged by Peters confessing him to be the Son of the living God, than by confessing him to be the Christ, therefore he was the Son of the living God by generation of his Fathers Subfrance before the world was, which his Father onely could reveal. further proved from these Texts of Scripture which make it the demonstration E 4

of the greatest love of God in giving bis onhis own Son, but giving him up for us all, Rom. 8. 32. But this had not been such a commendation of his love, if Christ had been only a supernaturally conceived man specially commissionated as Mediatour, if he had not been the Son of God by generation before the world was of his Fathers substance, it had not been more than the not sparing holy Angels but giving them for us; therefore he must be the Son of God, by fuch generation of the Fathers substance, as he had before the world was. 3. Heb. 3. 4, 5, 6, our Lord Chrift is preferred before Mofes, as being a Son over his own house, and the boufe built by himfelf, who built all things, and therefore God, whereas Moses was but faithful as a Servant in Gods boufe, not his own, therefore Christis the Son of God, as he is God with his Father, building or framing all things, and confequently the Son of God by generation of his Fathers substance; before the World was. 4. It is faid Heb. 5. 8. Though be were a Son, yet learned he obedience by the things which he suffered, which shewed a fingular demission of himfelf in his obedience; but if he had been only a Son by creation as the Angels, or as a meer min , by supernatural conception in the Virgins womb, there had been no fuch demission of himself by agreement or accord as here , and Heb. 10. 7. is fet forth ; his fubjection had not been free but neceffary as being Gods creature, if he had not been the Son! of God by natural generation of his substance before the world was. If he had been the Son of God, only as fent by God, to be Mediatour, there had been a tautology, to fay; alchough he was fent by God to be Mediatour, yet he did obey as Mediatour, and being consecrated or perfected became Authour of Salvation to them that obey him; which is as if he had faid, though he were Mediatour, yet he was Mediatour, which had been nugatory.

As for that which is chiefly objected, that the reason of this title [the Son of God] given to Christ is from the peculiar Generation he had by the operation of the holy Ghost: Besides that which is already said, that such a forming was of Adam at first, who was not the Son of God in that singular manner that Christ was, and if there were no other reason of his being the Son of God, but this, he should be termed peculiarly the Son of the Spirit

.

3 -

Spirit, whereas he is stilled the only begotten of the Pather, it is faid , that boly thing which shall be born of thee, intimates that what thould be born of her was holy, and had being before that birth of the Virgin, and that his being called the Son of God, was not for that as the cause, at least not the sole cause, and that his being called the Son of God, was a confequent of being that holy thing, God with us, as it is Mat. 1. 23. The other Texts, John 10. 36. Alls 13. 39. Heb. 5. 5. Oc. do only prove, that his fingular miffion, refurrection, and Priest-hood demonstrated him to be the Son of God, not made him fuch ; for then he had not been the Son of God before thefe , whereas the Angels words flew, Luke 1.35. and the Adversaries yeild, he was the Son of God from his Generation and Birth of the bleffed Virgin.

10. It is true the speech John 1. 15, 27, 30. εμπροω εν με γέγονεν being translated, is preserved before me, may be well conceived to be the same, or to answer to that which is Mat. 3. 11. Mark 1. 7. Luke 3. 16. 19 υρότερος με εςίν, is stronger than I, or is more prevalent, or more powerful than I: But the words, ότι πρωτός με ών, for he may before

before me, must note priority of time: For 1. The word nv was, notes his a-Qual existence, what he was in Being, not what he was to be in Gods Inten-

T at

d

od

ot c,

1,

7,

ct

5.

20

c-

ot

he

n-

m

-1

is

to

15

6.

15

78

Is. az. 10. εμπροθέν με noics priority of duration.

tion. 2. Though πεῶτ & firft, note not only priority of order, but also of rule and power, and is sometimes as much as the chief, yet it cannot be fo meant, John 1. 15, 27, 30. For I. That was before expressed by εμπροωεν με γέγονεν, rendred weil, was preferred before me, noting chiefdom, preheminence or power, and therefore must note fomething distinct from it, otherwise it would be a triffing tautology, and therefore it must be understood of priority of Essence, induration and excellency of being before him, which alone may well be conceived as the cause of his prælation, 2. If Christ had not being before John Baptist, it could not be well faid as it is, v. 16. by him including himself, And of his fulness we all bave received, and grace for grace, fith John had his being as man before Chrift, and was filled with the Holy Ghost from his mothers womb, Luke. 1. 15.

## SECT. 7.

Christs Generation before the world was, is proved from John. 8. 58.

1

1

ì

1

b

n

I

2

21

fe

B

tf

f

01

ik

Christs being the Son of God afore his words, John 8. 58. verily, verily I say unto you Before Abraham was I am. The occasion of which words was from that which ou Lord Christ in the Temple, spake to the Tews, ver. 51. verily, verily, I fay unti you, if a man keep my saying be shall never se death: Which the Jews conceived so notori ous an untruth , that they inferred he must be poffessed by the Devil, fith Abraham was dead, and other holy men. To which our Lord Christ replied, that he honoured not himself, but his Father honoured him that Abraham rejoyced to fee bis day and far is and was glad, which did incimate that he had feen Abraham, and Abraham him, else how could he say , he rejoyced to fee bit day and saw it, and was glad? This was accounted by the Jews for a greater untruth, fo that they reply to him, thou art not yet fifty

dead near two thousand years before? to whom Christ returns this constant affeveration no whit revoking or mincing his former speech, Verily, verily, I say unto you, Before Abraham was, I am; which both the occasion, the manner of expression, and the words plainly shew to have this sense, Before Abraham was a man

in rerum natura, before Ifa. 46. 10. mpiv

Abraham was conceived yaredas.

44

此

he

n,

715

h.

yet

in the womb, or born, I am, that is, have and had a being; which can be understood of no other than his divine Nature, far exceeding the time of Abraham's being; which the Jews conceived, he meant as they did, when he laid, Fohn 5. 17. My Father worketh hitherto and I work; that he faid alfo that God was his Father, making bimself equal with God, ver. 18. and when he faid John 10. 30. I and my Father are one, that being a man he made himfelf God, ver. 33. which they accounted Blasphemy, and would have stoned him for these sayings : Yet did not Christ revoke his speech, but bid bimself, and after went out of the Temple, going through the midst of them, and sopassed by, verse 59. and therefore

had a Being before Abraham, which can be no other than his Divine Nature by Generation, of the substance of his Father before the world was.

The exceptions against this inference, an That it should be read, being in the Acrist . before Abraham, is to be, or shall be or is made Abraham, that is, the Father of many Nations by the calling and graffing in the Gentiles, into the true Olive : I am as i is ver. 12. the light of the world, or the Meffiab; as when he faid, verfe 24. If 1 believe not that I am, that is, the Messich ye shall dye in your fins ; and verse 28. when ge bave lift up the Son of man ye feall know that I am, that is, the Meffiah. 2. The Jefus was before Abraham by Divine confti twien, which Gretius annot. on John 8. 58 makes the fense as John 17. 5. Apocal. 13 8. I Pet. I. 20. and Dr. Hammond in his Paraphrage of John 8. 58. thus expounds its tefus answered that objection of theirs; Yo are much miftaken in my age. For I. 1 have a being from all Eternity, and fo before Abraham was born, and therefore # young as you take me to be, in respect of my age here, I may well have feen and known Abraham.

Abraham. But then 2. In respett of my present appearance here on Earth, though that be but a little above thirty years duration, yet long before Abraham's time, it was decreed by my Father, and in kindness to Abraham revealed to him, while he lived; in which respect it is true that be knew me

Alfo.

RR

2. h

216

che be

0

1

SI

the

f gi

be

now

hg

est in 58

12

h s it:

To

1.1

o be-

To which I reply. 1. That the words either way expounded had been impertinent. as not answering the objection, verse 57. of Christe age not exceeding fifty , and therefore he could not fee Abraham; For his being a man before the Genti'es were called, Gods Constitution and Decree, and the Revelation of it to Abraham, that in his feed all the Nations of the Earth should be bleffed , was alrogether besides the thing Christ was to do, to wit, the verifying of this, that be had seen Abraham, Abraham being a perfon, not fignifying any where the calling of the Gentiles, and Christs seeing him, an Action, which presupposeth an existent substance, in which it must be. And therefore if Christs words had imported no more than 16 M this, that before the Gentiles should be calof my led, Chrift was the Meffiah, or God had nous decreed and revealed his being the Meffish to ham. Abraham,

Abrabam, Christs speech might have been falle, that he had feen Abraham : Yea, i had been nugatory, for Christ had faid no more of himself than might be faid by any of the Tews, that he was in Gods Decree before the calling of the Gentiles; and it had been alfo falle, that before Abraham was Fathe of many Nations, Christ had a being, or was the light of the world, if he had no be ing afore his Incarnation, fith Abraham was Father of many Nations, Ifraelites, Ihma elies, Edomites, and in forme fort a fpirirual Father of many Nations, by the adjoyeing themselves to the people of the God of A. braham , Pfal. 47.8, 9. afore Chrift wa born. And it had been delufory, and anigmarical to understand the words before A braham's being of the future time, when the objection was of his being long before; and to make the answer to be of Abraham unders spiritual consideration, when the objection was of him as the natural Progenitour of the Jews, and of Christ in respect of his Offic er Imployment, when the objection was of his natural duration, which had been concrary to Christs manner of teaching, averring and vindicating his speeches: For though fometimes he answer obliquely, and teach

10

0

.

g. A.

he

1 2

00

in in

n4

ng gh ch

by

confequences, leaving his auditors to confider his words, yet ftill his replies are folid. pertinent and convincing. And though the Exposition of some of them have no small difficulty, yet his speeches are not in such an anigmatical and dark manner as this, by allufion to the Etymology or derivation of a Name, when other expressions were obvious : Besides, if Christ did at any time use fuch dark expressions or manner of answering, yet he cannot be conceived rightly to have done so in th's answer, in which his preface, Verily, verily I fay unto you, thews his answer to have been direct and plain, and was taken by the Jews in the fense importing his priority of Being, which Christ gainfaid not, and all Interpreters till this laftage, have so expounded them.

2. The words cannot by any instance of the like use of them be shewed to have the sense put on them. For however the Aerist may signific the suture time, yet as the occasion; so also Christ's averring his own Being, antecedent to Abraham's, shews it must be understood here of the time past, and be read before Abraham was, not Abraham shall be. And if he had alluded to Abrahams Name changed, he should have

faid, Before Abraham, Shall be Abraham : And the objection being about Christs age, and to the impossibility of his feeing Abraham, the answer is an affertion of his exiflence, without anything added : It is granted, that the words, verfe 24, 28. need a supply , yet neither there , nor ver. 58. can the supplement be conceived to be taken from, verf. 12. fo as that the meaning should be, unless ye believe that I am the light of the world, ye shall know that I am the light of the world; Before Abraham was, I am the light of the world. For the words, v. 20, do fhew that the speech he made before was interrupted, and he began a new conference with them, verfe 21. and there. fore the supplement to be added, v. 24, 28. is more likely to be from verse 23. Untess ye believe that I am from above, ye shall know that I am from above, in which verfes the particle ott that thews it to be an objee of Faith, which requires something to be known and believed besides his Being, which was obvious to their fenfes; But ver. 58, the particle is not, nor any thing required of them; but there's a plain and direct afcertion of his Being without any other supplement; Nor is there any difficulty in expound-

ing I am, by I was, the prefent tense being like manner used for the time palt, John 6. 24. and 14. 9. and 15. 27. and, as Grotius well observes, there is the like expreffion in the Greek , Pfal. 90. 2. Before the Mountains were brought forth où Es thou art, that is, thou waft, noting time paft continued, and therefore by the Sprinck Interpreter, and Nonnus his Paraphrale, rightly it is rendered John 8. 58. Before Abraham was born I was; Nor is it unlikely that he used this expression in the sense in which it is said by God, Isa. 43. 10. Eyw ini I am he, to which John 13. 19. is consonant : The word imi is neither Job. 17. 5. nor Revel. 1 3. 8. and that neither are understood of Gods Decree, is shewed in the next Section, Vide Plac. difp. 11. 9. 21. Oc.

đ

d

N

1

0

i

## SECT. 8.

Christs being before the World was, is proved from John 17.5.

TO these passages in the Gospel of St. F 2

I shall adde the words of Christ in his prayer to his Father, John 17.5. And now, O Father, glorifie thou me with thine own felf, with the glory which I had before the world was with thee; which words do evince, that Christ had glory with his Father before the world was, which is the description of Eternity, Pfal. 90.2. Prov. 8. 23, 25. whence it follows, that before the world was, Christ had a Being in glory with his Father, which could be no other than his Divine Nature, as he was Son of God, and therefore is to be acknowledged to be begotten of the substance of the Father before the world was.

Tothis it is answered, that the glory here is not Divine Majesty, but glory of immortality and honour to him as man, such glory as was given to him, verse 24, and which he saith he had given to his Disciples, ver. 22. as it is said, 2 Tim. 1.9. that God had saved them, and called them with an hoty calling, not according to their works, but according to his own purpose and grace, which was given them in Christ Jesm, before the world began; to wit in Gods predestination. And in the same respect the Apostle saith, 2 Cor. 5. 1. We have a building of God, an house not made with hards

That they had in Heaven a better and enduring substance, in the sense in which Christ is said to be fore-ordained before the foundation of the world, I Pet. 1.20. to be the Lamb slain from the beginning of the world; So Believers are said to have everlasting life, John 3.36. & 5.24. I John 5.12, 13.

not in possession but predestination.

To which I reply that it is somewhat difficult to explain what the glory is with which Christ prayes his Father to glorifie him, and how he would have him glorifie him, by reason of the various meanings of the term glory and waies of Gods glorifying. The Perition implies it was fuch glory as he had before the world was, now had not: This may be best understood by considering how he had it with the Father before the world was? Two waies are conceived, one by adual possession, the other by predestination or fore-ordaining: For the former, and against the latter are these Reasons. t. From the tense, [which I had] in the preterimperfeet tense, which if meant of having in purpole only it should be, which I have with thee, fith Gods purpole or fore-appointment Was still the fame. 2. Which reason is ftrengthfrengthened from the time, now glarifie me, which intimates he had it not then; but he was then glorified in purpole. 3. Before the World was, which shews when he had it, and how he would have it again, but he doth not petition to have it again only in purpole, but in actual poffession. 4. He desires that he may have that glory with his Father's own felf., which be had with him : Now with his Father's own felf, in the one part must be meant of his Presence, and that in Heaven. as when it is faid, I fobn 2. 1. We have an Advocate with the Father, that is, in his presence in Heaven, therefore also in the o-

mapa sol, Deur. Tr. 16. is Spoken of a Servant cohabiting. 7/01 a'r-DOWNO1 3701 Ta. ps col, Numb. 22. 9. 1 Sam. 22. 3. wapa gol, ver. 23. eisaje game.

ther part, with thee, cannot be meant, in thy Purpose, but in thy Presence in Heaven. 5. Christ had the glory with his Father before the world was in a peculiar manner, fuch as none of the Elect have, with fuch love before the foundarion of the world, as

was fingular, verse 24. But, if it were no more than in purpole had by him, it was no otherwise, then all the Elect had it before the world was, therefore he had it in actual pol-

fellion.

(71)

fession with peculiar imbracing and delight, as John 1. 18. and 3. 35. Prov. 8.30.
6. There is no place of Scripture, wherein such an expression of our having, as here, is meant of having only by Gods purpose for the suture in predestination. The giving, John 17. 24. is not to be understood of an

intention for the future, but a present actual collation. The giving, 2 Tim. 1.9. of grace is not meant of Gods purpose but donation according to his purpose, which donation was

Grot. Annot. ad Rom. 16. 26. Xeovos Lierios id est longissimo tempore, ut 2 Tim. 1.

by grant, or promise as it is expressed, Tit.

1. 2. where and 2 Tim. 1. 9. προ χρόνων αιωνίων is not well rendered before the world began, but before the times of ages, noting the times of the ages following the creation, and should be so rendered, Rom. 16. 25. as the parallel places, Epbes. 3. 9. Col. 1. 26. do shew, and so the giving of the grace, 2 Tim. 1. 9. is most likely meant of the Promise made, Gen. 3. 15. as Dr. Twisse conceives Vindic. grat. 1. 1. part. 1. sect. 4. digress. 2. c. 5. However though the grace of God might be said to be given in Gods purpose, yet not Christ be said to have had

glory in Gods purpole, because giving notes only the ast of the donor, but having the aft of the possessour, 2 Cor. 5. 1. the having a building not made with hands, cannot be meant of having in Gods purpose, for it is consequent on the dissolving of the earthly house of this Tabernacle, to which, having in Gods purpose is antecedent, and therefore notes actual possession, the present tense being put for the future, as Grotius rightly notes, Heb. 10, 34. The having, cannot be meant of having in God's purpose, it being baving in your felves, or your felves, and therefore must be understood of possession for the future, by the same enallage, or of right, or affurance for the present, or of present posfellion, though not full possession, John 3.36. & 5. 24. 1 John 5. 12, 13. Believers are faid to have eternal life, not by predestination only, afore the person is in being, but by real actual passession, inchaze and continued, though not consummate, as appears by the expressions lohn 5. 24. and cometh not into condemnation, but is paffed from death into life, 1 John 3. 14. We know that we have passed from death to life, opposite to verse 15. We know that no murderer bath eternallife abiding in him, and John 3. 36. Mall

hall not feelife, but the wrath of God abid ath on him , 1 Per. 1. 20. Thews not what Christ had, but how he was fore-known. Revel. 13. 8. From the beginning of the world may as well or better be joyned to written as it is Revel. 21.27. than to flain, John 17. 24. doth not prove, that Christ had the glory mentioned, verfe 5. only in purpose before the foundation of the world, but rather the contrary. For the love there is a love not onely of benevolence, but also of complacency and delight, as Tohn 1. 18. and 3. 35. Prov. 8. 30. and fo supposeth his being before the world was, and the pofsession of his glory, which he now defires to reposses; which cannot be his Divine Effence, for that he was never emptied of, nor his Humane Excellency, for that he had not in being before the world was; but the frate and condition of a Son, of which be emptied himself, taking the form of a Servant, Phil. 2.7. that riches which he had before he became poor for our Sake, 2 Cor. 8.9. which was not the relation of Sonfhip to God, for that he ftill had in his lowest debasement, but the enjoyment of the pleasure he had with his Father in his Presence, which was in some fort with-held from his person while he was

on Earth, and the exercise of command and empire over Angels afore the world was compleated ( if the Angels were created in any of the former daies of the first Creation ) and which he now laid afide, and was to pray to his Father for the Angels Ministry ; Either of which, or any other (which we know not of) communicable in its proportion to our condition with him, according to the words, lobn 17.22, may be the glory which he prayes for, lohn 17.5. to repolfeffe, and enjoy with his Father after the finishing of the work he gave him to do on Earth, verfe 4. into which he came to glorifie his Father, humbling himself therein really, and therefore would be really re-glorified with his Father's own felf; and not only in manifestation to men, as be bad it really with him before the world was.

## SECT. 9.

Col. 1. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17. Is urged to prove the God-head of Christ.

0

0

y

×

n

y

Y

To those foregoing Texts in the Gospel of St. Iohn I shall next adjoyn the words of the Apostle Paul, Colos 1.12, 13, 14. 15, 16, 17. where he mentions his thanks-giving to the Father, who had translated the Colossians into the Kingdom of his dear son, or of his love, who is the image of the invisible God, the first-born of every Creature. For in or by him were all things created that are in Heaven, and that are in Earth, visible and invisible, whether they be Thrones, or Dominions, or Principalities, or Powers; all things were created by him, and for him, and he is before all things, and by him all things censist. Whence I thus argue:

He who is the Son of the Fathers love, the Image of the invisible God, the sirst-born of every creature, in or by whom all things were created that are in Heaven, and that are in Earth visible and invisible, whether

they

they be Thrones or Dominions, or Principalities or Powers, by whom, and for whom all things were created, who is before all things, and by whom all things confift, was before any creature, was made, begotten of the substance of his Father, not made of nothing; very God of very God, of the same substance with the Father, by whom all things were made. But such was Jesus Christ: Therefore, &c. The minor is the express words of the Text: But the major proposition is denied: and for a reason of the denial, it is said;

I. That he is termed the Image of the invisible God, not as a Child that is begotten by natural generation is the substantial Image of his Father of the fame substance; But as he resembles God in his Wisdom and Power, and excellent Holinels of Life; wonderful Revolation of the Mysteries of his Counsel, and the great works he did, as the man, in respect of his Superiority and Authority over the woman, is faid to be the Image and Glory of God, I Cor. II. 7. or as Dr. Hammond's Paraphrase is, in whom God who is invisible is to be seen, and his will clearly declared by the Gofpel (fo that he that feeth him, feeth the Father, Joh. 14.9.) which

n

11

15

of

).

10

1

us

10

or

he

10.

-30

I.

ut

nd

n-

his

be

0.

200

Dr.

od

rill

he

9.)

cn

which is confirmed by the words following, ver. 10, 11. Believest thou not that I am in the Father, and the Father in me? the words that I speak unto you, I speak not of my self, but the Father that dwelleth in me, he doth the works: Believe methat I am in the Father, and the Father in me; or else believe me for the very works sake: Which words make Christ to be in the Father, and the Father in him, whereby the Father is to be seen, and so he is his Image, in his Words and Works. Conformable to the same sense are the words of Christ, sohn 5. 19, 20, 30, &c.

2. That he is termed the first-born of every creature, and therefore is of the rank of creatures, as the first-born is taken, Heb. 11.28. as man, the first-born among many brethren, Rom. 8.29. or as it is Rev. 1.50 the first-born from the dead, and the Prince of the Kings of the Earth. The first (saith Grotius annot, on the place) in the Creation, to wit, the new, of which, 2 Cor. 5.17. Rev. 21.5. Heb. 2.5. more amply Dr. Hammond in his annot, on Col. 1.15. saith thus: The word πρωτότομος, beside the ordinary notion of first-born (which cannot so well kere refer to Christs eternal generation, because

canse of that which is added to it, the first. born of every Creature, which only gives him a precedence before all other Creatures, and doth not attribute eternity to him ) is used sometimes for a Lord, or person in power, who bath the priviledge of the first-born, dominion over all his brethren, and accord. ing to this notion'tis used commonly in Scripinre, for a Prince, or principal person, So Pfal. 89. 27. David is called the first. born of the Kings of the Earth, i.e. the most glorious among them; and Job 18.13. we have the first born of desth. And so among the Civilians, hares, Heir, fignifier dominus, Lord, Justinian Instit. 1. 2. tit. 19. de bæred. qualir. & diffe. f. ult. And thu may it fitly be a title of Christ insarnate, it respect of his power over his Church, the key of the house of David is laid upon him: But it is possible it may peculiarly refer to his Resurrection, in which he was the first-born from the dead, verse 18. the first which from the grave was raised and exalted to Heaven, and being forisen, all Power was given unto him, in Heaven and in Earth.

3. That Thrones and Dominions, Principalities and Powers, things Visible and Invisible, in Heaven and Earth; all things

1

Ł

\*

d

d

5

l.

i.

t.

he

3. 4-

îes

9.

M

18 he

n: bB

71

018

en, nto

180

In-

regs

nay

may be meant of Jews and Gentiles : Thefe feveral titles here rebearfed, faith Dr. Hammond Annot. on Col. 1. 16. may possibly be no more but the expressions of several degrees of dignity among men; So Thrones may denote Kings, or Monarchs and Princes, Dominions (or Lordships) may be the Reguli, the bonours (whether of Dukes or Earls) next under Princes; Principalities, the prafects of Provinces and Cities; and Powers, inferiour Magistrates; and if so, then may they be here fet down to denote all forts and conditions of men in the Gentile world, by the chief dignities among them here on Earth. And Annot, on Col. 1. 20. And in the like phrase verse 16. All things that are in the Heavens, and on the Earth, shall fignifie no more than what is in other places expresed by the world, as 2 Cor. 5. 19. The creation, the whole creation, or all creatures, the whole world of creation, or the whole world without restriction, which signifie all the Gentile world in opposition to the fewish enclosure; not all the creatures absolutely, but all men of all Nations.

4. That verse 16. is to be understood of the new Creation mentioned, 2 Cor. 5. 17. Ephef. 2. 10, 13. and 3. 9. and 4. 24. fame.

Jam. 1. 18. The things which go before, faith Gretius Annot. ad Col. 1. 16. shew these things meant of Christ, which is the name of a man; more rightly is [were created] here interpreted were ordained, got a certain new state; Angels to Men, Men among themselves were reconciled under Christ; Others that Angels were reformed as being brought to a new state of acknowledging the Lord Jesus Christ as their Lord, and acting at his beck as being Gods instrument for the bringing of that reformation to pass, and therefore it is said they were all created in him and by him, as the mediate cause.

5. That Christ is said to be before all things, is meant of the new Creation, and that in respect of Dignity, not of Time.

6. That in him all the new Creation con-

fiffs, or are reformed.

## SECT. 10.

be re-

len

der

d.

ru-

EO

76-

36

all

ind

>n=

The proof of Christs God-head from Col. 1. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, is vindicated from exceptions.

I. I Reply, It is true that in respect of operson according to his D vine Nature Christ might be termed the Image of the invisible Gad, yet in this place he is nor faid to be the Image of the Invisible God in respect of his Office as declaring his Counsel, or reprefenting his Power and holy Qualities by his Conversarion and Works; but as Adam's Son is faid to be begotten in bis own likeness after bis image, Gen. 5.3. may be proved by these Reasons. i. Because his being the Image of God is antecedent to the Creation, verse 16. therefore he was and consequently, in respect of his Divine Nature. 2. What Christ was to his Church is expressed after ver. 18, 19, 20. therefore v. 15,16, 17. what he was and did in respect of his Divine Nature, it being nor to be conceived

ceived that he would repeat that ver. 18, 19, 20. which he had faid before, ver. 15, 16, 17. 3. An Embessadour, though he represents his Princes Counsels, yet is not faid to be his Image, an Image being a refemblance of a Person, not of his Counsels, 4. It is not faid , that Christ was the Image of the invisible God to us, but is the Image of the invisible God absolutely and simply even then when he was not on earth to declare Gods will, and therefore shews what he is in himself throughout all Generations. Nor is it of any force which is urged: that because he is faid to be the Image of the invisible God, therefore he must be a visible Image; For man that was made after the Image of the invisible God, and is renewed after bis 1mage, as Ephef. 4. 24. Col. 3. 10. is not after Gods Image in respect of any visible Resemblance, but in respect of Wildom, Holiness and Righteousness of Truth, which are invisible qualicies.

2. If the reading which Isdor Pelusiota infilts on Epist. 1. 3. Epist. 31. be right, that it should be read πεωίσιου, the first bringer forth of every creature, not πεωτότου the first-born of every creature, which may seem probable, because it is said verse 16.

Lovino

For

For by him were all things created; and lo it should note not paffively his birth, but a-Rively his causality. on which he is said to be the beginning of the Creation of God, Rev. 3.14. where again principium, or the beginning notes not termination of time, or initiation, but fignifies efficiency; as when we fay from the Latins, the principle of a thing . as Col. 1.18. the word is used (of which more may be feen in Sixtinus Amama Antibarb. Biblic. 1. 3.) the answer were easie, that though he be said to be meclosono maons utioses yet he is not in the rank of creatures, which the Arians did object from this place. But however Erafmus like it, yet Bezarejects it, for reasons let down by him, Annot, ad Col. 1. 15. which though they be not all allowed by Heinfins Exercit. facr. 1. 126c. 1. yet the reading not agreeing with most Copies, nor necessary, that anfwer is not to be intifted on. That other fenfe Which Beza and others embrace, [he is faid to be the first-born of every creature; that is, be that was born before any creature, conceiving in answer to the Hebrew verb, 771 TiMes , it may be as well applied to the Fathers generation as the Mothers bearing, and fo it be all one, as the begotten before all the erens

e

6

N C

ų

1

36

ŀ

0

4

d

n.

21

er

be

ay

creatures ] would pals for current, if there were an example of fo using the word as including the preposition governing the Genitive Cale, and referring to the Fathers act of generation: In which methinks there should not be much difficulty, fith James 1. 18. the word απεκύμσεν which we render bath begotten, and is the act of the Father of lights, verse 17. and so notes the Fathers act of generation usually, and most properly fignifies the act of the Mother bringing forth, who is faid therefore to be Eynu , Lake 2, 5. when the is great with Child, and is used James 1. 15. as of the fame fense with Tinger , bringeth forth and fo rendered. And when Christ is faid, Col. 1. 18. to be the first-born from the dead , or Revel. 1. 5. the first-begotten of, or from the dead, the act of the Father in raifing him from the dead is implied, to which his refurrection is afcribed, Alts 2. 30, 32. and 3. 15. and 4. 10. and 5. 30. and 10. 40. Rom. 6. 4. 1 Cor. 6. 14. Ephef. 1. 20. Gal. 1. 1. and herein St. Paul dorh in some fort place his begetting of Christ, when he faid, Alls. 13. 32, 33. God hath fulfilled the Promise made unto the Fathers unto me their Children, in that he hath raised up Jesus again; as it is alfo

1

(85)

ı

h

15

h

d

20

5.

10

d

a-

4.

d

is

3.

de

in

15

6

also written in the second Pfalm, Thou art my Son, this day have I begetten thee. And although the preposition from, be in those places because of the change or translation from the dead, yet the term first noting priority must needs include also the preposition before, as it doth manifestly, John I. 15. fo that it is easie to conceive this to be the meaning, be was the first begotten before all the creation, or every creature, and therefore the Image of the invisible God, and he by whom, and for whom all things were created; which cannot be meant of his rifing from the dead, fith that is mentioned ver. 18. and this ritle is put before his creating of all things, ver. 16. and as the reason of it: But must be meant of his generation before all times out of the substance of the Father, by which eternity is given to him, the precedency in belanguage all one with Eternity. And fo Christ will not be put in the rank of creatures made out of nothing, but the word is to be taken negatively, as the word first applied to God, Revel. 1. 11. which is expounded, Isa. 44. 6. I am the first, and I am the last, and besides me there is no God, or 112.43. 10. Before me there was no God formed G 3

formed, neither shall there be after me. And when the Law appointed the first-born male to be Gods, Exod. 13. 2. Namb. 8.16. by the first-born was understood that which opened the womb though no other were born after, as the Mother of Christ understood the Law, Luke 2. 22, 23. who is called ber first-born , notwithstanding she had no other , Mat. 1. 25. But if this exposition of the Title [ the first born of every Creature] be not received, but that it note only Christs dominion or inheritance of every creature, yet will this sense prove his Generation before all Creatures, and his being exempt from the rank of creatures, fith the reason of his being the first-born of every creature, and fo Lord or Heir of them, is ver. 16. Because by bim and for him they were all created, which must needs prove that he is not one of the creatures, fith he created all things, therefore not himself created, and he must needs have a being before every creature by whom all were created, and confequently was begotten before all time. Nor can this title be applied to Christ as man or incarnate as if it noted that he was first in the new Creation, or in respect of his power over his Church: For John Baptist , Luke 1. 15. Was before him sinche New creation in time, and it is not said he was designed or appointed to be the first-born of every creature, but that he is the first-born, not made the first-born of them, but he by whom all were created; nor can the Church peculiarly be meant by every creature, or the new Creation, sith it is not said of the Creation, or of the new Creation, but of every Creature, even those that are invisible, as verse 16. shews; And therefore he cannot be said to be the first-born of every creature as the first-born of man is, because the Angels are some of the creatures, verse 16. but not of the same kind with man.

3. That by Thrones, and Dominious, and Principalities and Powers, verse 16. are not meant several degrees of dignity among men, and no more, may appear, not only as Dr. Hammond saith, because they may also signific the several degrees of Angels, and because there follows mention of visible and invisible, and the Angels may most probably be contained by the latter of them, as this lower world of men by the former, and because it is the creation that is herereferred to, and the creating of Angels as well as men, &c. belongs truely to Christ as God, there-

fore it will be most reasonable in this place to interpret it in the greater extent to comprehend Angels and Men too, the highest and most eminent of both sorts: But also because it is

noceffary for these Reasons.

1. Because these terms do elsewhere signific in the fame Apofles writings, invisible beings, powers of the world to come. Ephel. 1. 21. Principalities and Powers in Heavenly places, Ephel. 3. 10. Spiritual beings in High or Heavenly places, opposed to flesh and blood , Ephel. 6. 12. Argels , Principalities and Powers, are reckoned among the most potent beings of most force to Separate ms from the love of God, Rom. 8. 38. Angels, and Authorities and Powers are faid to be subject to Christ now on the right-hand of God , 1 Pet. 3. 22. Not can the spriling of Principalities and Powers be understood otherwise than as Dr. Hammond's own Paraphrase of Col. 2. 15. explains it, of devesting the evil Spirits of their pomer, Grotius Annot. ad Eph. 1. 21. Intelligunt quidam de imperiis terrenis. Sed locus Col. 1.16. o quod in bis infraeft, 3. 10. evincit agi his de eximis Angelorum clasibus. Similis ordinum distinctio etiam in Satane Regno infra, 6.12. 1 Cor. 15. 24. Rom. 8. 38. there should be no invisible beings said to be created, Col. 1. 16. whereas the distributive particle [whether] is put next after invisible, to shew the Thrones, Dominions, Principalities, Powers to be invisible beings.

3. Several degrees of Dignity and Rule among men, neither in this Epistle, nor elsewhere, are said to be things in Heaven, or

Invisible.

4. Angels may be faid to be reconciled, Col. 1. 20. and gathered together into one with the Church, Ephef. 1. 10. Chrifts blood reconciling the Gentiles to God by expiating their Idolatry, and so reducing them to God, and thereby to the good Angels, who rejoyce at their conversion, Luke 15.7, 10. observe their order in their Church meerings, I Cor. 11. 10. aretheir Angels, Mat. 18. 10. But it could not be faid in S. Panl's time, when he wrote to the Coloffians, that the Rulers on Earth were created by, and for Christ, that is, reformed and made new creatures in Christ, they were not? brought to the obedience of the Gospel by Christ, but were enemies to it, Alls 4. they ordered by Christ according to the meanmeaning of the word whois by us translated Ordinance, Heb. 9. 11. 1 Pet. 2. 13. that is, constituted or appointed by Christ as Mediatour in order to his Church for their help, in St. Pani's time; and therefore cannot be said to be created in the sense some would expound creation, Col. 1. 16. and meant by Thrones, Dominions, Principalities and Powers.

4. Hence also may appear that no other sense but of the Creation at first out of nothing, can be rightly meant by the creating, Col. 1. 16. For the words are general, including all things simply, and that twice expresfed, which thews it comprehends all things universally that were created; and left any thing should be excepted, there's a threefold division. 1. Of things in Heaven, and things on the Earth. 2. Of visible and invisible. 3. Of the invisible Thrones, Domimions, Principalities, and Powers. Of which Principalities, the unclean Spirits are a part, Col. 2. 15. Ephef. 6. 12. who cannot be faid to be reformed, or constituted, or appointed by Christ in the sense, in which creation is taken, either Eph. 2.10. Fam. 1.18. 2 Cor. 5. 17. Gal. 6.15. or Heb. 9. 11. 1 Pet. 2. 13. In the good Angels nothing was to be reformed,

reformed, or new created; in the evil nothing was , nor were they , of other things conflituted, or ordained by Christ as man; nor is it true, that all things in Earth were reformed, or constituted for the Church. And for the New Creation, which confifts in renovation of mind, it was done in many before Christ's Incarnation, Ezek. 36. 26. Pfal. 51. 12. and therefore that Creation cannot be meant, when it is faid, All things were created by Jesus Christ, by them who suppose him not to have been afore his Incarna-Laftly, Neither is there any place brought by them, by which it may be proved, that the work of Creation absolutely put is meant of renovation, or reformation; meant by the New Creation: Nor do the particles ev and dix translated by bim, prove Christ only a mediate or instrumental cause of the Creation. Forte is faid of him, who is the principal cause, Rom. 11.36. Allthings are of him, and by him, and for him, 29. Col. 1. 16. and therefore the particles note a principal concurrent or confociate cause: And the particle dia by orthrough is applied to God the Father, Gal. 1. 1. Heb. 2. 10. and in is used as by, Heb. I. I. and applied: to God, Ads 17. 28. yet it is notto be det nied ,

Si

d

d

8

...

d,

nied, that there is a priority in the Father to the Son in respect of being, not of time, but original, as Bishop Davenant faith, Com. on Col. 1. 15. Christ hath principium originis, a beginning of original, to mit, his Father from whom he was begotten, but not abeginning of time, or to use Dr. Pearsons words in his Exposition of the first Article of the Creed, p. 36. of the second Edition, the Father hath that effence of himfelf , the Son by communication from the Father. From whence he acknowledgesh that be is from him, John 7. 29. that he liveth by bim, John 6. 57. that the Father gave him to have life in bimfelf, and generally referreth all things as received from bim; fo as that the Father is by the Antients termed the fountain, root, authour, origin, head, cause of the Son and the whole Divinity , p. 41. whence the Son is termed in the Nicene Greed; God of God, very God of very God, light of light, and this origination in the Divine Paternity, bath antiently been looked upon as the affertion of the Unity: and therefore the Son and Holy Ghost have been believed to be but one God with the Father, because both from the Father, who is one, and fo the Union of them. For if there were more than one, which

there were more Gods than one, p. 44. And answerably hereto there is an order in the operations of the Father and the Son, so as that there is a priority, if not in some sense a majority in the Father, whom some of the Angients, cited by Dr.

Pearson, p. 37. underfrand to be greater than Christ as the Son of God, John 14. 28. with reference not unto his Essence, but his Generation, by which he is understood to

Athanasius, Bazil,
Nazianzen, Epiphanius, Chrysostom, Hilary, Augustin, &c.
John 5. 19.30.

bave his being from his Father, who only bath it of himself, and is the original of all Power and essence in the Son, and consequently some preheminence in working; whence Christ saith, I can of mine own self do nothing; The Son can do nothing of himself, but what he seeth the Father do: for what things soever he doth, these also doth the Son likewise, which intimate a priority of order in the Fathers operation, if not a dependance of the Son on him therein. And so as Mr. Gataker in his Advers. miscel. c. 17. saith from Col. 1. 16. Christ both in making the world, as also in instructing his Church.

Church is said to exhibit ministery to God the Father, and the Father by him to have performed and to perform both those things, Heb. 1.2. in which place he is said to have spoken to his people ev viso by his Son, di

by whom also be made the worlds.

5. Christs priority in dignity is expressed before verfe 15. where he is faid to be the first-born of every creature, and in respect of the Church , verf. 18. where he is termed she bead of the body the Church, that in all things he might have the preheminence: therefore he is faid to be before all things, ver. 17. in time, and that not only before Angels, but all things created, it being πάνιων of the same latitude with all things, v. 16. and that not only in the New Creation, for so he was not in time before David, Pfal. 51. 10. John Baptist, who was filled with the Holy Ghoft even from his Moabers momb , Luke 1. 15. But in respect of the first Creation.

6. The confistence of all things by Christ, is to be understood of all things created, ver, a 5. and not only things belonging to the new Creation, and of a confistence by sustaining and preserving all things simply by his power and providence, as it is said, a Pet. 32 50

(95)

By the Word of God the Heavens were of old, and the Earth ouver God confisting, which is no where meant of Evangelical reformation, but of powerful suffernation, as Heb. 1. 2, 3. To which I pass

## SECT II.

Heb. 1. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, are urged to prove the affertion of Christs God-head.

The same Articles of Faith concerning Christ are consisted from Heb. 1. where Christ distinct from the Prophets, is termed the Son of God, whom he appointed Heir of all things, by whom also he made the Worlds, verse 1, 2. being the brightness of his glory, and the express Image of his person, and apholding all things by the Word of his power, verse 3. by so much being more excellent, or better than the Angels, by how mach he inherited a more excellent name than they, verse 4. of whom God said that which he said not of the Angels, thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee; And again, I will be to him a Father, and be shall

1

be to me a Son; And again, when be bring. oth in the first-begotten into the world, he faith , And let all the Angels of God worthin him , verle 5, 6. Unto the Son, or of the Son be faith, thy Throne O God is for ever and ever : a Scepter of Righteonfness is the Scep. ter of thy Kingdom, thou haft loved righte. ousness and hated iniquity; therefore eventhy God bath annointed thee with the on of gladness above thy fellows: And thos Lord, in the beginnings bast laid the foun. dation of the Earth; and the Heavens are the work of thy bands : They hall perift, but thou remainest, they all shall wax old as doth a garment, and as a vefture (halt thos fold them up , and they shall be changed, but thon art the same, and thy years shall not fail. But to which of the Angels faid be a any time, fit on my right band, until I make thine Enemies thy Foot-ftool? verle 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13. Whence I argue, He, of whom all these things are said, was before any creature was made, begotten of the fubstance of the Father, not made of nothing, very God, of the same substance with the Father, by whom all things were made : But of Jesus Christ all these things are said, therefore, &c. The minor proposition is the

the express words of the Text, but the mas jer is denied; and as a reason of the denial; it is faid.

he

ON

vd

p.

04

17

05

N.

be

u

41

08

nt

ot

ke.

9,

ol

re

nt

,

10

1. That Christ is said to be the Son, the first begetten, this day begetten in respect of his Incarnation, Resurrection, Exaliation as before.

2. That he was the brightness of his glory, Ray or Beam of Gods Majefty, that in Christ men might have a kind of fight of Gods Majefty, that he was the express Image of his perfon in respect of his qualities resembling his Father, the latter words interpreting the former. For God did as it were imprint his person on Christ, that Christ might be his Substitute upon earth to personate, represent and resemble the person of God; to be in wildom as God, by publishing the Mysteries and feerers of God, and by knowing the thoughts of men, and discovering them ; to be in holiness as God without all flain of fin , to be in power as God, having dominion of ver all Gods Creatures, over Winds, Seas, Devils.

3. That he was brought into the world, not as being before the world, but being in the world was fent as the great Prophet of the Church among men, or at his Refurted to

Bion he was raifed from the dead , and brought into the world, or it is to be ap. plied to his great exaltation at the laft day when he shall be brought into the world to come, as it is termed, Heb. 2.5. which refers to Heb. 1. 6. and fo without trajection the word [ again ] shall be read as it flands in the Greek Text, and the verb of the fecond Agrift of [ bringing into ] be read as of the future time, not as the oulgar, Beza, our translation, of the time past, and again noting another citation out of the Pfalms And therefore Mr. Mede in his opuscula Latima in answer to Ludovicus de Dien, would have our English version corrected thus And when he bringeth again the first-begotten into the world or hall bring, &c. For what things are from thence cited out of the Book of Plalms to the end of the Chapter Concerning the adoration of Angels , the Scepter of the rollitude of God, the changing the World, the treading of enemies under bis feet ] all, if we believe the Apostle, areto be referred to the second coming of Christ. To which agree Cameron resp. ad queft. in Heb. 1.6. Heinfin exercis. fac. 1.16. c. 1. Dr. Homes Refur . revealed. 1. 3.c. 2. Bech Standard onen grant grant gand and 4. That

4. That he inherited or possessed a more excellent Name than the Angels by grans from his Father, being appointed Heir of all things, not by vertue of his generation before the world, but because of his office, by reafon of which the Acgels were to worthip him, as Peter did Christ as man, Luke 5. 8. and

all the Disciples, Luke 24.52.
5. That he was God by Office, and not by Nature; as it appears in that God is faid to be by God, ke to be annointed by God with the ofl of gladness, and others his fellows,

yer. 8, 94

4

of Groting would have, ver. 2. sead, of over whom, not of it by whom; But it it be to be read by whom, it is meant of the new world, not of the Heavens, and Earth, or Ages, or Times of this world: And v. 10, 11, 12. are but accommodated to him in respect of his dissolving the world, and duration of his Kingdom, not in reliest of the Eternity of his person, or operation in the fift Creation.

7. That pieces which is translated upword, that is at the command of his Father, mannaging all things as personating his Father, and for ving his command: Greting

n his Anustation on the place, faith thus : The manufcripts in which those Grammaical spirits are distinguished, have also av-TE (bis, the Fathers, not out & his own, as we read it ) and fo reads Cyril in his 8th. aainst Julian; The sense is, Christ governs all things by the Word of his Fathers Power (that is Command) Digot is often to govern, and which Chryfoftom bere adds, with fome eafiness. enina appears to be put for command. Luke 5. 3. and Heb. II. 3. So allo I Kings 1. 37. more to the fame purpofe hath Heinfins Exercit. facr. 1. 16. c. 1. and Dr. Hamseend in his annot. on Heb. 1. 3. The Hebrew NOI fignifies two things, fero to bear and rego to rule, and from the latter of them it is that Will is the ordinary word for a Prince; Agreeably to this pegar | which is cometimes the rendering of the Hebrew NOJ, as Numb. 11. 14. Dent. 1. 9. may accordingly fignific, to Rule, to Govern, decincing Administer, as a Commander, or Governonr, or Procurator of a Province, and so its beretaken, to denote the Regal Power of Christ, to which he is advanced by his Re-(wrrellion.

8. That verfe 13. is spoken of Christ as

SECT. IS.

in the Iverp Conen

## that were firmitated, or made like one o Col

The Argument from Heb. 1.2,3,4, 5,6,8,9,10, 11, 12,13. wind dicated from Exceptions.

O the first I reply, that none of thos reasons are sufficient to verifie the title given to Chrift , Rom. 8. 32. where he termed Gods own Son in proper or pecu liar to him. John 3. 16. his anly begotte Son, and here the San, verle 2, as is before thewed Sell, in reply to the 9th Excep tion : By the fame Arguments the Reasons a fo of the nameles Authour of the Comme tary on the Epiftle to the Hebrows, intitule The expiation of a Sinner are thewed to ! thore of what the appellation of the firftabo or firft-begosten, Heb. 1. 6. imports, when of the first is, Christ is the first-begetten S of God , because God begot bim before all b other Sons , who are called the Brothren Chrift; for God first begot Chrift, in th manner wherein God is faid to beget Sons; for those be begets whom he affimilates and make like unto himfelf, and fo Christ marthafir that was assimilated, or made like unto God in holiness; in such holiness as he require in the New Covenant.

I ceply. I. This Reason is given without proof, and it allusion be to Rom. 8. 29. neither is it said there that Christ is the first-born by reason of his affimilation to God in holiness before others, nor is the Image of Christ, to which others are conformed, expressed to be in qualities, it is more likely to be in estate and conditions to wit, of glory.

ped is the first-begoiten, John Baptist was before him made like unto God in such holiness as he requires in the New Covenant, he was great in the fight of the Lord; and filled with the Holy Ghost, even from his Mothers womb, Luke 1. 15, that I omit to say any thing of Abraham, David, Mary, Simeon, Anna, &c.

Secondly, saich he, Christ is the state beginner of God by his resurrection, because by the power of God he was raised and brought in again from death to an immersal life; for which he is called the first beginner from the dead, and the first fruits of

shem that flept, I Cor. 15. 20.

1 Reply. 1. It is true, Christ is faid to

be the first-born, or begotten from the dead; Col. 1. 18. Revel. 1. 5. But Heb. 1. 6. he is termed the firft-begotten fimply without relation to the dead, and Col. 1, 15. the first-bern of every creature, and the season thereof is, because all things were created by bim , verfe 16.

2. If this reason were sufficient, Enoch might as well be termed the first-begotten of whom the Scripture faith, Heb. 11.5. By Faith Enoch was translated that he should not fee death, and was not found, because God bad translated bim; for before bie translation be had this testimony that be pleafed God.

Laftly, Saith he, be is the firft-begotten in all things , whereby the fait bfat of Christ become the Sons of God: For Chrift bath preceded them all, that ( as St. Paul Sbeaks) be in all it ings might bave the prebeminence.

Col. 1. 18.

I Reply. 1. What those all things are in which Christ preceded all the Faithful, whereby they become the Sons of God, is not expressed, nor do I think he can give an instance distinct from his Holines, Refurrection, except his preaching or fulness of the Spirit; wherein and in other things (104)

things it is true, Christ exceeded all the Faithful of Christ; but no where is be faid to be begotten, or the first-begotten by reafon hereof, or any other thing belides his geperation before the world : Sure Col. 1.18. there is no fuch thing faid; though it be true that he is faid to be the Head of the Body the Church, who is the beginning, the first. born from the dead, that in all things, or among all be might have the prebeminence; Yet not that therefore he is the first begotten, but he is cermed ver. Is. the first-born of every eresture; and the reason is given, ver. 16, 17. because all things were created by him and for him , and be is before all things, and by him all things confift; which thens his generation before the Creation, and io no time wherein he was not. The reasons of Christs Son-ship from the begetting him the day of his Incarnation, Luke 1. 32. his Sanctification, John 10. 36. his Refurrection, Alls 13.33. his baving all power in Heaven and Earth given bim, Mat. 28.18. his Exaltation to be an immortal and univerfal Potentare; though they may be reasons of his Appellation [the Son of God] as shewing it, and being confequent on it, yet there an higher reason shewed before, and Conconfirmed from the titles given him , Hebi

2. The term [ the brightness of Glory ] doch not express what Christ was 10 others as a Looking-glas; that had been better expressed by Esomigon, than anawyasta, but what he was in himfelf, and from whom, to wir, his Father, as the Beam from the Sun. And in the fame term wildom is termed in the Book intiguled the Wisdom of Solomon , cb. 7.26. the brightness of the everlasting light, the unspotted myrror of the Power of God, and the Image of his Goodness: And thence it is to be conceived that in the Nicene Creed Christ is termed light of light: 'Aπάυγασμα, faith Sr. Norton Knatchbal in his animadver fon on Heb. 1. 3. is as it were άυγασμα από τως διόξης, in Phavorinus inhaules, the shining out brightness, splender, relucency, or as it were beam of Gods Glory , that is his Substance , Nature, or Being , who is light , I fabr. 1.5. and Glory is often put for Light , 1 Cor. 15.41. Mas. 17. 2. compared with Luke 9.32 2 Pet. 1-17. which shews that he is faid to be the The stopper reporter. brightness paffively as receiving it from his Father, and brigbenefs of

his Glory; as having his Glory communicat? ed to him; not actively, as thining toothers: And the fame is to be conceived of his being the character of his perfon paffively. as having it engraven on him, not ingraving it on others. The Metaphor is most likely to be from a Seal of a Ring or Tome other thing by which there is an ingraving of a fi-Now we thall best understand what is meant here by it, if we know what it is that is meant by his Hypothalis, and when it was that he was the character of it : The word comes from a verb that fignifies to Hand under , or to be fettled , and fo notes fome festled thing, that doth not flinch or vanish, opposed to emphasis or appearance, as Aristotle in his Book of the world (if it be his ) So the cloud is faid to be an Hypo. Rafis, the bow in the gloud but an appearance. Phyficians ufe it for the fediment, or that which fettles in the bottom; as in Urine, for the confiftence, flate or concretion of hu-

ב Sam. 13. 17. בינ. בינים להצים ערוכים בינים בי

mours that hould be purged. In the Greek of the old Testament it hath many significations, as Dent. I. 12. Jour burden

is your Hypoftafis, Deut. 11. 6. Fob 22,20.

that which we read Jubfance, that is goods, is Greek Hypoftafis: A military station, rendered by us a Garrison, is in Aquita's translation, faith Grot. Annot. ad 1 Reg. 13. 4. Hypoftafis. In the Plalms it hart various acoptions, as Pfal. 39.5. Pfal. 69. 2. there is no Panding, Gr. Hipoftafis. Plal. 89. 47. Remember for fort my time u , Gr. What my Hypoft affs is. Pf. Tage TS- the LXX. reacting as to is likely, in-Read of יחקבות, which we render was curioulty brought, the word fignilying to be wrought with רכושי , enher, ירכושי as Grotius conceives, or is to me leems likely, mop1, have rendered it by my in unosasis us, the THE U TOSAG W QUTS. Naham 2.7. 3577 1 UTOSAGIS.

h

13

1

at

fud. 6. 4. 0 2050 on Cons Sufte. mance: So Ezek. 16. 1ta The Greek hath The o-TOSEGIPTHS 190-מצבות בים זם TIDO ours ; thy frong Garrisons Wild. 16. 21. H Stantia tue, Grot. naturamanne. 74nius frebftantia man a te creata : Sigmalim accipere quam referre ad naturam Dei

Ruth I.I: חקורים GA Unbrasis, hope, ler. 10. 17. כנעתך υποςασίν σει ler: 27. 221 37101 Grap 17 Grossconfilio meo. Ezek. 19 5 n Unisagis aths, her hope. You 221 20: -שפטחט ה קימנו ou aurup. Eack. 43-difectonix

Latin

Latin Et fubft autia mea, and my substance In the New Testament it is onely 2 Gor. 9. 4. and II. 17. Where it is rendered by this confidence , that is, subfiftence , fettled. acis, or firmirude, which being applied to the mind, notes confidence, unfhaken boldnels, or fecurity, Heb. 3. 14. where we read the beginning of our confidence, uled as ir is l kely, as in the Greek, Pfalm. 39. 7. Heb. 11. 1. where it is rendered the fat stance of things hoped for , by others, the ground, or confidence, I conceive, she affe rance or feenrity meant by it : And here, Heb. 1. 3. In none of which places, or an other that I can find, Hypoftafis fignific wifdom, power, and holinels, of a perfor and therefore I fee not how it can be expounded the character of his Hypoftafis , that is the refemblance of his Fathers attributes, or his Supremacy or Soveraign Majesty; But that he is as it were the Print, Impreffion, framp, or as we translate it, express Image of his Perfon , or Subfiftence , or Substance , which comes nearest to the use of the word , asit in the Greek version, Pfal. 139.15. and that by teafon of his being bis Son by whom he made the worlds; which will be better understood by confidering when he was the brightneft (109)
brightness of his glory, and the express?
mage of his person: The participle we translate [ bring ] cannot be expounded of being fuch confequently to bis firting on the night hand of the Majefty in the beights, but antecedently thereunto, and to his bearing or upholding all things by the Word of his Pewer in order of nature at leaft, and to his purging our fins in time; which appears, From the order of the words, he is first hid to be the brightness of Glory, the charatter of his Person, next to bear all things by the Word of his Power, than to have purged our fins by bimfelf, and then to have fate on the right hand of the Majesty on bigh, berefore he was antecedently to all the reft the brightness of Glory, and character of bis Perfon. 2. The connexion between being the theracter of his Person, and mp-holding all things by the copulative particle thews thefe were together, but the up-bolding all things was before his fitting on the right hand therelote allo the being the character of his Perfor. 3. Then he was the character of bis Perfon when bepurged our fins, but that was before his firing on the right band, therefore also was his being the character of his Perfin. 4. From the ule of the participle which

のは、日本は、日本のでは

rud

: be

16/1

which is to be interpreted, either of the pre fent or paft time, as Heb. 5. 8. Kaine Ci although be mere, Philem. 9. TOISTO de being such with many more: whence it follows that shele things cannot be faid of Chrift as man , fith as fuch he up beld not all things by the Word of his Power, but of his Divine Excellency in which he is a radiature from God, and is the character or print of bit Perlen , before his afcention, or execuring his office on Earth: Belides the titles arelo transcendent as exceed the Excellency of the Angels, and therefore express the Divine Nature : And the Emplafes is put in them that being fo excellent be purged our fins And if he had been termed the brightness of Glory, and character of his Fathers Person, only in executing the office of Mediatout and representing God to us by his preaching no more had bres faid, than was verfe a That God had Spoken to me by his Sen, and might be faid of fome of the Prophets , fpe cially Moses who did reveal Gods mind to the people of Ifrael, with whom God (pale face to face, his face did thine and was glo rious, represented Gods Majesty, Power Wildom, Goodness in bringing Ifract our Egypt, doing Miracies, whence he is fait

double

(HI) 5

to be made a God to Pharach , Exid 7.1. 3. Notwithstanding the opinion of so learned men, yet I conceive the bringing into the world is not a thing fu ure to be done at the aft day for these reasons. I. Because if the erb eioayayy migh be expounded as of the beure time (the contrary whereoffeems true o me ) yet the verb heyer faith muft be expounded of a time paft , and the fenfe be this, when he did bring his first-begotten into be world be faid; And let all the Angels of God worfhip him. 2. Because a determination or purpole concerning a thing future had not been a fit argument to prove his prefent meliority or superiority above Angels. 3. If it had been meant of a future bringing into the world I conceive he would tave laid, inte the world to come, as he speaks. Heb. 2.5. and 6. 5. 4. Because where he whech the the expression, though not the same words 10 wit, Heb. 10. 5. when he cometh into the world be faith, it is meant of the time then be had a body prepared for him, which was at his birth : For which reason, and becaule we find not any mention of the Angels of God worthipping after his Returnection, is we find done, Luke 2. 13. it is to be applied to the time of his Birth; and if it be

in it is to be seen in the see

ng,

pe.

1.00

AN

glo

YEN

LAIS

bjecked that he was not to be worthipped sy the Angels till his exalting at the right hand of God, as Rev. 7. 10, 11. the congrary is to be held, fith the Wife-men, May, 2. 11. Peter, Luke 5. 8. the Apostles Mat. 18. 17. Loke 24. 92. worlhipped Chrift : and no doubt but the Angels did and were to do the like. As for the words Heb. 2.5. that they referre to Heb. 1. 6, and fo Heb. 1. 6. meant of the world to come, because no where else had he spoken of the world to come, I conceive they do not evince what is gathered from them. 1. Because he doth not fay, Heb. 2. 5. of which we have but of which we do fpeak. 3. If he did fay, of which we have spoken, it might very well refer to Heb. 1. 12. which mentions the change of the Heavens and Earth, which are the fame thing with the world to come, though the same word be not used in both places ! For which reasons I conceive it better to make a transposition in the word again, and to expound the words thus; Again he faith, when he did bring his first-begotten into the world, using again as he did verfe 5. to express another ciration; Nevertheless, were Mr. Meder reading yielded, it must them s former bringing into the world, and for bea

and consequently his being the Son of God

begorten before the world began.

h

n.

u,

1

d

id

di

nd

ce

C

y,

ell

10

h

th

er

b.

be

te.

4

ng

4. It is true Christ had a more excellent name by grant as appointed Heir of all things, yet was not the Son of God because Heir of all things, but Heir of all things because his Son, by whom he made the worlds, v. 1.2. which is the reason also given, Col. 1. 15, 16, as the particle ot because shews: It is true the Angels were to worship Christ, because of his office, and his exaltation, yet not barely because of his office and exaltation, but also because of his generation, as the Son of God, and the union of his two Natures in one Person, by reason of which he was worshipped afore his Resurrection, Mat. 2.

s. Jesus Christ is not termed God in respect of his office, but nature, as being the Son
of God the Creatour, by whom he made the
worlds, ver. 2 and in respect of his generation, God the Father is faid to be his God;
and he God of God, as in the Nicene Creed.
And being made a man was anointed, and
other men were his fellows, or partakers with
him, though not in the same measure as he,
who had the Spirit without measure, Joh. 3,
24.

6. Grotim his change is without any warrant of Copy or Example, and therefore is too bold an alteration to be allowed; nor had the Apostles affertion of Christ, that for bim be made the worlds, been fo full to his purpole to fet out Christs Excellency, as to fay , that by him be made the worlds : Befides , fith Col. 1. 16. it is faid , by bim were all things created, and for him, and that made the reason of his being the firstborn of every creature, ver. 15. it is in like manner to be conceived, Heb. 1. 2. that be appointed his Son Heir of all things, because by him be made the worlds : By the worlds is not meant the future world, or bleffed immortality, nor the making them, the renewing of them; But the worlds fignific either the frame of Heaven and Earth at first Greation, or the times and generations of men, and their making the creating at the beginning of time, or the forming and continuing of them in their fuccessions. The former sense of making Heaven and Earth, and their Inhabitants, as it is confirmed by the parallel place, Col. 1. 16. fo it is put out of doubt by the words of the fame Authour , Heb. 11.3. By Faith we understand that the worlds (the word used, Heb, 1.2.) were framed

framed by the Word of God, fo that things which are feen were not made of things appearing, which doth evidently refer to Gen. 1. 1, 2. and Heb. 9. 26. the end of the morlds, ascivav is opposed to the foundation of she world; and in conformity to this lense En T& alavos John 9. 32. is as much as from the beginning of the world: nor can it be meant of a future world, fith the word of making notes a thing already done, and to fay he made that which was not yet in being, or which was not yet made, had been to fay, that he made that which he did not make, and to fay, he made by him the worlds, if he were not then existent had been to fay he made the worlds by a not being; Nor can it, be shewed that making, that it have various senses, is put for revealing, or that faid to be made, which is only made known.

Heb. 1.10, 11, 12. are a testimony cited concerning Christ, as verse 8. the words news see to viou unto, or of the Son, shew as v. 7. news nev Tes ayyétes teyes, he saith of the Angels, and the copulative conjunction Kai verse 10. shews it to be a distinct tessimony from the former, and the words cited together shew all meant of Christ; If

(116)

the latter part of them belong to Chriff it follows, that also the former belongs to him : for it belongs to the fame person and power which diffolves or changeth the Heavens to Lay the foundation of them : Nor is there an inftance produced either, Mat. 12. 17,18, in which words are cited, whereof part only belong to the matter for which they are cited, although Mat. 12. 19. alone had fitted the occasion; Nor are there, or any where elfe words cited as spoken part of one person, part of another, as they would have them, who use this evasion: Nor are the words; Heb. 1. 10, 11, 12. cited only to prove ver. 4. that Christ was made fo much better than the Augels, as be hath inherited a more excellent Name than they; But to prove, that by him God made the worlds, verfe 2. Not can there be good fense in making the fift part, verfe 10, to be directed to God, and the other ver. 11, 12. of Chrift, when it is the same Lord who is spoken to ver. 10, 11, 12. Nor can that which is spoken of an eternal duration, à parte ante, on the part before, as well as a parte post, the part after, be applied only to the duration of his Kingdom which is only eternal a parte poft,

on the part after, and which is also to be re-

figned to the Father , I Cor. 15. 2. col

7. Grotim is fill too bold to put avis his meaning the Fathers Word or Power, instead of aul s his own, meaning the Son without any extant Copies named by him, and clean against the Apostles scope to set out Christs Excellency: Now to Rule at his Fathers command had noted his obedience, and fubferviency, not his excellency; For fo do all holy Angels and good Mag firates, they rule at Gods command: Nor is the expression. Suitable to his fense : If he had meant, as Grotius conceives the fense, he should have faid, ruling all things at the command of his Fathers authority eggolas, rather than Suvanews Power, and not have faid Simuate by the Word, which notes the means of effecting, as Heb. 11. 3. but natà To pina according to his Word, as the jule of administration, or as it is Lake 5. 5. επὶ τῶ ρήux 1 ox at thy command : Befides, Heb. 11. 3. phuats by the Word of God, notes not a command to the Son to do it, but the powerful word to the world, by which it was made, Gen. I. And the all things he upholds, Heb. 1. 3. comprehend not only the Ghurch, but the worlds made by him, or all creatures, as Heb. 2. Heb. 2. 8, 10. Col. 1. 16, 17 muft be un-

derstood.

It istrue, that N'WJ fignifies a Prince, nor will I deny that peges ( as Grotim, Dr. Hammond, Heinfius exercit. facr. 1. 16.c. 1. conceive ) fignifies to rule or Govern, Numb. 11. 14. Deut. 1. 9. yet it fignifies not only to Govern, or Order them, but alfo to fulfain them by provision, as both the occasion of the peoples defire of flesh, and the words of Moses, ver. 11, 12. [ Wherefore bast thou afflitted thy Servant? And wherefore have I not found favour in thy fight, that thou layest the burden of all this people upon me ? Have I conceived all this people? Have I begotten ( or born , as the Greek hathit ) them, that thou fhouldest say unto me, Carry them in thy bosome ( as a nur fing Father beareth the sucking Child) unto the Land which thou swarest urto their Fathers? ] fhew; It is true, Deut. 1. 9. bearing notes rule, but not it only, but allo provision and fustentation, as the words verse 12. shew; How can I my felf alone bear your eumbrance, or wearisom molestation, trouble, as Ifa. 1.14. and your burden; Greek, and your Hypostasis, that is your subfiftence or suftentation by provision, and and your frife, in Greek, your antilogies, gain-fayings or contradictions. And v. 31. In the wilderness the Lord thy God bare thee, as a man doth bear his Son, in all the way that ye went until ye came to this place: Where faith Ainsworth, in his Annotation. this word meaneth not the bearing of the body only, but bearing of their infirmities, and Inffering the evils and troubles in the education of them, as a Father doth in his children which the Greek explaineth by etrophophorefe a word that Paul weth in Acts 13. 18. Where the Syriak expoundeth it nourished: or, as some copies have it, Etropophorese, be suffered their manners : Dr. Hammond Annet. on Acts 13. 18 carried as a Nurfe: Whence I infer , that if Heb. 1. 3. the word bearyet it doth not fignifie meer ruling or ordering the Church by wildom and authority, but up-holding, sustaining, mainor all things created by the Word of his Almighty Power by which they were framed at first , Heb. 11.3. which bearing or upholding all things is not limited to the time after Christs Resurrection, but is antecedent to his death : For fo the words are, He by whom

(120)

whom God made the worlds, being the brightness of his glory, the character of his subsistence, and bearing all things by the Word of
his Power, having by himself made purgation of our sins. sate at the right hand of the
Majesty in the heights: This order of words
Thews that he was the brightness of Glory, and
character of Gods subsistence, and bare al
things by the Word of his Power, and made
purgation of our sins by himself afore he sate
at the right hand of the Majesty or greatness

in the heights.

8. It is true that Heb. 1. 13. is spokents Christ as man exalted; yet as Christ argued against the Pharifees from the same passage of Pfal. 110. 1. (which the Chaldee renders, he Lord faid unto his Word, meaning Christ (aith Ainsworth Annot.) Mat. 23. 42, 43. 44, 45. that Chriftmuit bea greater person than David's Son, because David in spirit calls him Lord, and therefore to have an higher cature than himself being then his Lord; lo we may argue from Heb. 1.13. The Scripture proves Chaft to be Lord of Angels, because God faid, Sit thou onmy right hand till I make thine enemies thy footfool, therefore he had a nature above Angels , and confequently Divine : For Christ Supse David's Lord, which was not denied in and thereby provert that he must be greater than David, and of another nature than his forasmuch as he that was no more than his son, could not be his Lord in the Father heing Superious to the Son, in Mature, who hath no other Nature than what he derives from himself.

ning of dries, and bad a Mocher: No. San
the lense be right that Sexes and ming of dries
is morne of the Priest had of Welch werder,

Heb. 7. 3. Is arged to prove the Erer

To what is said, Heb. no. Install add what is said Heb. 7. 3. concerning Metal chizedes, that he is mentioned without Father, without Mother, without Genealogy, that is without speech of his descent or pedigree, neither having beginning of daies, nor end of life; but made like unto the Son of God, remaineth a Priest for ever: Which intimates, that the Son of God, was without Father, without Mether, without Genealogy, neither having beginning of daies, nor end of life, that is, as he was the Son of God.

God be was Father or Mother among men . in which respect there is no Genealogy of him, that be is without beginning of daies, or end of life, therefore he was before any creature was made, begotten of the substance of his Father, not made of nothing, very God of the same substance of the Father, by whom all things were made: For as the Son of man and according to his office he had beginming of daies, and had a Mother: Nor can the sense be right, that the beginning of daies is meant of the Priest-hood of Melchizedec, for the other part, wor end of life, is to be expounded of his Being, not of his Priefthood; and therefore also his not having beginning of daies must be meant of his Being, as the Son of God, not of his Prieftbood.

auchiller and to "A

SEGT. 14

TA STANTAGE

## SECT. 14.

Christs Kingdom is the Kingdom of the Son of Man so termed, according to his Excellency above all men.

THe Kingdom we are to feek is termed I fornetimes the Kingdom of the Son of man , Mat. 16. 28. Verily I fay anto you, there be some standing here, which shall not tafte of death, till they fee the Son of man coming in his Kingdom: which title Chrift often takes to himself, Mat. 16, 13. whom do men fay , that I the Son of man am ? and upon this confideration, he hath the Kingdom given to him, according to what our Lord Chrift faith, John 5. 27. That the Father bath given him authority, and to do judgment, because he is the Son of man: Accordingly, where Christ fore-tells his chief act of regality he ufeth this title, Mat. 25. 31. When the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the Holy Angels with bim, then shall be fit upon the Throne of his glory, and ver. 34.40. terms this Son of man the King. Whence it is apparent that this title cf

of the Son of Man is to be confidered, that we may have right intelligence of this Kingdom. Now this title of the Son of man may be understood. I. As noting him to bea man of the fame kind with other men : And in this fense the Son of man is no more than a man , as Numb. 23. 19. Pfel. 4. 2. & 144. 3. & 146. 3. Eph. 3. 5. &c. In which sense it is conceived, that Ezekiel is often Spoken to by the title of the Son of man, as Ezek, 2.1, 3, 6, 8, not importing any excellency above other men, but gature and infirmities common to other men; Mr. Gataker in his Cinnus, l. 2. c. 12. whereas it was faid by Nebuchadnezzar, Dan. 3. 25. 2 we read it; Lo I fee four men loofe walking in the midst of the fire, and they have no burn and the forms of the fourth is like the Son of God, it being לבר אלהיו fome will have it translated a Son of the Gods, as meaning by it an Angel, as verf. 28. or a man of excellency and dignity, who were usually stiled Sons of the Gods, as Pfal. 89. 7. according to Pagnin, concludes, that according to the profaneKings mind in our language, if we would rightly render it, we should say, not like the Son of God, but like a Son of the Gods, that is, a person of a most beautiful,

a

0 1

1

25

4

1.

er 15

25

T.

4,

VE

ng

X-

ed

d-

10

we

HOF

he

ul,

nd

and as it were divine form: Alfo in like manner when it is faid, Daniel 7. 13. Behold one came with the clouds like the Son of man, it is no more than a certain person indued with humane form, and should be termed like a Son of man; not as it is commonly rendered, like the Son of man: as if Christ were defigned man as well as God, as Junius in explaining bath noted; Because he is in the New Test ment most frequently named the Son of man: For how could the form of the Son of God, to be represented in our flesh be then fet before Daniels eyes, that whom he had feen descending from heaven, be should declare him feen as like to him, whom it is not probable that himself foresaw of what shape ke hould be? For although it may seem in very deed that he was the Son of God, whom the Prophet had beheld in that vision to have approached to God the Father, the encient of dayes; yet nevertheless it should not be therefore faid he was like to the Son of man, to wit Christ; For this had been as if it were faid be was like to himsfelf, but like to a Son of man, that is to a man, as Ezekiel is often termed Son of man, and fons of men for men, then which nothing is more frequent. So alfo the Apostle, made in the likeness of men, and

and found in fashion as a man, Philip. 2. 7. faving that thefe things are faid of bim according to what he was, that according to what was represented. In like fort that of the Evangelist John is to be taken, which is Rev. 1.13. like to the Son of man; which allo the most famous man Theodore Beza saw, when he turned it, I fam ( some one) like to a Son of man, and in his notes, to a Son of man, that is to a man, or whoresembled aman; after the Hebrew Idiotism. For although he was Christ, yet that this is to be taken in general concerning the shape of a man, appears from bence, that the Article is not added : Alfo from Daniel 10. 5. Where a vision altogether like is described : So ke : To which may be added the parallel place respedling the same person in the same book, co. 14. ver. 14 One fitting on a cloud like to a Son of man, that is a man.

2. He may be said to be stilled the Son of man by excellency, as when the Philosophen a common name to many is by excellency appropriated to Arisiotle, or the Orator to Ciecro, or the Poet to Homer; In which sense Christ is termed the feed of the woman, Gen. 3. 15. the Son of David, Mat. 20. 30, and 22. 42. And in this stense he is termed

the fecond Adam, because as the first earth. h Adam was a common person, comprehending all that from him are propagated by natural generation; fo Christ is the fecond, beavenly Adam, I Cor. 15. 45. the fecond man , verse 47. because all are comprehended in him , , that are by fpiritual regeneration the Sons of God. And in this respect it is faid, that Adam was the type, or figure of him that was to come, Rem. 5. 14. and hereupon the parallelism of one to the other is made by the Apostle, ver. 15, 16, 17; 18, 19, 21, and I Cor. 15, 21, 22, and all the members of the Mystical body termed Christ, 1 Cor. 12, 12. and of Christ it is faid , Ephef. 2. 15. that he might make; of create in bimself two unto one new man making peace; which new man is faid to be Int on , Epbef. 4. 24. Col. 3. 10. as elfewhere Christ is to be put on, Rom. 13. 14. Gal. 3. 27. and Christ is faid to be all things, and in all , Col. 3. II. without discrimination of Greek, and Jew, circumcision and uncircumcision, Barbarian, Scythian, bond and free, and all the members of Chilt, when they meet together are a perfett man; scording to the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ, Ephes. 4. 13. which is expressed

IN

4

1-

Be

m,

ot

2 4

TO

4.

k,

a of

ben

ap.

to

iich

an,

30.

ned

the

expressed to be his body, verfe 16. and this is called the Church, which is his body, the fainels of bim that filleth all in all, Ephel. 1. 22, 23. lo like manner Christ is termed the feed of Abraham , Gal. 3. 16. Now to A. braham and bis feed were the Promifes made; be faith not , And to his feeds, as concerning many, but as of one; And to thy feed, who is Christ: Which is means of Chailt perfohal primarily, and fecondarily of Christ Mis itical; to wit, all Believers, who are termed . verfe 7. Abraham's Children , and verse 26, 27, 28, 29. Te are all the Sons of God through Faith in Christ Fesus: For as many as have been Baptized into Christ have put on Christ : There is neither few , nor Greek, nor is there Servant, nor free, nor is there male and female, for ye are all eis one man in Christ Jesus, and if ye be Christs then are ye Abraham's feed, and Heirs ac cording to the Promise. And thus it is more probable to me, that Daniel 3. 5. is not to be read a Son of the Gods, to note only a person of a more excellent vilage, as the Gentiles called men of rare Beauty and Mas jety; but the Son of God, whom he calls the Angel, verse 28. who was known in the Church of God by the title of the Angelof CXDICITE

be

1

3-6

14

n-

pu

ef

as

ve

or

107

15

GI

C

TO

to

1 2

he

134

115

he

of

bo

the Covenant, Mal. 3. 1. the Angel of Gods Presence, Ifa. 63.9. on which Mr. Gataker in the Annotations of fundry Divines in English hath this note: Certain it is that this Angel here spoken of, is that Angel, of whom God Spake unto Moses ; Exed. 23. 21, 23. termed both Jehovah, Exod, 13. 21. and 14. 10, 24. and his Face or Presence, Exod. 33. 14, 15. and an Angel, Exod. 32. 2. who that he was no other, than the Messias Jesus Christ, the conducter of them in the Wilderness, holy Stephen informeth us, Alts 7. 38. the eternal Son of God, the resplendency of his Fathers Majesty, and exact Image of his Person, Heb. i. 3. in whom therefore his Name is said to be, Exod. 23. 22. he that appeared unto Moles in the bush, Exod. 3. 2. Styled Jehovah there, verle 4. and by Jacob, the Angel that delivered, or rescued him out of all evil, Gen. 48. 16. and by Malachy lastly; Jehovah, the Angel of the Covenant, Mal. 3. 1. termed an Angel, or Me Jenger, in regard of bis Mediatourship, Heb. 8. 6. of Gods face; either because he doth exactly resemble Godhis Father, John 14. 9, 10. Col. 1. 15. or, becanse be appeareth before the Face, or in the Presence of God, for us, Heb. 9. 24. See Rome

Rom. 8. 34. Revel. 8. 3. this Angel fecured and safeguarded them all the way thorow the Wilderness , from Egypt to Canaan , Deut. 8. 2, 4. and 32. 10, 12. which it's not unlikely Nebuchadnezzar somewhat understood, as well as that God fent an Angel to deliver his Servants that trusted in him. verse 28. by Daniel, whom God used to reveal to Nebuchadnezzar the fuccession of the four Monarchies, whereupon he acknowledged Daniels God to be a God of Gods, and a Lord of Kings, and a Revealer of secrets, Dan. 2. 47 And I judg the opinion of Cameron in his pralection on Mat. 16. 27. to be right, that the term Son of man, Dan. 7. 13. notes the Messiah, and that the title of Son of man is given to him, not as importing any diminution, but his excellency, and that in allusion to that place in Daniel Christ, when he speaks of himself, Mat. 16. 27. Mar. 25.31. John 5. 27. ufeth that title of the Son of man to show, that he was meant therein, and that we need not either alter the pointing, as some of the Antients, nor make that the reason of committing judgement to him, John 5. 27. because he only of the three Persons in the holy Trinity is man; as Dr. Pearson conceives in his Exposition of the feventh

(131)

seventh Article of the Creed, but that Christ intimates, that all judgment was committed to him, because he was the son of man meant Dan. 7. 13. Which is also the opinion of Gretius Annot. ad Johan. Evang. c. 5. 27. because he is that Son of man, of whom Daniel foretold, that to him should be given deminion and a Kingdom over all Nations without end. Dan. 7.13, 14.

Nor is it of force to enervate this opinion .

that it is faid, that he who came before the Antient of daies, was as the Son of man: For the particle > fignifies not only the likeness of a thing, but also the verity of it, as as doth, Fobn 1. 14. 2 Cor. 2. 17. And if it should note only likeness and not identity, both there, and Revel 1. 13. and 14. 14. it fhould intimate as if he whom Daniel and John faw were not Christ, but one like him, and fo the person to whom dominion was given, and the person described

0

1

c

e

e

To de us, EV TRUDA XX OMOIGOEUS ESIV. solè παραβο-Aus, alla Be-Baicioscus z αναμφισή TE gliogious. chryfost. bom. 123 in Je. I. 14. Annot, on Job 14. 14. (As ) fometimes in Scripture language fets not our the similitude, but the thing it felf, Hof. 4. 4. and %. 10. John 1. 14.

fhould

hould not be Chrift : But the words being conceived aright, Daniel faw Christ the Son of man in the apparition; Nor is it abfurd to fay fo of Daniel, though Christ were not then Incarnate. For he had by the Spirit Chriff represented to him , as he was to David when in Spirit be called him Lord. Mat. 23.43. And Abraham rejoyced to fee bis day, and famit, and was glad, John 8. 57. And if in the apparations of the Angel, that spake to Abraham about Sodom, to 70. fina about Feriche it were Chrift that appeared, and so at other times Christ appeared in humane shape, as fundry Arguments evince; then Daniel could not be ignorant, who the Son of man was . Nor is the defeat of the Article, Rev. 1, 13, and 14. 14. a fufficient reason to shew the Son of man there to be no more than a man; For the Article is also wanting, John 5. 27, and yet the Son of man is meant peculiarly of Christ: And fo is Dan. 10. 5. though it be only read a man.

It is to be considered that the term Son of man is still given by Christ to himself, not as Maldonat the festite conceived, as debasing himself, or speaking of himself diminutively, as Psal, 22.6, But I are a worm,

and no man: a reproach of men and despited of the people: For he doth give himfelf the title of the Son of man not in his prayer to God, as Pfal. 22.6. but in his speeches to the people, and then when he expresseth his Power, Mat. 9. 6. Mat. 12. 8. Mat. 26 64. & 13. 37, 41. nor do the places alledge ed prove that the title of Son of man is taken by Christ to himself, to shew his debasement by it, but to imply, that though he were that Son of man to whom dominion over all Nations did belong, yet he had not then where to lay his head; And the like is to be faid of that Mat. 12.40. that even he who was the Son of man by excellency, should be three daies and three nights in the heart of the Earth: Nor is there Mat. 12. 32. 2 leffening of Christs person below the Holy Spirit implied by the title Son of man; the fin is less which is against the Son of man, than the Blasphemy against the Holy Spirit, not because of the excellency of the Spirits Person above the Person of the Son of man, but because of the property of that sin, being against the conviction of the Spirit by his operation , John 12. 34. The Jews enquire , Who is this Son of man? not meaning, that the Son of man was a diminitive term, but K 3 doubting

5

Ĉ

C

S

(134)

doubting how that Son of man should be the Messiah, of whom he had said that be should be listed up, verse 32. And for that place, Psal. 8.6. Heb. 2.6. the Son of man doth not express an abject condition, though an inferiour low nature in comparison of Gods, bur rather Christs high dignity; the Authour of that Epistle proving, that to no other man were all things made subject, bur to him, who being made little lower than the Angels, Beaxy I for a little time; to wir, the time of his suffering, verse 9. (as Cameron expounds it, praled, in Mat. 16. 27.) was made Superiour to Angels, and had all things subjected to him.

the is ic. which is be awar the School what it is the again feld what spirit is not because of the Spirits now because of the Spirits Perforance the Perfor of the Son of man.

bla became of the property of that has being againft the conviction of the Spain by those certaion, I have see 34. The James enquire what a see that the see and the second of the secon

the Earth: blor is the end of xx. 32. a fellowing and Church purion holowards the following intermediate the contraction of the

the Sep orining was a dimensioner terms, but

## SECT. 15.

christ's Consubstantiality with the Father according to his Deity, with us according to his Humanity, as the Chalcedon Councel determined, is asserted and proved from John 1. 14. Acts 2. 30. Rom. 1. 3, 4. and 9. 5.

However, whether the reason of the appellation be this latter or no, it is certain, that thereby is signified, that Christ hath an Humane as well as a Divine Nature; and according to the Doctrine of the Councel of Ghalcedon I determine, that the Son of God our Lord fesus Christ, is truely God, and truely man, the same, of a reasonable sont and body, όμος σιθ τώ παιρί και στην θεό
Τησικό ομος σιθ των καια την ανθεωποίνηα, consubstantial with the Father, touching the God-head, and consubstantial, or of one effence or substance with us, according to the Man-bood: Which it were unnecessary to prove, sith his composition of Body, Birth, K. 4. Growth.

(136)

Growth , Properties , Actions , Sufferings , and what ever elfe prove a Person to be a man. as we are, as plainly are related, and were as fully manifelted to have been in Christ Jefus, as in any other man; but that as of old Valentinus, Marcion, and some others denied his body to have been of humane feed as the matter; holding it to have been imaginary, not real, or Coeleftial, and to have paffed through the Virgins womb: So others of late have denied the truth of Christs Incarnation, and the reason of his being termed the Son of man, contrary to the holy Scripsures, as shall be shewed by these Texts following, which ascribe both a Divine and Humane Nature to one and the same Person, the Lord Jesus Chrift, both while he was on Earth, and as he is now in Heaven, and Chall appear at his future coming to Judgement.

To this purpose are the words alledged before, out of John 1. 14. Which shew that the same Person who is the Word, was Flesh; which, because I have before vindicated Sect.

6. I shall not insist on here, nor on such proofs as may be made from Col. 1. 18. or Heb. 1. 3. in which that is ascribed to the Son (whom I before proved, Sect. 9, 10,

II, 12.

14, 12. from those chapters to be God) which proves him a man, to wit his being bead of the body the Church, the first-born from the dead, who by himself purged our fins, and is Sate down on the right hand of the Majesty in the heights : But confider other places, where both natures in one Perfon are declared : Among which I shall chuse to infift on first, those places, which speak of Christ as descending from the Fathers according to the Flesh, as Alts 2. 30. Therefore David being a Prophet, and knowing that God had sworn with an oath to bins, that of the fruit of his loins, according to the flesh, he would raise up Christ to sit on his Throne. Rom. 1. 3, 4. Concerning his Son Fefus Christ our Lord, which was made of the feed of David according to the flesh, who was declared or determined the Son of God. in, or with Power according to the Spirit of Holiness by the Resurrection of, or from the dead. Rom. 9. 5. Whose are the Fathers and of whom Christ according to the flesh, who is over all, God bleffed for ever.

Which Texts do expressy teach, that Jesus Christ had a humane nature, which is termed the flesh, as it is frequent by [Flesh] to understand a man or Humane Nature,

Rom.

Rom. 3. 20. and 11. 14. Ifa. 58. 7. Gal. 2. 16. For he was of the fruit of Davids loins according to the Flesh , which being a re-Aricion cannot limit [raifing np] but [ Chrift ] and so notes another part, according to which Christ was not raised up our of the loins of David, which must be understood of his Divine Nature; according to which he was Davids Lord, Mat. 22. 44, 45. He was of the seed of David, and of the Fathers To nata okqua, as to that which was according to the Flesh; restrictively after it, implying another Nature, according to which, he is of an higher original, even the Son of God, Rom. 1. 3, 4. God over all; bleffed for ever, Rom. 9. 5. Whence it is inferred :

He who is so Davids Son according to the flesh, raised up out of the frait of his loins according to the flesh, made of the seed of David according to the flesh, of the Fathers according to the Flesh, as that he is also Davids Lord, the Son of God, God over all blessed for ever; is consubstantial with the Father as touching the God-head, and consubstantial with us as touching his Man-hood: But such is Jesus Christ, Therefore, &c.

### SECT. 16.

from Acts 2. 30. Rom. 1. 3, 4. Rom. 9.5. is fet down.

Gainst this it is thus excepted: When the Apostle faith, that Christ came of the Fathers according to the flesh, who is over all a God bleffed for ever; the opposition is not entire and exact as wanting the other Member: What that Member is, another passage of the Apostle, wherein you have the same opposition in describing Christ, will inform you; It is Rom. 1.3, 4. concerning bis Son Jefus Christ our Lord, who was made (or rather born) of the feed of David according to the flesh, and declared to be the Son of God with Power (Gr. determined, or ordained Son of God in Power) according to the Spirit of Holiness, by the Resurrection from the dead : Here you see that to those words, according to the flesh; are opposed these, according to the Spirit of Holiness: Again, What this Spirit of Holiness is, will be no hard matter to find out;

5

c

.

if we consider that as the flesh signifyeth a constituting part of Christ, namely his fleshly body; fo also must the Spirit of holines, opposed thereunto, signifie a constituting part: If fo, then it is not the boly Spirit, as every one will confesse, nor the reasonable soul of Christ, because be is intimated to have had this Spirit by means of the resurrection from the dead, whereas he had a reasonable Soul before his death: Nor the Divine Nature, for that is no where in Scripture designed by the name of Spirit; or Spirit of boliness Besides, the adversaries hold, that Christ bad the Divine Nature, whilft he was yet cloathed with flesh. It remains therefore that by the Spirit of boliness, which Christ bad by means of the resurrection of the dead, and is a constituting part of bim, is to be understood his Holy, Spiritual Body, whereby he is excepted from other men, being the first-born from the dead, or the first that se rose from the dead, as that be never dyed as gain, but was cloathed with a Spiritual body, and made like to God, who is a Spirit. And now the sense of that passage beginneth to appear, Heb. 9, 14. How much more shall the blood of Christ, who through the eternal Spirie (Gr. through an eternal Spirit, for no Article (141)

Article is prefixed ) offered bimfelf without foot to God; Purge your consciences from dead works to ferve the living God? By sternal Spirit is bere meant the Spiritual body of Christ, which lasteth to all eternity; and this expression is opposed to what the same Divine Author Speaketh of Christ, Heb. 5. 7. who in the daies of his flesh , &c. For eternal is contrary to dayes, and spirit to flesh: Neither will that which we have here Spoken seem strange to bim, who having penetrated into that profound Epistle to the Hebrews , knoweth (what is there frequently intimated ) that Christ then made bis offering for our fins; when, after his Resurrection, be entered into Heaven, and being endued with a spiritual and immortal Body , presented himself before God: For fo the Type of the Levitical High-Priest making the yearly Atonement for the firs of the People (Levir. 16.) did require : For as the Atonement was not then made, when be, flew the Beasts, but when baving put on his linnen Robes, he brought their blood into the Santtuary before the Mercy-Seat: So neither did Christ offer bis sacrifice for our fins upon the Cross, but when after his Resurrection, being cloathed with Robes of Immort so

4

d

be

.

be

s

a:

7,

nd

p.

he

Di-

200

cle

mortality and Glory, he entered into Heaven the true Santtuary, and presented himself to God. (Wherefore to return to the forefaid paffage, Rom. 9.5.) When it is there faid. of whom according to the flesh (for so the Greek bath it ) (brift came, who is over all a God to be ble fed for ever; we ought (b) the authority of the Apostle bim (elf ) 10 supply in our mind the other member of the opposition, and to understand the place, a if it badbeen faid; who according to the Spirit of beliness by the Resurrection from the dead, is over all a God blefed for ever: But if Christ be according to the Spirits Holines by the Resurrection from the deal (that is) according to his spiritual Body, which be received by means of the Resurve-Etion from the dead the Son of God in Power, and accordingly a God over all; he is not the Son of God in Power, and accordingly a God over all, by having the Divine Nature perfonally united to his Humane Nature, but by the Glorification and Exaltation of his ver ry Humane Nature.

1

### SECT. 17.

This Exception against the Argument is refuted.

I Reply, that in this passage there are

I many errours.

30

1

of

d

e-

be

od

7.

sut

764

182

170

1. That Rom. 1, 3. To yevouevs is to be rendered [born] rather than made: For though I deny not that the Participle 78 yeνομένε may fignifie [born ] yet here it is not lo firly thus rendered, as [made | because it is not γεννωμένε or γεννηθένι commonly used for birth or generation, as Mat. 1.16. Luke 1.35.57.& 23.29. Fob. 3.41. & 18.37. Rom. 9. 11. but yevomens, as Gal. 4. 4. nor is it faid born of the Mother, or Woman, s in expressions of birth is usual, 306 14. 1. Mat. 11. 11. Luke 7. 28. and the Prepofition en doth note, not the womb from whence he came, but the matter out of which he was formed: For doubtless [ of the feed of David according to the flesh, Rom. 1. 3. ] is the fame with [ of the fruit of his loins according to the flesh, Alts 2. 30.] now

Tof the fruit of his loins according to the flesh notes the matter out of which he had fleth or a humane body; and therefore the Father or antient Progenitour David is mentioned. and his feed, and the fruit of his loins; as the Jew is faid to come out of the loins of Abraham, and Levi to be in bis loins, Heb. 7. 5, 10. in respect of the matter out of which they came, nor the Mother or her Womb, as the place from whence: And yevonevs notes the Act of God answerable to avashoew Acts 2.30. raise up, not the ad of the Mother in bringing forth, and therefore Rom. 1.3. it is rightly translated [made] or as Pifcator [ ortiraifed ] answerably to avaletaluev sprang up, Heb. 7. 14.

2. It is granted, that [according to the flesh] notes a constituting part, but that it notes a constituting part, which Christ had only afore his Resurrection, and not after his Resurrection, is not to be granted: For as it is now, the humane body of Christ, or humane nature is made of the seed of David, and raised of the fruit of his loins according to the flesh, sith it is the same numerical body, and Christ is still the same man which was made, or descended, or sprang out of David, notwithstanding any

alteration

(145)

r

5

١.

£

d

ĕ

9

0

be

ad

CE

or t.

2-

u-

ne

or

on

afteration in the outward effate, or inherene qualities in his humanity or humane body ; it doth not become a conflictuting part in its humiliation, and not a conflicting part in his exaltation: That very being which was made of the feed of David according to the flesh, which was raised of the fruit of his loins according to the flesh, was to sit on his Throne , Acts 2, 30, and to reign, Luke 1. 32, 33. And therefore as the Exceptor argues , that by the Spirit of Heline's cannot be meant the Soul or Divinuy of Chrift, becanse he had both (in our opinion at least ) in the daies of his flesh, though the Soul were not then glorified; I may argue, by the Spirit of Holiness is not meant his glorified body, because he had it, though not then glorified, even in the daies of his flech. Hall all to chies of it is thed w . The fi

flewing, that [according to the flesh ] notes not his fleshly body as he speaks, that is Christs humane body in its debasement only, but his humane nature: For according to the flesh, Rom. 1.3. signifies by the same Authours opinion, and the evidence arising from comparing the place, the same that it doth, Rom. 9.5. now it signifies Rom. 9.5. the

fame which it doch ver. 3. where Paul calls the Ifraelites his Brethren, Kinsmen, acwere bis Brethren or Kinsmen according to the flesh , that is restrictively to their weaknels, debalement, or mortality, in oppofition to their glorification, and excluding that as inconsistent with their being his Brethren or Kinsmen according to the flesh: But he means by according to the flesh, their humane nature as men and as men descended from the same Ancestors, and so in like manner , when it is faid , Chrift was from the Fathers according to the flesh; the meaning is not , according to his weak, or inglorious condition precifely, and exclusively to his glorified condition , but fimply according to his humane nature, as descended from them, whether in the daies of his flesh, or exaltation , without any discrimination : Which is confirmed by our Saviours own Speech to his Disciples, Luke 24. 39. Behold my hands and my feet, that it is I my felf; bandle me and fee, for a Shirit bath not flesh and bones as ye fee me have; Therefore Chrift supposed after his Re urrection that he had flesh, that his humane Body was a fleshly Body, the same according to the

the flesh that it was before; which is also frengthened by the expressions , Alls 2.30. that God raifed bim up of the fruit of Davids loins according to the fleth, but God did not raise bim up of the fruit of Davids loins accarding to the fleih, barely as weak , mortal; and debased, but simply as man de cended from him, therefore [ according to the flesh] imports Christs humans y or humane body as from David without reftriction to his low estace: And v. 31. when it is faid, bis flesh ded not see cor upion; his body is still termed flesh , the same flesh , and not confidered as weak ; for as fuch it faw a change (which may be termed in fome fort a corruption, to wit, a change from that weakness it had to a better form, but as the conflitute ing part of his humane nature.

4. By [ the Spirit of Holines Rom. 1, 4.] whether wala note a conflicting part, or an efficient cause, cannot be meant Christs bely Spiritual Body in the Exceptors scale. For 1. It would imply that his Spiritual Body were another constituting part than his slessly body; which is already resured.

2. It would imply that his slessly body were not his holy body; whereas that which was born of Mary was that holy thing, which I 2 should

should be called the Son of God, Luke 1. 34: 3. No where is the body of Christ termed & Spirit , or the Spirit of Holinels in anyeflate . For though it be true, that I Cor. I c. 44. mentions a Spiritual body; yet I. That is there contradiltinguished not to paperate fleshly, but to Juxinov natural, or soulary. 2. No where termed TVEUMA & Spirit. 3. Nor TVEUHA aylastivus the Spirit of Holinefs. 4. After his Resurrection Christ denies his body to be a Spirit, as baving flesh and tones, Luke 24. 39. and he is faid to enter into the boly place by his own blood , Heb. o. 12. and to have consecrated for us a new andliving way to enter into the holiest by bis blood, through the Veil; that is to fay bis Fleh, Heb. 10. 19, 20.

It is an errour, that by the eternal Spirit, Heb. 9. 14. is meant Christs Eternal Spirit that Bedy; For [the Eternal Spirit] there must be of something distinct from himself; Else the meaning should be, he offered himself by himself, which is tautological and absurd; but by himself must be meant his body, as Heb. 1. 3. Having purged our sins by himself, is by his own body; For the thing offered was his own Body, or his Life or Loud, 1sa. 53. 10. In the Type, the

thing offered is some body, gife or facrifice Heb. 5. 1. and 8. 3. and 9. 7. 9. and 10. 1 and 11.4, 17. whence the body offered is termed the oblation, Heb. 10, 5, 8. In the antitype Christ is faid to offer himfelf, that is , his body called his oblation, Heb. 10. 10. and this offering is termed, Hebig. 25, 26. the Sacrifice of himself for thep neting away of sin , and this to be not often, but once in the end of the world, ver. 26. he was once offered to bear the fins of many; verle 28. He needed not daily, as those High-Priests, to offer up Sacrifice first for his own fins, and then for the peoples: For this be did oncemben be offered up himself, Heb. 7. 27. By the which will we are sandified, by the offering of the body of Felus Christ once for all. Heb. 10, 10. But this man after be bad offered one facrifice for fins, for ever Sate down on the right hand of God, verse 12. which must be afore he face down on the right hand of God, and therefore on earth, and this was by his Suffering or dying, Heb. 9. 26, 27, 28. and therefore cannot be referred to his appearing in Heaven, but to bis bloods shedding, Heb. 9. 22. in the daies of his flesh : whereby it appears to be falle, that Christ did not offer his Sacrifice for our fins,

ż

e

L 3

on

on the Grafs, there being no other time means by that once when be offered up himfelf for the fus of the people , Heb. 7. 27. and whereas it is fa d, Heb. 9 28. Chrift was once offered to bear the fins of many. St. Peter tells us, 1 Epiftle 2. 24. Who his own felf bare our fins in bis own body on the tree, that we being dead to im fhould live unto righteousness; by whose stripes ye were healed : Which doth evidently refer to Ifa. 53. 4, 5, 6. whence the last clause is taken, and shews the bearing of our fins by the offering of bimsfelf to have been on the Cross or at the time of his fuffering on Earth. And hereby it appears to be falle, that Christ made not atonement till he came to Heaven: For Col. 1. 20. It is faid, And having made peace through the blood of his Cross be reconciled all things to bis Father, ver. 21, 22. Now bath be reconciled in the body of his flesh through death. Rom. 8. 3. God fending his own Son in the likeness of sinful flesh, and for sin (or by a facrifice for fin, as Heb. 10. 8. ) condemned for in the flesh, which is all one with making atonement. That which is alledged, that the atonement was not then made, when the High-Priest flew the Beafts, but when having put on his linnen Robes, be brought their blood

blood into the Santtuary before the Mercy-Seat, is partly falle, there being atonement made for himself and his house, Levis, 16. 5, 6, before he entered into the holy place : and partly impertinent, fith the point in queftion is not where the atonement was made. but where Chrift offered himfelf, Heb. 9.14. shough both the offering and the atonement are resolved to have been afore his fitting at the right hand of God, Heb. 1. 3. and 10. 12. Nor doth it appear, that Eternal Spirit, Heb. 9. 14. ] is put in opposition to the daies of his flesh , Heb. 5. 7. For it is not faid, Heb. 5. 7. fleft that bath daies, as if it noted a diffinction of his body mortal, from his Spiritual Immortal Body; but daies of bisfle (boonly to note the time of his offering prayers, not the quality or adjunct of his body: Nor is it faid , he offered by the daies of his flesh, as here by the Eternal Spirit, but in the daies of his flesh, to note the time, which is not intimated, Heb. 9. 14. by that term, by the Ecernal Spirit, for then it Thould rather have been faid, by or in the Eternity of the Spirit: The offering being an act of Christ on Earth, is no other than the act of his Deed and Will, whereby he did prefent bim felf as a Sacrifice to God, as the phrase

phrase is . Rom. 12. 1. or asie le Epb. 5. 2. Gave bimfelf for me , an offering and a facrifice to God for a sweet-smelling savour; by reason of such acts Abraham is said to offer up liaac, Heb. 11. 17. and we are faid to offer the Sacrifice of Praise, Heb. 13.14. Spiritual Sacrifices , I Pet. 2. 5. which is plainly expressed, Heb. 10. 10. By which will we are fanct, fied by the offering of the Body of Jesus Christ once, or for once; which was no other than that which he exprefled in that prayer, which Arminius termed rightly the Canon or rule of Christs Sacrifice, John 17. 19. And for them I fandifie my felf , that they also may be fan-Elified in truth: Which being confidered, I fee not what good fenfe can be made ofit, as many Divines expound it, of the Divinity of Christ making the Sacrifice of Christ of value to fatisfie for fins : For the words [ through the Feernal Spirit ] have not reiped to himfelf, who was offered, as enhauncing the price of the thing offered, by reason of the union of it to himself, neither the place of it before himfelf, nor the Prepolition used, being dia through or by, not our with or in conjunction, do fute with fuch a fenfe; but it is in configuration annexed and referred referred to the offering, and notes the cause and means of offering : Belides the reason of Piscator is good in his Scholie on the Text. that it belongs not to the Deity to offer Sacrifice, but that is it to which it is offered by a man as a man: And indeed it is not good fense to say, Christ offered himself by his God-head to God, it being not eafily conceivable what notion the God-head should have in fuch a speech, which is not absurd or inept; Nor do I think Piscators opinion good, that by the Eternal Spirit is meant Christs Immortal Soul, partly because no where is Christs Humane Soul called the Eternal Spin rit , partly because I think it should rather be Said in than through the Eternal Spirit, if Christs Immortal Soul were meant by it, the particle Aix noting the efficient cause, not the Subject in which the act of offering was: And therefore I rather pitch upon it to understand by [ the Eternal Spirit ] the holy Spirit answering to the fire, which kindled the Sacrifice, and moving or inflaming the heart of Christ with love to us and obedience to God, to give himself an Offering and a Sacrifice to God for us , Epbef. 5. 2. The holy Spirit is fitly resembled by fire, Mat. 3. 11. and he well termed the Eternal Spirit ling the legal Sacrifices: But if the allusion be not thereto, yet the sense is good and right: For as it is said that Christ had not the Spirit by measure, John 3. 34. and that he was sull of the Holy Ghost, Luke 4.

1. that the Spirit of the Lord was upon him, that it ancinted him, verse 18. So it is said, that he was moved by the Spirit to be Tempted to Preach, in the same places, and to cast out Devils by the Spirit of God, God putting his Spirit on him he shewed Judgment

Rom. 15. 16. The Offering of the Gentiles is faid to be accepted being fanctified by the Holy Ghoft.

Judgement to victory, Mat. 12. 18, 20, 28, gave Commandements through the Holy Ghoff, Acts 1.2. And accordingly here is faid to offer him-

is the want of the Article any more against the expounding the Eternal Spirit, of the Holy Ghost, than against the expounding it of Christs Spiritual Immortal Body, it being as requisite in respect of use to design the one as the other; But the truth is, it is not requisite, that it should be presized to shew it to be meant of the hely Spirit, sith it is omitted

t

ì

0

q

.

13

,

of A

18

R

6

hie

ot

W

15

ed

omitted Ram. 9. 1. and 14, 17, de, and egen in this Epiftle Heb. 2. 4. and 6. 4. So that the feafe may be, notwithflanding any thing I find to the contrary that Christ willingly, obediently effered, or yielded, through the holy Spirits incitation or operation in him , bimfelf a Sacrifice without for or blemish to God: And as executing the function of Priest hood to which he was anoinced above others, Heb. 1. 9. And this fense is most agreable to the Apostles incent which is to fet forth the efficacy and val dity of Christs Sacrifice above the Legal; which he doth here from the obedience and readisels of will to offer himfelf as he doth, Heb. to. 10. and the holiness of his person, or his being without fpot or blemith, as he doth Heb. 7. 26, 27. 1 Pet. 1. 19. no where that land from the Hypoftatical Union, orthe birituality, immortality, and glory of his humane body, or the immortality of his Soul.

5. The term [ ogio evi ( Rom. 1.4. ] is not rightly rendered [determined or ordained Son of God in Power ] For though it be true, that the verb fignifies appointment, ordination, or predestination, and that this last is used by the Latin vulgar translation,

(156)

lation, and by fundry of the Antients, and the verb is used to in the New Testament , Luke 32. 22. Acts 2, 23. and 10. 42. and 17. 26. 31. in which places the appointment, or determination is by God of a thing future : yer that cannot be the meaning, Rem. 1. 4. For then the sense should be, that Christ should be appointed, or ordained, or determined by God, either that by power, according to his Spiritual body by the resurrection of the dead he should be the Son of God; Or elle that his appointment, ordination or determination that he should be the Son of God, was by power according to the Spirit of bolis ness, that is his holy spiritual body , by the Resurrection from the dead. This latter fense is most absurd; it would intimate, as if Gods determination were in power accorde ing to Christs Spiritual body by the Resurred Ction of the dead; whereas the determination of Gods purpole, or his ordaining of things future, hath no cause but his will, his ote daining is not an act of power, though the execution of it be; Nor is the former fense true : For then the meaning should be, that Christs being the Son of God was consequent on the power, the Spirit of holines, and refurrection of the dead, fish ordaining or force appointing Q

•

t

d

Y

Ó

14

¢

ij.

.

16-

CE

25

14

Н

00

gı

園

gh

at.

nt

CT.

ng

sppointing his Southip to be thereby supporeth them to be before, as the cause is before the effect, and his Sonship to be future to them, or after them : But this is contrary to what is confessed by the adversaries, that he was the Son of God before his refurrection. and is proved from, Luke 1.35. Mat. 16. 16. John 6.69. and Heb. 5. 8. Although he were a Son yet learned he obedience by the things which he suffered, which shews he was a Son afore he learned obedience by the things which he fuffered : For which reasons I like not to fay as Dr. Pearfon doth in his Exposition on the second Article of the Creed, that he was defined, or constituted, and appointed the Son of God in Power by the Resurrection from the dead; Nor that of Grotims, that he was made a celestial King after bis Resurrection, and also before destinated to that Kingdom by so many Miracles done by Divine Power proper to him and dwelling in him, where the term Son of God Standing in contradiffinction, to being of the feed of David, according to the flesh, is as much as a Celestial King, and the Participle determined is expounded by two other, made, and before destinated, the one noting a thing past, the other a thing future, fo as that the fame

fame word in the fame place thall fignifie be ing made a Celeftial King after Christs rea furrection , and being destinated before to that Kingdom, and in Power according to the Spirit of bolimfs , shall be Divine Power proper to him . and inhabiting in him by the Spirit of boliness , that is, force of Divinity by which fom the beginning of his conception be was fantified, andby which be did Mis racles, and if avasaceus, shall be after the Resurrection from the dead : None of which are made good by Heb. 5. 9. All 2. 30. or Alls 26. 23. or any other which he produceth in his Annet. on Rom. 1.4. Nor do I conceive can be; Nor do I think Dr. Hammond his Paraphra fe right | but atcording to the Spirit of boliness, or in to feet of that other Nature in him , called hi Eternal Spirit , Heb. 9. 14. ] (far above all that is flesh and blood) that, I say which (hone in him most perfectly, after, and through, and by his Resurrection from the dead, 2 Cor. 13. 4. was fet at Gods right hand, the Son of God in Power, to whom accordingly as to a Son, all Power was given by the Fa ber | For befides what before and afrer is , or will be faid about the Spirit of bolinefs , and Eternal Spirit , there is nothing

6

ì

N

1

of

li

h

4

ř

. , S

TH.

cb

nd

be

bt

10-

ON

thing of Gods right hand in the Text, nor doth fet at Gods right hand the Son of God in Power ] well explain [ determined the Son of God in Power | nor is he rightly faid to he fet at Gods right hand according to the Spirit of Holiness, or in respect of that other Nature in him , called his Eternal Spirit , Heb. 9. 14. For his being fer at the right hand of God is not precifely according to that other Nature, but rather according to that which he had of the Seed of David according the flesh : Nor is it fitly faid that other Nature did shine most perfectly after, through or by his resurrection from the dead, 2 Cor. 13.4. For though his being the Son of God was proved by it, yet how the Divine Nature did (hine in him through, by, after his Resurrection from the dead is hard to understand, nor do any words in the Text ountenance such a Paraphrase : Wherefore not mif-liking Dr. Hammond's translation demonstrated or defined the Son of God in Power; Nor that of the Syriak Interpreter who turns ogeder by, who was known ; Affick to that fenfe, which our Translators ba have chosen, declared, or as Chry foftom, of Interprets it | Thewed , demonstrated or mainfested to be the Son of God over and above nowhat ing

what he was of the feed of David according to the flesh and fundry others with him: And so [determined] notes not an act of the Will of God concerning the futurity of a thing, but Gods sentence as it were, setting the understanding by way of certification of what was surely so, or evidence of it as of a thing already, being to take away doubting, in the sense in which in the Schools their resolutions concerning things in question, are called their determinations: In which sense I conceive it taken, Heb. 4.7. where offer by our Translators rendered [timiteth] is the same which he expressed, verse 8. he had not spoken of another day: And likewise that

Job 22. 28.

Additions to Ether ch. 14. 9. Edeal sproude soualos co, Numb. 30. 8. Exod. 8. 12. 3 Mac. 1.2. 25. Prov. 16. 30. Epiphau. panar. 1. 1. tom. 1. impres. 19. 78 70 opice Petav istud discrete significat.

5600V

which declareth what a thing is, in Logick is termed opiomos or a definition of it, and the Mood which is Indicative, is termed by Grammarians opicind, and the boundaries of Lands are called opiomala, because they show what is belonging to a person, and in composition Hyppocratis his Determinations, or Declarations about Medicines

are entituled his Aphorisms, and Alogiomos a distinst explication of a thing: According to which Exposition the meaning is, Rom. 1. 4. that God had determined as it were by sentence in the Resurrection of him from the dead, that Christ Jesus had another nature above that he had of the seed of David, to wit, that he was the Son of God.

d

c

2

of

1

a

2

4

1

1

1

b

iy.

b

re

(e

g-10

es

64

es

re

6. The Resurrection of the dead cannot be meant of the general Resurrection, as if the lense were , he is predestinated or fore-appointed that he shall be the Son of God in Power when he shall raise the dead, but of Christs particular Resurrection : For though the general Refurrection shall most fully demonstrate the glory of Christ, yet the determination being of a thing past, must be understood of his own Refurrection : Nor is it a sufficient exception against this, that the Apostle saith avagasews vengar not en venew, the Resurrection of the dead not from the dead, and that it is not by his Refurre-Hion from the dead, but the Resurrettion of the dead : For Acts 26. 23. there is in St. Panl's speech the same expression, where speaking of what the Prophers fore-told of Christs Resurrection, he useth this expresfion et meatos et avasantos veneur, word by

word

(162)

word, that be the first by rising of the dead, that is as he should suffer. so he should be the first or chief risen from the dead, who should show or publish light to the people and the Gentiles.

7. In Power . Rom. 1.4. cannot be teferred to the Power of Christ, whereby he did Miracles, but to the Power of God by which he was raised from the dead, of which the same Apofle speaketh, 2 Cor. 13. 4. For though he was crucified if a deveius through, or by reason of weakness, tet be liverh in Aurantus because of, or by the Power of God, 1 Cor, 6. 14. And God bath both raised up the Lord, and will also raise up us by bis own Power , Rom. 6. 4. like as Christ was raised from the dead by the Glory (that is the Power) of the Father: Which is confirmed in that he is faid to be determined the Son of God in Power, which determ nation is referred to the Fathers, and therefore the Power is the Fathers by which he is determined to be the Son of God.

8. I confess the Divine Nature of Christ is no where that I find, termed the Spirit of heliness, or the hely Spirit, nor the glorified body of Christ, although God be termed a Spirit, John 4, 24, and 2 Cor. 3. 17.

the Lord is that Spirit , which to me scems most likely to be meant of Christ, who is in the Epifles of Paul most commonly meant by this title [ the Lord ] and in the verfe before meant, where it is faid [ Nevertbelefs when it hall turn to the Lord ] that is Christ; and the next verse following [But we all with open face beholding the glory of the Lord] that is Jesus Christ distinguished in the same verse from the holy Spirit, termed the Spirit of the Lord, if it benot to be read, as from the Lord the Spirit, and fo applied to Chrift: It is faid that Christ knew in his Spirit, Mark. 6. 8. that he grew, and waxed frong in Spirit, or was ftrengthened by the Spirit; Luke 2. 40. that he greated in Spirit, Job. 11. 33. which may, or are to be understood otherwise than of his Divine Nature, John 6.63. It is the Spirit that quickneth; the flesh profiteth nothing, the words which I speak unto you are Spirit, and are life, are meant otherwise than of Christs Divine Nature, and I Tim. 3. 16. Juftified in Spirit or in the Spirit may be meant otherwise than of his Divine Nature, and so may quickened by the Spirit, I Pet, 3.18. of which in that which follows: The Spirit of Christ is, Rome. 8.9. termed the Spirit of God, and if the M 2 Holy

H

41

1

Holy Ghoft , 1 Cor. 2. 13, 14. and 12. 3. And that which was born of Mary is faid to be that Holy thing, which shall be called the Son of God, Luke 1. 35. and Dan. 9.24. he is termed the Holy of Holies, or as we read , the most Holy, but no where the Spiris of Holiness. And therefore if the Spirit of Holiness note not the Divine Nature of Christ, because it is no where in the Scripture defigned by the name of Spirit, or Spirit of Holinis, the reason is as good against the interpretation of [ the Spirit of Holinesi ] by [the Holy Spiritual Body of Christ:] Nor is there likelehood that by [ Spirit ] should be meant [ Body ] fith Spirit and Body are opposed, or contradistinguished, I Cor. 6. 20. and 7. 34. James 2. 26. 1 Thef. 5.23, &c. as well as Flesh and Spirit: And if by [ Spirit of Holiness ] be meant a constituting part of Christ distinct from Flesh, which he had by means of the Refurrection, it cannot be meant of his body, which is the fame in substance it was in the daies of his flesh, and fo the same constituting part, differing only in quality and external condition, as having an alteration, not another Generation or Creation, and therefore cannot be rightly termed another constituting part: And this realon

reason with the Texts alledged do better countenance the understanding the Deity of Christ by [ the Spirit of Holiness ] than his Holy Spiritual Body : Yet for my pare, I incline to neither, but rather to the opinion . that conceives by [ the Spirit of Holiness ] is meant the Holy Ghost, or third Person of the facred Trinity, and that for thefe reafons. I. Because the term [ Spirit of Holine[s] is all one in fenle with [ the Holy Spirit ] which is the usual title given to that person , Mat. 28. 19. 2 Cor. 13. 13. 1 John 5.7. and is according to usual manner of expressing the Adjective by the Genitive case of the substantive, as the Children of Wisdom are wise Children, Children of obedience, I Pet. 1. 14. obedient Children, the Children of light, enlightned Children . Eph. 5. 8. 2. Because the Resurrection is ascribed to the Spirit, Rom. 8. 11. If the Spirit of bim that raised . Jesus from the dead, dwell in you, be that raised Christ from the dead, shall also quicken your mortal bodies by bis Spirit that dwelleth in you, 1 Pet. 3. 18. Being put to death in the flesh, but quickened by the Spirit. 3. Because the sense thus seems to be easiest, and most agreeable to the Apostles scope, who having M 3 faid .

faid, that the Son of God was made of the feed of David according to the flesh , noting i being beyond this , adds, that he was declared, determined, defined or resolved to be the Son of G. d beyond his being the Son of David with power, by his rifing from the dead, which was by Power, according to the Spirit of bolines, that is the holy Spirit, to whom acts of power are usually ascribed, as Luke 1.35. Mat. 12. 28. which was an undoubted evidence of his being the Son of God, or having a Divine Nature, fith he foretold it as a thing to be done by himself, John 2. 19. and 5.25, 26. and 10. 17, 18. Nor is it necessary that naid should note a conflicuting part, Rom. 1. 4. For it may note an efficient cause mediate, as when it is faid Mark. 1. 27. naf' Essiar with authority be commandeth the unclean Spirits;

Grot. in Rom. 2.7.

1.23' υπομονών

bic idem est quod

Al υπομονών. Sotent enim ista particula permisceri,

ut 1 Cot 12. 8.

ubi ναθα 13 αθθ

πνευμα habetur.

Ephci 3.3. & a-

which is, Luke 4. 36. ev excela is duvatues with Authority and Power, so naid upaid is by might, or mightily, Heb. 7. 16. naid duvatur according to the power, is by vertue or reason of the power or proportion and congruity.

to the agent, as when it is said, Rom. I. I 5. 10 wall out as much as in me lies, and the sense be; in

libi in t Cor. 123.
Idem bic valet no.
la quod ey in fequentibus.

power according to the Spirit of Holines, that is , with or through the holy Spirit , or congruoufly, proportionably to the holy Spirit; which if it do not fo fully answer the ule of the prepolition, yet we may fay as Dr. Hammond in a like cafe, Annot on Mark 9. 3. though the preposition do not favour this Interpretation, yet the promiscuous uncertain use of prepositions among sacred Writers is fo observable, that it may take off much of that one objection. So far as my observation harh hitherto attained in the Apostles and other Writers Greek Expressions, if the Apofle had intended that the Spirit of Holine (s should note another constituting part, he Chould have put next to [the Son of God] according to the Spirit of Holiness, as he did ver. 3. according to the flesh next to of the feed of David , but being put between with Power and the Resurrection of the dead, it feems not to note a constituting part, but the efficient cause of the Resurrection, or subject of that power, by which Christ was raifed.

M 4

9. The

9. The distinct mention Rom. 9. 5. of Christs being of the Fathers according to the steps, that is his humane nature, and then adding, who is over all God blessed for ever, shows that he is over all God blessed for ever, according to his Divine Nature or deity: Nor is the defect of the Article a sufficient reason to the contrary, sich it is very frequent to put Oeds without the Article, where it is meant of God in Nature, as I Cor. 3. 15.

23. and 1. 24. and 2, 5, 7. 66.

10. In that God said to Christ, Psal. 110.

1. and he was then Davids Lord, Acts 2.

34. when he knew, verse 30. that God had sworn with an oath to him, that of the fruit of his loins he would raise up Christ to sit on his Throne, it proves that Christ was in being and was his Lord afore he was his Son, and so had a Divine Nature, though he was his

Son according to the flesh.

SECT. 18.

#### SECT. 18.

The consubstantiality of Christ with the Father and us, is proved from I Tim. 3. 16.

"He next Text of Scripture I Mall infift on to prove the consubstantiality of Christ to God and us, is I Tim. 3. 16. where Sr. Paul faith , And mithout controverfy great is the Mystery of godlines; God was manifested in the flesh , justified in the Spirit , feen of Angels, Preached unto the Gentiles, believed on in the World, received up into Glory: This passage is undoubtedly meant of the Lord Jesus, fith of no other are these things true, that be was manifested in the flesh, &cc. And they are true of him : He was manifested in the flesh being made fleth, justifiedin, or by the Spirit at his Baptifm, by his Miracles, and at his Refurrection to be, that which he faid himself to be, the Son of God, against the false accusations of the Pharisees as a Deceiver, confederate with Satan; feen of Angels at his Birth, Temptation

d

(170)

tation in the Wilderness, Agony in the Garden, Resurrection from the Grave, and Ascension into Heaven, Preached to the Gentiles by his Apostles, believed on in the World even by the Gentiles, and received up in, or into Glory at his Ascension into Heaven: Now he of whom these things are said is God, therefore the same Person, Christ Jesus is both God and Man; or consubstantial to the Father in respect of his God-head, to us in respect of his Man-hood.

## SECT. 19.

# The Exceptions against this Proof.

The Exception against this Argument is:

1. That the reading God was manifested in the sless, is suspected to have been altered by Nestorians, because the vulgar Latin, the Syriak, Arabian Interpreters, and Ambrose all read which was manifested and refer it to the Mystery of Godliness, and so this sense is given of it, that the Gospel was sirst made known not by Angels, but by mortal men, and according to their outward

appearance weak, Christ and his Apostles; as flesh , Col. 1. 26. notes a mortal man, 2 Cor. 2.16. 1 John 4. 2. was justified in Spirit ] that is, that truth was approved by many Miracles, for Spirit is Miracles by a Metonymy, which is. 1 Cor. 2.4. and elsewhere. And to be justified here is to be approved, as Mat. 11.19. fo he is faid to be justified; who in a contention is a Conquerour, because his cause is approved, Deut. 25. 1. add Ffal. 21. 6. (1 imagine Grotius means, Pfal. 51.4.) [Seen of Angels ] to wit, wih greatest admirations Angels learned this secret by mortal men, Ephef. 3. 10. I Per. 1. 12. To fee with the Hebrews is translated to all manner of knowing : Was preached to the Gentiles ] that truth was not only declared to the Jews, but also to the Gentiles, who were most estranged from God , Eph. 2. 12. Col. 1. 21. believed in the World ] that is in a great part of the world, Rom. 1. 8. Col. 1.6. received up in Glory ] it was very gloriously exalted to wit, because it brought much more holiness than any Dollrines formerly: To be taken up is to be lifted up on high, and answers to the Hebrew Verbs | and DI in gloingloriously, Phil. 4. 19. Col. 3. 4. See al-

el

1

So, 2 Cor. 3.8. So they glorified the word

of the Lord, Atts 13.48.

nifested, that is, his Will made known in the sless, that is, with or by the insirmity of Christ and his Apostles, justified in Spirit, taken or acknowledged for true by Divine vertue which shined in Christ as well as his Apostles, or put forth it self powerfully by them; was seem of Angels, the good will of God towards men, was revealed to Angels, received up in glory, the will of God was by many chearfully received and constantly retained, or the holy Religion of Christ was gloriously admitted and received.

#### SECT. 20.

# Thefe Exceptions are refelled.

To which I Reply: 1. That the reading of [which] instead of [God] should be followed against all Copies of the Original now extant is unreasonable, and not to be yielded to: The Syriak, Arabian, and Latin are not to be put in the ballance with the Greek Copies: The Latin translation is found

found and confessed even by Romanists to be fo faulty, as that it is not of it felf to be refted on, much lefs are Ambrofe and Hinemarus, who were mif-led by it : That Neftorians should forft in Otos God is not likely. fith it is against their opinion, and was used by Chryloftom before Neftoring, and by Cyril against the Nestorians, as Dr. Pearfon hews in his Exposition of the Creed , Artic.

2. page 142. of the fecond Edition.

2. By God ] cannot be meant , either God the Father, or his Will, or the Gofpel, or the truth of it. 1. Because the words cannot be expounded fo in either of the fenses given : Neither is God the Father any where faid to be manifested in the flesh, justified in she Spirit, received up in Glory: Nor doth God manifested in the flesh fignifie God, or his Will, of Gospel, or truth manifefted in infirmity, or Christ and his Apofiles in their infirmity, nor juftified in, or by the Spirit approved by Miracles , nor feen of Augels, learned by them from mortal men, nor received up in Glory, admitted or received in mens minds : None of all the Texts alledged countenance these Expositions: Though flesh sometimes signifies mortal weak man, it being a word of very various

d

1-

0

d

h

is

d

accep-

(174)

acceptions, and the Gospel is faid to be mamifelted as Col. 1. 26. and 2 Cor. 2. 14. and Gal. 4. 13. St. Paul faith , he preached the Gofpel at firftto the Galatians through the infirmity of the flesh, yet no where is the Gofpel faid to be manifested in the flesh, or Relbiput fimply for infirmity. That I 70h. 4. 2. that Jefus Christ is come in the flesh, is against his sense of preaching the Gospelin infirmity, it plainly noting his coming into the world in a humane nature, in the fenfe in which he faid , John. 1. 14. The Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us. Though I deny not, that words of fente do often note other knowledge than by: fenfe, yet thefe words of a out of onlasta con In are fearce ever found to be applied to any thing but that which is desernable by fight: However if they were, yet the sense imagined hath no -colour, fi hir is not faid , feen of Angels by the Charch: 'Ave Nu De evidof in lignifies not receiving by men that glorifie it, but the gloty of the person or thing manifelted, Phili 4. 19. Col. 3. 4. are not meant of fuch glory, or alactity. or rejoycing, as is made the meaning of Glory, I Tim. 3. 16. Not do we find in the Greek Bibles fuch language as answers to the pretended Exposition of it 2 ě

e

ir

,

in

o

in

ä

h

50

fe

œ

at

Æ

00

by

not

lo-

oil.

ich

ade

in that place: And for receiving the Gospel, the usual word is olexeday, I Thef. 1. 6. and 2, 14. Alle 2. 41. not the word there ised, 2. According to that Exposition it would be an inept tautology to fay, be was believed on in the world, and received up in glory if meant of receiving in mens hearts : For what is it to be believed on, but to be received in mens bearts? which is not to be conceived of the Apostle in these concise Aphorismes. 3. There would be no Mystery much less a great Myfery without contradiflion in that which the Apostle faith, if the meaning were as it is made, fith Gods will was often manifefted by mortal men, even by all the Prophers, who testified before-hand the sufferings of Christ, and the glory that hould follow, I Pet. I. II. and approved by Miracles done by Mofes, Elias, Elisha, known by Angels who brought Meffages to Daniel and others, preached to the Gentiles by Jonab at Niniveb, believed in the world by the Ninivites, received with alacrity, as by David and others.

3. The words in the plain obvious sense, Not are truely and rightly expounded of Jesus age Christ who is faid to be God, John I. I, of it 2. to come in the flesh in his humane nature

to be made flesh , John I. 14. to be manifested is his works, John 2. 11. and his preaching, Mark 1. 27. Luke 7. 16, 22. juftified in the Spirit, or by the Spirit, either by the Spirits descent on him at his Baptisme Fobn 1. 33, 34. whereby he was proclaimed and proved to be the Son of God, or by his Miraces , as Mat. 12. 28. against the accufation of colluding with the Devil, or at his Refurrection as I conceive, Rom. 1.3, 4. or by giving the Holy Ghoft, Alls 2. 33. Seen of Angels , Luke 2. 11, 12. Mat. 4. 15. Luke 22.43. and 24. 4, 5. Acts 1. 10. Preached to the Gentiles, I Cor. 1. 22. 2 Cor. 1. 19. Believed on in the World, Rom. 1.8. 1 Thef. 1 7,8. received up, the word used 1 Tim 3. 16. inglory , A9s 1. 2, 11, 13. Mark 16. 19 Luke 9. 51 and 24. 26.

4. It being said God was manifested in the flesh and this meant of Jesus Christ proves he was (before) God, and then he had stell, and therefore a Humane and Divine Nature, and

the words in the priarie coulous

consubstantial to the Father and to us

## to by the Priver of Cod, with he said he said

ni

DES

er

ne

led

his

c-

3,

4.

I.

m.

ord

I,

be

he

nd

nd

The same thing is confirmed from 1 Pet. 3. 18, 19, 20. Gal. 4. 4. Rom. 8. 3. 1 John 4. 2. Heb. 2. 14. and 10. 5. John 16. 28.

O this I shall subjoyn for Confirmation and Explication , 1 Per 3 . 18, 19, 20. where Christ is faid to be put to death in the flesh but quickened by the Spirit : Where flesh must note a constituting part, and yet the Spirit note the efficient : For quickened noting his Refurrection, cannot note his Eternal Holy Spiritual Body, as was conceived meant by the Eternal Spirit, Heb. 9. 14. and the Spirit of Holiness , Rome I. 4. For that was not till he was quickened, and therefore he not quickened in or by it; nor his Humane Soul, for that dyed not, and therefore the Spirit must note an efficient. and that must be either the Divine Nature of Christ, or, as I conceive, the Holy Spirit, to whom his Refurrection is afcribed, Rom. 8. 11. called the Power of God . 2 Cor. 136 4. as what is done by the Spirit, is faid to be done

done by the Power of God, Luke 1. 35. Mat, 12.28. Luke 11. 20. and he was quickoned by the Spirit by which he preached, werfe 1 2 which was the Holy Spirit , Gen. 6. 3. in the preaching of Noah, 2 Pet. 2.
4. and this was the Spirit of Christ, i Pet.
7. 11. the Holy Ghost; 2 Pet. 1. 21. In that Spirit be went and prouched to she shirits in Prifon, which were fometimes difobeditent surbe waits of Worth I on High thote hat Beny Chars Divine Nature will how fal to There been done in the three dales of his death alore his Prentrections therefore in the dais on Noun , and confequently he had then abe. the to wird Divine Marine ? otherwise he could not be faid then to yo and preach by the Spirite by which he was quickened Hoseini Spirits in prison to have been disobedienn When once the long- suffering of God waired preparing and to me bone white the Ark thank

Rom. 8.3. The fending bin Son suppose eth the Sons being before; and to his Divine Nature, Made of a Woman, in the liberest of finful flesh his Humane, therefore he had both. To the same effect are those Texts which speak of his coming in the slesh, as a John

5.

as

d.

2.

et.

In

1-

1

iac

to

ch

ès

e. he

he

bi M

ed s

4.

ne P

ad

KIS

15

bis

1 John 4. 2. his taking part of flesh and blood, Heb. 2. 14. where he that was Superiour to Angels antecedently, was made little lower than the Angels, or debafed below the Angels, partaking flesh and blood, not ashamed to call them Bretbren, ver. 7, ir. whom in respect of his native greatness he might have been ashamed to own as such, and therefore is supposed to have a being above man, afore he was a man: His coming into the world with a body prepared for him, out of obedience and compliance of will to his Fathers , Heb. 10. 5. John 16. 28. Thews his being with his Father before he was a man, and fo a Divine Nature antecedent to his Humane.

## SECT. 22.

Christs consubstantiality with the Father and us, is proved from Philip. 2. 3, 6, 7, 8.

There yet remains that Text, which is, Philip. 2.5, 6, 7, 8. where the Apolite speaks thus: Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus, who being

N 2

18

in the form of God, thought, or counted it notrobbery, or aspoil, or prey to be equal to God, or as God : But made himfelf of no reputation, or emptied himself, and took upon bim the form of a Servant, and was made in the likenels of men , or when he had been made like to men ( 23 Merio. Casaubon diarriba de non Verlorum p. 66. ) and being found in fashion, or babit as man or a man, and became obedient, or rather being or becoming obedient unto death, even the death of the Crofs: In which I confess are fundry unufual expressions needful to be cleared, yet sufficient to prove him to have a Divine and Humane Nature; fith he is faid to have been in the form of God first, and then to empty himself, to take on him the form of a Servant, to be made in the likeness of men, to be found in fashion as a man, to humble himself to death, whence I may argue : He who being in the form of God, counted it no robberg or prey that he was as God, emptied himself, taling the form of a Servant when he was made in the likeness of men, and being found in fashion as a man, bumbled bimself, becoming obedient unto death, had a Divine and Humane Nature; But this is true of Jefus Chr ft, derefere he had both Natures.

SECT. 23.

## SECT. 23.

st

al no

ok as

ad on

"g

",

6-

sh

ry

ret

nd

CH

pty

nt,

nd

LO

mg

ery

f,

and

be-

ne

c-

The Exception against this Argument is recited.

O this Argument the Exception is I thus made: The words and fen e being thus: Let this mind be in you, which was in Christ Jesus; who being in the form of God (for the exercise and demonstration of Divine Power, whereby he wrought Miracles in as free and uncontrouled a manner as if God himself had been on the earth) thought it not robbery (or a prey) to be equal with God (that is did not esteem this equality of his with God, consisting in the free exercise of Divine Power, to be a prey, by bolding it fast, and refusing to let it go, as Robers are went to do when they have got a prey or booty) bat (Gr. ) emptied himself ( in making no use of the Divine Power within him to rescue himself out of the bands of the Officers sent to apprehend him) and took upon him the form of a Servant (in Infering himself to be apprehended, bound and whipt as Servants are wont to be ) being made in the N 3

the likenest of men (that is ordinary and vulgar men, who are endued with no Divine Power) and being found in fashion (or habit) as a man (that is, in outward quality, condition and adding, no whit differing from a common man) he humbled himself, and became chedient unto death, even the death of the Cross.

## SE € T. 24.

The Text is explained in order to the refelling of the Exception.

To clear this Text, and Argument, and fo to refel the exception, it will be necessary to enquire what is meant: 1. By the form of God. 2. By being in the form of God. 3. By τοα Θεώ. 4. By είναι τοα Θεώ. 5. By αρπαγμον. 6. By αν πινόσαιο αρπαγμον. 7. By εμένωσεν εαυίον. 8. By μορφήν διαλα. 10. By ανθεώπων. 11. By ομοιωματιάν. Γεώπων. 12. By γενόμεν. 13. By 9μηματι. 14. By ως ανθεώπω. 15. By found. 16. By hambled himfelf. 17. By becoming ebedient. 18. When he was in the form of God.

\*

20

04

10

y

Z.

V-

1-

1.

g

of

God To .. When sheet emptied himfalfer 2000 When be took the farm of a Servann I Leis true that form 10000 is mod commonly applied to figuifie fomething outward which is the object of light, and therefore Groting conceives, that by the form of God is meant the glory of this Miracles But as: Dr. Cafanban in the place before cited, rightly observed; where in is used for something which appears to the fight, it is never used for Excellert Pameri, or Divine Power in working Miracles , but for the onimard vilage when it bath filendour, beauty and excellent luftre , actractive of the eyes quand moving defice or luft, on piving occasion to conceive in the perfor Majority, or an heroical Spirit within and for awing others, or procuring dread of reverence of him. Nowit in certain Christ had not in the daies of his flets fuch a form, but as the Propher foretold, Ifa. 53. 2. He grew up before God as a tender plant, and as a root out of a dry ground: He had no formner cometines and when be was seen there was no beauty that they Should defire him , but in autward appear ance he was poor andidefpicable ; Nevertheles the verbs simple and compound do figthe fomething inward and not confpicuous N 4 ort to

(184)

to the eyes. Thus it is meant when St. Paul faith Gal. 4. 19. My little Children of whom I travailin birth until Christ be formed in you, Rom. 12.2. Be ye transformed in the renewing of your mind, 2 Cor. 3. 18. we are transformed after the fame image. And if in the Holy Scripture the word Mogon form fignifie nor that which is inward and hidden,

Vide Danielis

yet in Aristotle and other Authours, the word figni-Heinfij Arist fat. fies the effence or that conin Non-c. 19. Ricurive effential part of a Substance, which differen-

ceth one substance from another, which is defined by Ariftotle 2d. Phylick. Aby @ TE TI No Elvaithe reason of its being such a thing: And indeed many understand by the form of God, the effence or nature of God. But to the contrary is. 1. That form hath the same notion, Phil. 2. 6, in the term form of God , asit hath verfe 7. in the cerm form of a Servant; But in that notion of the effence or nature of a Servant, it cannot be faid Christ took the form of a Servant, for that is a meer relation; and if he had taken the effence of a Servant by being incarnate it had been the same with being made in likeness of men, and to he could not have put off the

the effence of a Servant, no more than the effence of a man, if his taking the form of a Servant had been by being made a man: Besides the nature of man is not the effence of a Servant; man may be Lord as Christ man is Lord of all, Alls 10, 36, and yet hath the essence of man, and Angels are Servants and yet have not the essence of man. 2. It is said, Christ emptied himself, to mit, of the form of God in which he was, which notes some lessening or laving aside of the form of God, in which he was; But that could not be the Divine essence, therefore it is not here meant.

Nor is it to be conceived, that by the form of God is meant the power of doing Miracles: For neither is the power of Miracles any where termed the form of God, and if that were all that is meant, it might be faid of Moses and Elias that they were in the form of God: Besides he did not empty himself of the power or exercise of it whereby he did Miracles at any time, no not when he was apprehended, for even then the Souldters at his word went backward and fell to the Earth; John 18.6. and he restored Malchus his ear cut off by Peter, Luke 22.51. although he did not use his power to rescue himself.

There-

n

Therefored is more likely that by form of God is means the flare or Majerty of God To that glory which be bad with bis Father base fore the world was , John 17.5. the Exercife of his Empire, which he had oppolice to the state of a Servane which he took, and to the obedience which he yielded to his Fari ther, ver. 7, 8. For the efface of God is an estate of Empire and Command exercising Power and Dominion, giving of gifts to friends belping Subjects, Jubduing Enemies, which Christ did with the Father before her took felb , but emptied himfelf of ic in his humiliation: which is the more confirmed in that his superexaltation, verse 9. restored that which he empired himself of : Now that was his Glery and Majesty, all things being made subject to bim . And this feems belt roagree with the ule of the term form, as here it fe ems to be used : For as the form of. a Servant notes that which made him appear to others to be moder the command of anom ther, to wie of his Fathers, which was that he (bould lay down bis life and take it against Tobn 10.18. which was undoubtedly conform cuous to the Angels and alloco thole who knew him to be the Son of God; So the form of God notes the Majetty, Glory, exercise of Empire,

Empire which he had with his Pather, which was apparent to the Holy Angels, and to Jacob and other holy persons afore his Incarnation, and is the same with his being as God, or

equal to God.

what is meant by his being in the form of God, to wit his possession and enjoyment of that glory he had with his Father before the world was, John 17.5. UTORXON notes the subsistence or being of his person, and the form of God notes his estate of Glory and Majesty, which I conceive expressed by that of the Appostle, 2 Cor. 8, 9. To know the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that though he were rich, jet for your sakes he became poor, that je through his poverty might be rich.

3. I oa Dew may be expounded either as an adjective as our translatours render it, equal with God, or as an adverb, and so it is used twelve times in the Greek version of the Book of Job, Wisdome 7, 3. in Homer, and else-where, and answers to D in the Headbrew, and notes like ness, and may be translated, as God: Now whether of these two waies it is to be read, is in my apprehension difficult to I incline to the latter. 1. Because nothing

nothing is expressed that may be as a substantive to it , whereas if it were an adjective . either eautor himself, used ver. 7 or as Camerarius in his note observes Tà caut & his things, should be added: As for that which is by Pafor in his Lexicon voce 1005 from Zanch. de incarnatione Filis Dei, hb. I. c. 2. imagined, as if there were an ellipsis of Ocov, and the fenfe were, that he was equally God, as God, that is the Father; it is a bold supplement, that hath not any thing to countenance it in the Text, and gives much advantage to them that fay, he is not the same God. In that which he mentions out of Roffeliss his Syntaxis, p. 134. [ that it is an Hellenism, and it is put for the noun sub-Stantive equality , as if by the Article To the Infinitive Mood were turned into a Noun, and the fense were as in the word ἀρπάζω he gives it, be did not snatch, or catch by rapine equality with God ] the interpretation doth suppose, that he counted it not rapine, is all one with, he did not take by rapine, and the verb substantive of the Infinitive Mood, to be turned into a Noun, whereas it is drowned in his fenfe, and if it were made a Noun, it should be thus read, be did not rate by rapine being equality with God, which hath

no good sense, and the Adjective or Adverbis made a Noun Substantive, not the Infinitive Mood, and the Noun of equality is made to govern a Dative case without any Example, when according to that sense by rule God should be in the Cenitive: I consess where is a is used adverbially it doth most commonly note similar ude of action, yet similar

litude of being is sometimes expressed by it, as Job 11. 12. in the Greek, and essewhere, and though it note equality, yet also it notes likeness; and in

loa smodd, Job 13. 12. This ioa th ph. Haga.

the same chapter, v. 20. is huxov is translated like minded, and this doth best answer to the use of mosphir and emoidmati and genmati is an Jewa , ver. 6, 7, 8. which note likepess of estate or condition, yet comprehending withall reality of Nature. 2. If it were to be read to be equal with God, it would intimate, that be emptied himself of it as the adversative; But verse 7. shews, and the phrase, he counted it not a prey, do evince; but equality with God he could not empty himselt of, but must hold it as a prey not to be let go, the contrary whereof the Text doth propound for our imitation.

4. Whence

the thing he means by his being as God, was his commanding as God, his like doing with his Father mencioned, John 5. 17, 19. which he did in his presence, and such giory as he had then, and now had not as before, but prayes for its restitution, John 17. 5. Of which see what is said before, Sect. 16.

Zanchim parte secunda de tribus Elohim, 13. 6. 2. 6. 4. quast filius hominis cum nubibus Cali venit, hoc est Christus perment ad gloream Deitatis post resurrectionem, sicut ego cum magnis viris intelligo.

5. A eπαγμο, is a rare word, yet feeths to have the fame fense with αρπαγμα, αρπαγμα, αρπαγμα, στο and notes either rapinam or raprum; the act of taking or carrying away, or the thing taken, or catried away, both which are expressed by the Greek word, and by the word [robbery] which our translators use to answer it, yet I rather render it prey, or spoil, as expressing only the thing gotten, not the act of getting. 1. Because To expansion, but the thing possessed a lit is more agreable to the phrase of emptying himself, which presuppose the a thing had or possessed, which was the form of God, and being as God, and

(191)

the thing policifed as Robbers of Bealts of prey get what they have. 3: the word again ayuw is to used by the Greek Interpreters, Job 20017. May 42, 12. If f. 61.18, &t. for the thing gottens Although in the latter plate it be translated robber j., and the term robbed is as well meant of the person from whom, as the thing gotten by tobbery: So also it is used Levis, 6. 4. Aparylar of home with the beautiful and the term, that which be took wholestly and Exek. 19. 6. Exek. 18. 7. 12. 46, 18. Exek. 22. 25, 27! de heades by historial as gottes agardyuola.

S

10

1.

h

id

ts

72

n.

21

n,

e-

ich

ch

ind

the

time abiteratus off; by Beka anxit, by ours thought, may either note an all of judgement, or affection, or purpole, or life: In the first sense to chink it not a prey is as much as he did not judge, that the being as God was a thing stoln or gotten by any force or fraud, usurped, or plundered from another, but his own whether by inheritance or free donation: But this is not likely, partly because the inward act of judgement or cognation is not here propounded to be imitated, but some act of will or affection manifested by outward action or patent fact, which is apparent by the Exhoration, verse; where the Apostle

poffle faith , Thra spire de tr burr , Let the Same mind be in you which was also in Christ Jefus, that is have the fame will , purpole, refolution, action as he had, who was fo far from doing any thing through firife and vain glory , that he preferred others before himsel, not looking at his own things, but she bings of others, which he flewed by his not holding faft his Excellency, but emptying himfelf; partly also because the act of thinking here denied must be opposite to his emptying himself: For the particle [but] being adversative shews the thinking it robbery to be equal with God , or to be at God to be contrary to the emptying; Now if the not thinking were as much as not judging, then the emptying must be an alteration of his thoughts, or a privation of it, which hath no congruous fenfe : For the emptying was of him elf, or that which he was in being, not of his thoughts of himself, or have ing other thoughts of himself; Belides the not thinking it robbery or a prey is not an act of fentence determining what was his own or right he had to a thing, bur an act of purpefe, that not withflanding his right or poffession, yet his resolution was not to retain it, but (as the emptying, verfe 7. hews) tending

0

d

y

· f

3

ıs

f

of

h

8

C

n

ſ-

C,

100

tending to a dereliction of it for a time in obedience to his Father whom he would glorifie on earth, by finishing the work he gave him to do, though by abating himfelf, as he faith in his prayer to his Father , John 17. 4. where he relates the event of his errand and bufiness for which he came into the world, and for which he took on him the form of a Servant: which realon evacuates that fenfe. which is given by Grotins, Heinfins, and if there be any other, that imagine the fenfe to be according to a speech of John Baptiff in the Syriak Liturgy, that he would not affamere rapinam, chatis, do such an injurious thing as to pretend to have greater authority than Christ, that Christ did not think it a wrong to his Father that he was equal to him, or had power of Miracles, and was beheld at God , as Grories his phrase is : For his act did suppose his right, but expresseth his intent not with franding his right, and this antecedent to his emptying bimself, taking the form of a Servant , being made in the likeness of men, and tending thereto removendo prohibens by removing that which might hinder his emptring himself, not disclaiming his right, but relinquishing his possession of what he had, not doing as Robbers

or others, who hold what they have gotten by violence as long as they have any power to keep ic, but freely and voluntarily in dutiful subjection to his Father, yielding it up to his hands from whom he received it, in order to the accomplishment of his Will. as it is expressed, Heb. 10. 9. And this alfo helps to shew that Pifcator and those who follow him do mistake in the notion of this phrase, as if it were, as if the Apostle had faid, be did not as men that have gotten a foil by villory; triumphantly make shew of it, but did rather conceal, or bide it at least, for the greatest part of his life forbidding the diunlging bis Miracles, and that confession which Peter made, Mat. 16. 20. and the Vision in the Mount at his transfiguration, Mat. 17. 9. For then his not thinking it a Beil hould be after his emptying, which was when he took the form of a Servant, being made in the likeness of men, whereas it was before, and in his not thinking should be no ast of obedience, whereby he took the form of a Servant, nor can be rightly made as tending to the act of emptying himfelf, which was not in the opinion of others, but in his own diminution, nor did he conceal or hide himfelf, but both by Miracles and expresse

CI

u-

up

in

11,

ho

his id,

for

di

ton the

be-

sit

bluo

the

ade

but

and

expresse speeches thew himself to be the So of God, John I. 14. and 2. 11. and 10. 30 32, 36, &c. although for some time he in hibited his Disciples to divulge some pecu liar Revelations, that no impediment migh be to the great delign of his suffering and rif ing from the dead, which he should accome plish at Jerusalem, according to Moses and Elias their conference with him, Luke 9. 31 nor could these inhibitions to some person be indeed his emptying himself, or making himself of no reputation, or not thinking i robbery or foil gotten by conquest that b was as God, by not triumphantly boafting o it, but concealing it: For in the event not withflanding those prohibitions his glory wa fo known, that immediately bis fame fpread abroad throughout all the Region round about Galilee, Mark 1. 28. and he who was for bidden to speak of his curing him , yet wen out and began to publish it much, and t blaze abroad the matter, insomuch tha Je son could no more openly enter into the City but was without in defart places, and the came to bim from every quarter, Mark 1 45. Wherefore I conceive, that &x nynowt notes a denial of an aft elicite of the mine and affections, in effeeming, valuing, affect ing, or making account of his being as God, as men do of a prey gotten by violence, which they do so affect or rejoyce in it that they cannot part with it. 2. Of an act imperate of the members in retaining it by claiming or afferting of it, and contending to keep it as a thing which they will not yield up but by force; and the sense is, Christ being in the form of God, that is the glory of his Father as associate with him in his Empire, did not esseem or hold that his being as God, as if it had been a prey gotten by violence, which he would not resinquish without force; But Go. Which importance of the word ny spatis agreeable to the use of it in this Epistle, Philip. 2. 3. and 3. 7, 8. where his account-

Job 13.24 nyncarde as one arline out to 1911. nynaale de pesomes y Spdy. dung notes his efteem and dereliction of them as such, and the like use is essewhere, I Thef. 5. 13.

where, I Thef. 5. 13.

2 Thef. 3. 15. 1 Tim. 1.

12. and 6. 1. Heb. 10. 29. and 11. 26.

James 2. 1. belides what occurs in other Authours. And hereunto I may accommodate some of the words which Dr. Merick Casaubon cites in his Diatriba de usu verborum p. 52. out of Cornelius a lapide the Jesuite;

Jesuite, As Chrysoftom and Vatablus ob ferve, rapere to catchor take by violence fignifies by a Metalepsis studiously and contenter only to retain something as if it were (natcht or caught by violence or rapine , as if be faid, Chrift did not catch, nor ambitiously lought, as Lucifer, Isa. 14. 13. the equality of God, nor as Robbers are mont ( while they being guilty of their evil doing fear leaft they (hould lose it) fudiously keep and ambitionfly defend the thing caught by them; but rather of his own accord as a lawful Lord deposed it, or let it go and emptied himself : For the adversative particle [ but] which follows , when he faith , but be emptied himfe frequires this : Otherwife it will not be somuch an adversative as an explicative, and will be taken improperly, fed, pro. veruntamen. kuts for nevertheless : which leads us to the confideration of verfe 7. ...

rightly, rendered [but] not [yet] or [nevertheless] to which answers πλην in the Greek, and it notes something contrary to what it is said be did not, verse 6, which being the holding of his being as God, that which he did is to be conceived contrary to its expressed by εκενώσεν έκυτον, which our

0 3

Trans-

Translators render made himfelf of no reputation, and that is by many conceived to have been by concealing or hiding from men his being as God; But this, as I shewed before, is not right, fith Christ did manifest his glory to as that they beheld his glory as of the only begotten Son of God full of grace and truth , John 1. 14. and by his words and works did indeed what did, and might make him of great reputation, fo that be was a Prophet mighty in deed and word before God and all the people , Luke 24. 19. and is contrary to what is faid, I fohn 3. 5, 8. I Tim. 3. 16. God was manifested in the flefb; and also must reftrain this act to the time of his converse with men , whereas the Text makes it to have been either antecedent or coincident with his taking the form of a Servant, being made in the likeness of men: Nor is it said, he diminished his effeem, or begat in others a low opinion of him, but he emptied or evacuated bimfelf, that is, became less full than he was ; which is not rightly referred by Grotim, to his living a post life, but notes fome ad antecedent to his converfing with men : Heinfins likes it better to render it bumbled, than empired bimself, alledging Chrysoftom Exercit.

sacr. 1. 11. c. 2. But fith the Apostle useth that word, verse 8. as a surther act of Christs submission of himself beyond that of emptying, verse 7. they are not rightly consounded, but the emptying is to be taken as an act of privation in some fort of somewhat he had, and the humbling to subjection to what was appointed him to suffer. Rightly saith Dr. Hammond in his An-

notation on Philip. 2. 7.

κενόω fignifies to lessen,
diminish; so Pharorinus
κενωθή ελάττωσιν ύπομείνη το suffer or undergo
dimination, so the Hebrew DN which ferem.
4. 4. (it should be 2.)

Pfal. 137. errevere. Ezek. 5. 2. 12. Hebrew PIN IN Gr. Maraipav errevoso, Ezek. 8.7. NYD dextrosas Ifa. 51.7.

and 15.9. is rendered nevow to empty, is feel 1.10, 12. Nebem. 1.4. (mil-printed for Nahum 1.4.) ohlyow to diminish, and Hof. 43. ohlyow, to make little: A diminution then or lessening, or privation is expressed by it, which is to be conceived to be the form of God, his being as God, the glory he had in possession with his Father, when he commanded with him, but now in respect of use and enjoyment laid it aside, lessened himself from the condition of being Lord of

(200)

\*S. Dr. Hammond speaks in his Paraphrase, which is confirmed in that it is expressed in the words following, Taking the form of a Servant, being made in the likeness of men, wherein this emprying of himself did confist.

8. The form of a Servant cannot be interpreted merely of Christs vilage or eutward afectable form: For a. There is no fuch outward form which dorn diffinguish a freemanfrom a Servant or Slave, but that the one is often as comely and beautiful as the other. 2. Though it be true that by reason of his lufferings his visage was so marred more than any man, and his form more than the Sons of men, as the Prophet fore-told, Ifa. 52. 14. yet be took not this form, but it was put on him by his enemies . His whipping ; binding and leading away was not the form of Servant, but of a Prisoner, and he rook not thefe, but under-went them when they were inflicted on him : His Grucifying it is true, was Servile supplicium, the punishment of Slaves, but it was inflicted on others also; even then when he was Crucified others were Crucified with bim, not as Servants, but as Thieves and Robbers, and upon

d

1.

h

IC

)-

ke.

a.

35

cy

0-

215

nd on upon him irwas inflicted as on a Malefactor . fo that it was written in the title of his condemnation, The King of the Jews, and he was numbered with the Transgreffors, Mark 15. 26, 28. and be was made a curse for m, as it is written, Curfed is every one that bangetbon a Tree or Gal. 3. 13. Not is taking of the form of a Servant referred only to his oneward poverty, as Groting conceives, that he took on him the form of a Servant, in that he had nothing of his own, as he laid of himself, Mat. 8. 20. For that is not the form of a Scruant, it may be the efface of a Soo in minority, Gal. 4. 1. And though. it were true, that he had no certain dwelling place, yet he had a Bag kept by Judas, out of which diffribution, might be made to the poor .: John 1 3129. Nor is it his Humane Nature, for then it had been the fame with being made in the likeness of men, Nor are all mens Servants, and he by his super-exaltation, verfe, q. left the form of a Servant. not his Humane Nature : Besides the form of a Servant which he took was not to men. but to God, as appears; from ver fe 8. where it is faid, be became obedient unto death: His obedience was to God his Father, as appears from verse 9. therefore Ged, that is God the Father, verse 11. highly exalted him, for his obedience to him, Heb. 5.8. Though he were a Son, yet learned he obedience by the things which he suffered, Now Rom. 6. 16. his Servant any one is to whom he obeys: And therefore Christ obeying his Father is often stiled his Servant, 1sa. 52. 13. and 42. 1. Mat. 12.18.

9. His taking then the form of a Servant was his submission of himself to his Fathers command, according to that which he faith, John 6. 38. I came down from Heaven, not to domine own will, but the will of him that fent me, and this was his emptying bimself: For it is rightly observed by Dr. Pearfon Explic. of the Creed, art. 2. p. 135. of the second Edition, that the Apostle explains the emptying of bimfelf, by adding the taking the form of a Servant, not by way of conjunction, but by way of apposition, though I do not conceive, that fignifies a clear identity, as he speaks: But that which follows is right, that it is necessary to observe, that our translation of that verft is not only not exact, but very dif-advantagious to that truth which is contained in it : For we read it thus: He made himself of no reputation, and took upon him the form of a Servant, and

was made in the likeness of men: Where we bave two copulative conjunctions, neither of which is in the original Text, and three propositions without dependance of one upon the other; whereas all the words together, are but an expression of Christs exinanition. with an explication shewing in what it confiftetb: And this also theweth what was the form of God, and his being as God, like, or equal to God, to wit his Dominion and Empire with his Father, that fulness which he emptyed bimself of by taking the form of a Servant, which was restored to him when he was bighly exalted, made universal head over all , Epbef. 1. 20, 21, 22, 23. 1 Pet. 3. 22. Heb. 1. 13, 14. and by his being made univerfal Judge , Philip. 2.9, 10, 11. compared with Rom. 14. 9, 10, 11.

10. Aν θεώπων, by us translated of men, is without ground conceived to note, not the Nature of man simply considered, but the state of men in an abject condition: For, I. There is no example in the Apostles writings of the use of it in that notion. 2. δμοιώματι άνθεώπων the likeness of men, is the same with εμιώματι της σαριός the likeness of slesh, Rom. 8. 3. and χήματι ώς άνθεωπων, fashion or shape as a man, which notes

humane

humane nature or feature simply considered : Nor do the two Texts alledged for the interpreting of men as noting an abject condition, serve for that purpose: Pfal. 82. 7. where it is said, ye shall dye as av Dewitos as men, is fo far from noting an abject condition that it plainly notes the condition of mortality common to all; and the Emphasis is, that though God faid, verse 6. they mere Gods, and all the Sons of the most High, in respect of their office and dignity , yet they should dye as common men, and fall as one of the Princes;

Vide Gataberi D. 188, &c.

Ainsworth's note is [ as cinaum! 2.6. 10. that is as Adam; 10. that is at any other mort al man: So after as one of the Princes, that is, of

the other Princes of the world; See the like, Judges 16.7, 11, 17. which also shews us how to understand the expression, Judges 16.7, 11. that Sampfon faid of himfelf, that be should be weak and be as one of men, where men notes not the flate of abject fervile men, debafed below other men, or peculiar to some men in such a rank or estate, but a fate common to other men, not elevated as bove ordinary men by an heroical Spirit, and excellent strength, which is apparent from the

5

n

Y

6

;

1

3

C

2

d

the expressions, verse 13. I shall be weak as one of men, and verfe 17. I shall be weak and be as all men, or as Tremelliss reads it. ficut unus aliquis bomo , as fome one man , and so notes this, that then he thould have but the strength of one man : Nor is that conceit of Gretius in his note on Philip. 2. 7. any better, made in the likeness of men.] when he was like to men, to wit, those first men, that is without fin, 2 Cor. 5.21. which hath no example of using [men ] for [the first men ] and the Apostles expression like to that here, Rom. 8. 3. rather infinuares the contrary, that he was like to sinful men, when he said, God sending his own Son, in the likeness of sinful slesh and for sin condem-ned sin in the slesh: Therefore in the likeness of men is to be expounded of them as men according to their humane nature.

image or representation, or resemblance as in a vision or picture, but as a Child is said to be begotten in his Fathers likeness, Gen. 5.

3. And so the Authour to the Hebrews, ch.

2. 17. saith, in all things it behoved Christ choice Nivate be made like unto his brethren, that is, to have the same humane nature in all parts that they have: Thus it is said,

Rom. 9. 29. And as Esaias said before, except the Lord of Sabboth had left me a seed, we had been as Sodom, and been made like as Gomorrah, from Isa. 1. 9. where to be, and to be like are the same: More to the same purpose may be seen in Heinsing Aristar. sac.

in nonnum c. 19.

12. Terouer is well rendered made in the likeness of men , but it is without Example or reason referred to an act of men, as if they by their injurious usage had thus made him to be as ordinary and vulgar men, who are endued with no Divine Power, or he had by an act of will made himself in his sufferings as such, but it was by the act of Gods Power, that he was made in the likeness of men, and it was when he affumed a humane Nature, or to use the same Apostles words, Gal 4. 4. But when the falness-of time was come , God fent forth his Son, made or born of a Woman made (the fame word which is uled, Philip. 2. 7.) under the Law, or as it is Luke 1. 35. The Holy Ghoft hall come upon thee, and the Power of the most High hall over- hadow thee : And therefore it is expounded as expressing the time of his saking the form of a Servant, and to be read, when he was made in the likeness of men ,

men, or as Dr. Pearfon's Exposition hath its he took the form of a Servant by being made in the likeness of men, that is as he after expresseth it, when Christs body first was framed, even then did be assume the form of a Servant: In which expression he doth rightly make this place parallel to that of Heb. 10. 5. A body bast thou prepared me, which answers to Pfal. 40. 7. concerning which I thall use the words of Mr. Gataker in his Cinnu la. 2. c. 11. Junius, and after him Piscator would have the Kingly Prophet to have had respect to that right of boaring the Servants ear, and fastning it to bis Masters post, who was willing to be still a Servant, mentioned Exod. 21. 6. when under the person of the Lord Christ, be said, Pial. 40. 7. Thou bast boared mine cars, as if he bad faid, thou hast additted me to Service and perpetual Ministry: Whence Isa. c. 42. verse I. Behold my Servant, &c. For which the Greeks, and the Apofle following them, Heb. 10.5. but a body bast thou made up for me, because to wit, then he put on the form of a Servant, when be assumed humane flesh, and even the likeness of finful flesh, Rom. 8. 3. Philip. 2.7. So that the Apostle shews not the identity,

.

e

s

C

,

15

n

S

70

A

C

is.

e.

1,

but the coincidency of these, the taking the form of a Servant, being made in the like-ness of men: Nor can the taking the form of a Servant be reserved either to the service or mean condition he had, when he conversed among men; or his being made in the likeness of men to any subjection of himself consequent on his Apprehension, Binding

and Scourging.

fignifies the outward habit of the body, in Aristotles Categories the shape or figure of it, in Geometry the various scituations of lines and angles, in Rhetorick the various modes or manners of expressions in speech, the gestures of the body, the affections of the mind, the accidents, occurrences, order of things sublunary, I Cor. 7. 31. Here it notes the shape or seasons of a man, and that with reality of humane nature, as form and likeness were also used.

dejected condition, so as that the sense should be, being found in fashion (or habite) as a man (that is in outward quality, condition, and acting, no whit differing from a common man) it being the same with the likeness of men, verse 7. nor as Grotius

in his Note, Schema is here axioma, conspicuous dignity, as often with the Greeks which word also the Syriak Interpreter here used: And eugedeis is NYDI was seen, As a man , as Adam , that is with dominion over all the creatures, the Sea, Winds, Bread; Water : For which cause that which was said of Adam in Pfal. 8. is applied my fically to Chrift : For neither is [man] put any where in the New Testament that I find, for [ Adam ] but still either Adam, or the first man, nor is it here put with the article ό άνθεωπ , as if it noted a special or fingular man by excellency, but av Sewn & man, that is a man simply considered according to humane nature: Nor is the particle as as here used as noting only likeness without reality of Nature, but as Sett. 22. is shewed it to be used, John I. 14. and elsewhere; as a confirming and affuring particle noting certainty: And furely where that in Pfal. 8. is applied to Christ my fically, Heb. 2. 6. it notes not man in conspicuous dignity, but rather as contemprible, as the words, what is man that thou art mindful of him? and thou hast lessened him for a little time below Angels shew, nor is it peculiarly meant of Adam, but of men, as men,

be mystically sulfilled in Christ alone, and he be by excellency stilled man, or the Son of man.

when he was betrayed by Judas, and laid hold on by the Souldiers; for it was afore his humbling himself and obedience to death, and if the form of a Servant did note his whipping, and servile usage which was after his apprehension, and yet is set down by the Apostle as antecedent to being found in fashion as a man; his finding cannot be referred to his apprehension: Nor is his being found appropriated to the time of his conspicuity in the exercise of his Dominion over the creatures, but the fashion as a man be-

Vide Gataker ad Animin. l. 9. Sect. 9. sveide Philip. ch. 2 vor. 7, 8. Devouge & svpedals idem valent. ing the same with the likeness of men, it notes only his appearing or being as a man; simply considered among men, the word found frequently noting only being or appearing to be, Phil. 3. 9. Gal. 2. 17.

2 Cor. 5. 3. and 11. 12. 1 Pet. 1.7. Rom. 7. 10. Luke 17. 18, &c.

16, That of Grotisus, he humbled him-

felf ] be did not behave himfelf according to that dignity, but very humbly, so as to wash his Disciples feet, John 13. 12, 13. As be emplied, so be bumbled, are of the form Hiphil, but fignific to exhibit or shew bimself such: So also the Latins say, to make himself courteous ] is not right, the bumbling noting not an exercise of the vertue of humility , but patient subjection to affliction, and that not by thewing humility only but by patient undergoing of it : And thus is it used, Phil. 4. 8. I know how Taxes-Vadas to be abased or bumbled, as appears by the opofite term to abound, and by other places, where he useth the same of himself, 2 Cor. 11. 7. and 12. 21. and is apparent in that the bumbling bimfelf is in the Text opposite to his superexaltation, verse 9. Now that doth not oppose the vertue of humility and the exercise of it, which confids with his extitation but the state of a person debased, which is removed by his superexaltation: Besides this very place is parallel with that, Atts 8. 32. out of Ifa. 53. 7. where of Christ, Philip expounds the words of that Prophet, He was led as a Sheep to the flaughter, and like a Lamb dumb before the shearer, so be opened not his mouth ; derived from the verb Phil. 2. 8. and so explains it) his judgment (or right) was taken away, and who shall declare his generation? For his life is taken away from the earth. Lastly, the Text shews wherein his humiliation consists, which was in his being obedient unto death, even the death of the Crosse, which is not rightly translated by our Translators, and became obedient, putting a conjunction copulative without cause as they did, verse 7. and so obscure the meaning of the Apostle, but it is to be read by apposition, becoming obedient, and so shewing wherein the humbling of himself was.

mas made obedient to mit to men, Jews as well as Romans: He opposed not that Divine Power to them that took him, condemned him, see him: So great injuries he patiently underwent for the good of men; For it was shewed before that the obedience was to his Father, otherwise there had not been such reason of his super-exaliation, as is expressed,

verf. 9, 10, 11.

18. By this which hath been said, it may appear, that Christs being in the form of God, and not accounting it as a prey to be equal

equal or as God was afore his being a man, and consequently, that he had a Divine Being as God afore he was incarnate, and therefore consubstantial to the Father as touching his God-head.

19. It may appear that then Christ emptied himself, when he took the form of a Servant, who was antecedently in the form of God, when he came not to be ministred to but to minister, and to give his life a ransom for many, Mat. 20.28.

made in the likeness of men, had a body prepared for him, which proves him to be consubstantial to us according to his Man-hood,

which thing was to be demonstrated.

P 3 SECT. 25.

## equil or to God per store to be a Z control of Z.

Philip. 2. 5, 6, 7, 8. are answer-

MEVertheles I meet with some Arguments to the contrary, which I think fit to set down in the Authours words.

1. He setteth before them Christs Example exhorting to humility, and therefore the act of Christ which be doth exemplify mustbe manifest: But to whom was, or could that incarnation, which Christians commonly talk of, be manifest, when they themselves say it passeth the understanding of Angels to

comprehend it?

To which I answer: It was manifest by the Angels and others Revelation, and the τὸ δοι that it was so was comprehensible, as is proved before, although the τὸ πῶς or manner how it was be incomprehensible, we are to imitate God in many of his works, the manner of which is incomprehensible by us, as being performed immediately, or by invisible Agents, in secret manner; As Maginitates

Arates are to imitate God in his tighteous judgement, though it be unsearchable in respect of the manner; Parents are to imitate God in his providence for his Creatures. though the manner of doing it be indifcernible, and therefore the incomprehenfibleness of the incarnation hinders not, but that it being revealed may be propounded as an imitable pattern: Yet in this of our Apostle it is to be observed that he propounds not only Christs incarnation, but also his humiliation in becoming obedient unto death, as an example to be imitated by the Philippians.

2. The Apostle speaketh of our Lord as a man, inthat be giveth bim the Titles of Christ fefus, both which agree to him onely as a man: For he is called fefus as he was a child conceived of the Holy Spirit in the Virgins womb, and brought forth by her, Luke 1. 27, 30, 31, 35. and Christ signifieth the anointed, John 1. 41. and accordingly Jesus is expressly called the Christ of God, Luke 9. 20. But be was anointed ( as the Adversaries themselves will confesse) as a man, and not as God; See Alts 10.

Whereto I answer , The Title Philip. 2. 5. is given to him, who being in the form of

God .

God, took on him the form of a Servant, being made in the likenels of men, and therefore as God-man: And though the name Fefus was given to him upon his conception, or birth, year it follows not, therefore only as a man: Yea the Exposition of the Appellation as the fame with Immanuel, Mat. 1. 23. the Son of God, Luke I. 35. doth intimate the Title given to him as God, rather than only as man : It is true, his anointing was as man, and that it may be gathered from Alls 10. 38, yet he who was anointed had a Divine Nature, and under both these is considered, Philip. 2. 5. where he is propounded for an example, to wit, in that being in the form of God, he emptied himself, being made in the likeness of men: He who is propounded as an example, was Saviour, and anointed, but yet not to be imitated in his faving and anointing, but in his obedience to his Father and condescention to us, by laying afide his Glory and Majefty, and becoming as a Servant to his Father for us, in which God was with him, and he also God with m, consubstantial with his Father afore, with us at his Incarnation.

3. Had the Apostle here spoken of an assumption of the Humane Wature, he would not have faid, that Christ became in the likene (s of men', and was found in fastion as aman: For if men ( as the Adverfaries must hold, when they alledge this place to prove that Christ assumed a Humane Nature, and became man ) be here confidered according to their Essence and Nature, this would imply that Christ had not the Esence and Nature, but only the likeness and fa-(hion of a man, and so was not a true and real man: By men therefore are bere meant vulgar and ordinary men; for fo this word is elsewhere taken in the Scriptures, as Plal. 82.6. I have said, ye are Gods; and all of you are Children of the most High: But ge shall dye like men, and fall like one of the Princes: and Judges 16. 7. Then Shall I be weak, and be as one of men (So the Hebrew Ekadh Haadam fignifieth;) See alfo ver. 11. of the same chapter.

I answer hereto, that likeness agrees to substance or essence, and not only to quality or condition, and that in neither of the places alledged men is taken for men as abject, is shewed before: If this objection were of force it would prove Christ was made not a really weak man, but in the likeness or fashion of weak men, if [ men ]

be considered not as men, but as weak and abject men, Philip. 2. 7, 8. which it concern'd the Objector to have heeded as well as the Adversaries: Notwithstanding then this Objection men and man, Phil. 2. 7, 8. may and must be understood of humane Essence and Nature, not restrainedly as applied only to men of a vulgar, ordinary, low or weak condition, and Christ hence proved to be Incarnate, and to have both Natures, Divine and Humane.

Other Arguments against the understanding by the form of God the condition or flace of Empire, which Christ had with his Father before his Incarnation are in the first part of the disbutation of Joine Placeus of Saumur concerning the Arguments by which it is evinced Christ to have been before bis conception , diff. 5th. out of Philip. 2. 6. 9. 18. in these words, yet in very deed the form of God feems not to us to be placed in commanding: For we dare not affirm that God was not in that infinite time, in which be lived ble fed before any creature was made, in the form of God; But neither also dare we deny, that be hall be in the form of God after the last day, in which time perhaps there will be no need, that be command the creatures :

tures: He is no less in the form of God when be ceaseth from commanding, then when be commands as a King sitting on his Throne attended by his Guard, Crowned with Majesty and Glory, is in the form of a King,

even when be commands nothing.

To which I answer, if [ the form of God ] noted only the effence of God, not the flate and appearance, it might as well be faid of Chrift, when he did not rule as well as when he did, when he shall not as well as when he shall, that he was in the form of God: But fith the term emptying bimfelf notes ? diminution in fomething of what he was, and this is expressed to be the form of God ; in which he leffened or emptied himfelf, and that was by taking the form of a Servant , and that as a Servant to his Father, to whom he was obedient, it feems plainly to be intimated, that his being in the form of God, or as God, was his commanding as God with his Father: Now as the form of a Servant notes not the effence of a man, but the state and appearance of a Servant, though it presuppose the being of a man, he taking the form of a Servant leing made in the likeness of men: sathe form of God also is to be conceived to note nor the effence of God, but the

the state and appearance of being God as God, or equal to God in his Rule, though it presuppose the effence of God which he had; And he might empty himfelf of the form of God in this fense, it being only a relative condicion supervenient to his essence from the respect to subjects to be ruled, which might be taken or laid aside without alteration in effence: As the affumption of an humane body, contract with his Father, Heb. 10. g. are ascribed to the second Person in the God-head peculiarly without subtraction from, or addition to his essence as God: So we fay , that Christ is Mediatour according to both Natures, fo as to interceed with his Father according to his Divine Nature, and this had a beginning and shall have an end, and yethis Divine Essence invariable. As for the similitude of a King as it is used, it is not apposite to the point : The King on his Throne that is guarded hath fome to command; Yet if he do not Rule, but only be in the Gesture, and wear the Habit, and hold the Enfigns of a Ruler, may be faid to be in the Habit , not in the Form of a King ; as he that hath the Place and Cloaths of a Servant doth not take the Form of a Servant, without taking the Work of a Servant, although

although he be in the Habit of a Servant

It is added \$. 19. It is indeed Divine to command, not to command simply, but effedually to rule all things: For Masters, and Lords, and Magistrates command alfo: Yea to command feems not to belong to God as God, but as he is Lord : There is some difference between God and Lord; For from all Eternity God was altually God, but be feems not to have been actually Lord, but when he had Servants or Subjects, to wit Creatures: For it is known that a Lord and a Servant are relatives, whose nature is that they exist together in time; But although it be a Divine thing to command, yet not what soever is Divine is the Form of God. 9. 20. For Form is conceived as fome permanent thing to command as a transeunt action: Form as something absolute inherent in the thing informed, to command as an action passing from the Commander to another: Form as that by which the thing is that which it is; Inward indeed as that by which the thing is such effentially, but outward as that by which the thing is what outwardly appears: But to command neither as that by which God is God, neither as that by which he is such as he appears to be. Laftly ,

Lastly, a form is conceived asthat which is before action, for the inward produceth a-Clion, the outward the manner of the action: For each thing acts according to its form, and the actions of a King are wont to be agreeable to the form of a King, of a Servant to the form of a Servant, of a Merchant to the form of a Merchant, and fo in others: To command therefore is an action agreeing to the form of God ; not the form of God it felf: Otherwise (brist bad in like fort taken and deposed the form of God; taken it as oft as be commanded either Diseases or Devils the Sea, deposed it as often as he ceased from commanding : To end, Is it not manifest, that the Apostles words υπάρχων existing or when he was in the form of God fignifies a flate or condition, not action; a state I far in which he then was when he emtied himfelf, and which by emtying himself either he deposed or bid, the form of a Servant being taken?

To which I answer, If the word [Form]
note a state or condition, as it is granted,
and the term [form of a Servant] also implies; and it be a Divine thing to command
or rule all things, then by these grants it
may well be expounded [he was in the
form

form of God ] that is , in the flate or condition of an Emperour, or co-ruler with his Father; which being a relative state, hemight empty himself of, as he may of the state of Mediatour ( which yet belongs to his Divine Nature ) as being a distinct Personfrom the Father, although consubstantial or co-effential; And this state and condition he actually had as foon as any creature was made, the Divine Essence he had afore any creature was, but the form of God, when there was; and it might be termed the form by which he appeared to be God, by whom and for whom all things visible and invisible were created, Col. 1. 16. though not a permanent or absolute form, as the form of a Servant is to termed though not a permanent, or absolute stare, or the form of a King or Merchant, as he speaks : Which being rightly understood answers the four things, by which f. 40. he takes it that he hach proved the form of God to be the Divine E Tence.

For, 1. Saith he, In what form of God could he be Lord afore he was made a man, but in the very Nature and Divine Effence?

To which I answer, In none, yet the

state or Condition of a Lord or Commander, as the form of a Servant notes not the Effence or Nature of a Man, but the state or condition of a Servant, although he were so in no other Nature, than that of a Man.

2. Saith he, In the Nature of Godit felf fith he is most simple, the external form

cannot be separated from the internal.

Answ. This I suppose is not true, he had the internal Form or Essence of God afore he was Creatour, and shall have it when he

shall cease to be actual Judge of all

3. Saich he, The truth of this form proves it: For that form of God in which Christ was, was either the true form of God, or the false: If the false, Christ was a false God, which even to provounce my mind abhors: If true, it was not severed from the internal, and estential Form of God: For what the external form of any thing as of Gold or Silver without the inward, that is commonly called false.

To which I answer; It was the true form of God, and yet might be severed, as the form of a Mediatour or actual Judge of all:
Nor is his proof right; For though that which hath the outward form without the inward be

false,

Alle, yet that which hath the inward out the outward may be true; as Gold a Silver covered with dirt or droffe; as it in Mines afore it is refinened, is true Coll or Silver, though the outward form be wanting.

God proves it: For the external form of God separated from the internal, if any can be, makes him not equal to God, a neither the outward and appearing form of a King alone, makes one equal to

King

O

n

d

\*

b

d

-

7

m

10

ch

oe

: ,

Infw. That being in the form of God Christ was equal to God , or as God , may be gathered from the Text, Philip. 2. 6. Bur not, that the form of God makes bimequalto God, which therefore may be, though the form of God be laid afide for a time. Places himfelf in the fame place, Sett. 24. faith, when therefore Christ was in the form of God, equal to God, be emptied himself bytaking the form not of an infortour simply, but of a Servant; So as that whether you look on his Humane Nature, or his condition, or manner of living, or his Office, or Obedience be plainly feemed not equal so God ; not the Son of God; but the Servant : tope, out the Garment of a Bernolain, tope, out the Garment of a Bernolain being total apple will apple with his importance executing them, it might be all amable to for the bembenke our in the fine of as imperiors emotived bimfelf, and form of a me the my cultures which is the tause with the total since a score so the forme pulpole be writings in his become Bouck . Diff. 9. Seill. 15. 18. Wieje be makes his obeniente menword Philip 2. 8. on have been in his Diand Minute voluntary, and middle and his Christ was equal distribution consideration

By the explication the form of God; Phil 2.6, 7, and Chailts extraordion, and one ales my be had with his fratien before ofte would was, John 27- 45 5. may be underthand without that imaginary pre-exillence of Clinit's Soull united with the Words and les Splandient with adultial Glassy and Beauty a mone die Angels in Illiamen, fancied by Dr. When Moor in this Multany of Godi lines, first Brook, altop. & p. 27 which would infinithe Chill was not made aroun gramamarthis Thomasiam, they only inthe diedion clostred with the the which is incom-Monu

THE S

(237)fillent with that which is faid in the place, that he emptied himfelf, being in the likenels of men , fo that he was tiond Adors, or second Man rate those expressions of Christs coming from Heaven, John 3. 13, 31, John where he was before, ver. 62. comm from the Father, and coming into the John 16, 181 may be understood w with mie cell. a bodily descent afore his publick, Pres or pre-explicate and defe in of the and a dy is the weed before Sella 19 1 21 9 his 4.3 the bong, p. 35. 1 all x imag, act, p. 36. los Tet - p 88. 1 35. 1. (de fiblies p. 123. 1. 3. onlit w. p. 148.1 21. ddeer. book e off 100 EINIS W 30-Link outmeta popula alle COOR tenu

2

DI

## A Series of money of the best of the best

front, with that with it but i

## ERRATA.

s. line 14. read said. p. 11. l. 5. r. Cyris, p. 14. l. 5. r. equivalence, p. 17. l. 5. r. ef.

19. l. 16. r. equivalence, p. 17. l. s. r. ef.

19. l. 16. r. Amerius, p. 29. l. 13. read

13. l. 2. r. Christ, l. 20. r. apx 8, p. 34.

15. be being, p. 35. l. ult. r. imagined, p. 36.

15. p. 88. l. 26. r. classibus, p. 122. l. 1.

15. p. 148. l. 21. dele er.



